arXiv:math/0607334v1 [math.RA] 14 Jul 2006

Elementary Equivalence of Categories of Modules over Rings, Endomorphism Rings, and Automorphism Groups of Modules

E. I. Bunina, A. V. Mikhalev

February 2, 2008

Contents

Introduction **2**

1 Basic Notions from the Set Theory, Model Theory, and Category Theory **6**
- 1.1 First Order Languages . . . 6
- 1.2 Axioms and Basic Notions of the Theory NBG . . . 9
- 1.3 Models, Deducibility, and Elementary Equivalence . . . 12
- 1.4 Ultrafilters, Ultraproducts, and Ultrapowers . . . 15
- 1.5 Basic Notions from the Category Theory. Category of Modules over Rings . . . 16

2 An Analogue of the Morita Theorem for Elementary Equivalence of Categories of Modules **20**
- 2.1 Some Facts about the Category mod-R . . . 20
- 2.2 Progenerators in the Category mod-R . . . 21
- 2.3 The Ring $\mathrm{End}_R P$ . . . 23
- 2.4 The Case of Finite Rings . . . 24
- 2.5 Beautiful Linear Combinations . . . 25
- 2.6 A Generating Set of the Module V . . . 25
- 2.7 The Second Order Logic and the Structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle$ . . . 26
- 2.8 The Inverse Theorem . . . 31
- 2.9 An Analogue of the Morita Theorem and Its Corollaries . . . 35

3 Elementary Equivalence of Endomorphism Rings of Modules of Infinite Ranks **39**
- 3.1 Endomorphism Rings of Modules and Categories $C_{M(V)}$ . . . 39
- 3.2 Elementary Equivalence in Categories $C_{M(V)}$ . . . 40
- 3.3 The Main Theorem . . . 42

4 The Projective Space of the Module V **43**
- 4.1 The Language of the Projective Space and Basic Notions, Definable in This Language . . . 43
- 4.2 The Ring $\mathrm{End}_R P$ . . . 46
- 4.3 Construction of the Ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$ . . . 48
- 4.4 The Inverse Theorem . . . 50

5 Elementary Equivalence of Automorphism Groups of Modules of Infinite Ranks **51**
- 5.1 An Isomorphism of Groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ . . . 51
- 5.2 Elementary Equivalence of Automorphism Groups and Endomorphism Rings of Modules of Infinite Ranks 63
- 5.3 The Main Theorem . . . 64

Introduction

The *first order language* (see Sec. 1.1) of some algebraic theory (for example the group theory or the ring theory) is the language, where in formulas we use quantifiers $\forall$ and $\exists$, logical symbols $\neg$, $\wedge$, $\vee$, $\Rightarrow$, parentheses and variables, and also predicate and function symbols, and constant symbols of this theory. For example, in the group theory we use the subformulas $x \cdot y$, x^{-1}, 1, in the ring theory we use the subformulas $x \cdot y$, x^{-1}, 1, $x+y$, $-x$, 0, and so on.

Two models $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of the language $\mathcal{L}$ (for example, two groups or two rings) are called *elementarily equivalent* if for every sentence φ of the language $\mathcal{L}$ we have that it is true in $\mathcal{U}$ if and only if it is true in $\mathcal{V}$. We denote this relation between models by $\mathcal{U} \equiv \mathcal{V}$.

The first result in elementary equivalence of linear groups was proved by A. I. Maltsev in 1961 (see [11]). He proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The group $G_m(K_1)$ is elementarily equivalent to the group $G_n(K_2)$ ($G = \mathrm{GL}, \mathrm{PGL}, \mathrm{SL}, \mathrm{PSL}$, $m \geq n \geq 3$, K_1 and K_2 are fields of characteristic 0) if and only if $m = n$ and $K_1 \equiv K_2$.*

In his proof of this theorem A. I. Maltsev used the Jordan normal form of matrices and explained how to write for each matrix M a formula $\varphi(A)$ which is true in the given group if and only if the matrix A has the same Jordan form as the matrix M.

If we consider linear groups over skewfields or rings we still do not have any adequate analogue of the theory of Jordan normal forms.

But recent progress in the model theory (the construction of ultraproducts and ultrapowers) (see [7] and also Sec. 1.4) has helped us to continue investigations in this field. Using this construction in 1992 C. I. Beidar and A. V. Mikhalev formulated a general approach to problems of elementary equivalence of different algebraic structures (see [1]). Taking into account some results in the theory of linear groups over rings, they obtained easy proofs of Maltsev-type theorems in rather general situations (for linear groups over prime rings, for multiplicative semigroups, lattices of submodules, and so on).

We give some of their results which extend the Maltsev theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let R and S be prime associative rings with 1 (1/2) and $m, n \geq 3$ ($m, n \geq 2$). Then $\mathrm{GL}_m(R) \equiv \mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ if and only if either $M_m(R) \equiv M_n(S)$ or $M_m(R) \equiv M_n(S)^{\mathrm{op}}$.*

Theorem 3. *Let R and S be skewfields and $m, n \geq 3$. Then $\mathrm{GL}_m(R) \equiv \mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ if and only if either $m = n$ and $R \equiv S$ or $m = n$ and $R \equiv S^{\mathrm{op}}$.*

In 1998–2001 E. I. Bunina continued to study elementary properties of linear groups (see [3, 4, 5, 6]). In 1998 (see [3, 6]) the results of A. I. Maltsev were generalized to unitary linear groups over fields with involution. The proof, as in the paper [11] of A. I. Maltsev, was based on the Jordan normal form of matrices.

Let K be an infinite field with characteristic not equal to 2 and with an involution j (an involution is an antiautomorphism of order 2), $M_n(K)$ be the total $(n \times n)$-matrix ring over K, and $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be the linear group over K. Let Q_{2n} be the following matrix from $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(K)$:

$$\left.\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\} 2n.$$

Let $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(K, j, Q)$ be the *unitary group* of all matrices $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(K)$ such that $AQ_{2n}A^* = Q_{2n}$, where

$$A^* = (A^j)^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^j & \dots & a_{1n}^j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1}^j & \dots & a_{nn}^j \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^j & \dots & a_{n1}^j \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1n}^j & \dots & a_{nn}^j \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following theorem was proved by E. I. Bunina.

Theorem 4. *If K_1 and K_2 are infinite fields of characteristic not equal to 2 with involutions j_1 and j_2, respectively, and $n, m \geq 2$, then the groups $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(K_1, j_1, Q_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2m}(K_2, j_2, Q_{2m})$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if $m = n$ and the fields K_1 and K_2 are elementarily equivalent as fields with involution.*

Elementary equivalence of fields with involution means that in sentences together with the ring operations we use the operation of involution.

As it was done for linear groups over rings, using the construction of ultraproducts, E. I. Bunina in 1998 (see [4, 6]) considered elementary equivalence of unitary linear groups over rings and skewfields with involution.

Involution in a ring K is an antiautomorphism of order 2, i.e., it is a bijective mapping j from the ring K onto itself such that

1. $j(a+b) = j(a) + j(b)$ for all $a, b \in K$;
2. $j(a \cdot b) = j(b) \cdot j(a)$ for all $a, b \in K$;
3. $j^2(a) = j(j(a)) = a$ for all $a \in K$.

If K is a ring with involution j, then by τ we shall denote the involution of the ring $M_{2n}(K)$ of matrices over K having the form

$$\tau \colon A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1\,2n} \\ \multicolumn{3}{c}{\dotfill} \\ a_{2n\,1} & \dots & a_{2n\,2n} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto Q_{2n} \circ \begin{pmatrix} a_{1\,1}^j & \dots & a_{2n\,1}^j \\ \multicolumn{3}{c}{\dotfill} \\ a_{1\,2n}^j & \dots & a_{2n\,2n}^j \end{pmatrix} \circ Q_{2n}^{-1},$$

where the matrix Q_{2n} has been defined above.

The unitary linear group $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(K, j, Q_{2n})$ over a ring K with an involution j is the group of matrices $A \in M_{2n}(K)$ such that $AA^\tau = E$.

Now we formulate two theorems which were proved by E. I. Bunina.

Theorem 5. *If K_1 and K_2 are associative (commutative) rings with $1/2$ and $1/3$, j_1 and j_2 are involutions in the rings K_1 and K_2, respectively, and $n, m > 2$ ($n, m > 1$), then the unitary linear groups $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(K_1, j_1, Q_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2m}(K_2, j_2, Q_{2m})$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the rings $M_{2n}(K_1)$ and $M_{2m}(K_2)$ are elementarily equivalent as rings with involutions τ_1 and τ_2, respectively.*

Theorem 6. *If skewfields (fields) F_1 and F_2 have characteristic which is not equal to 2, j_1 and j_2 are involutions in skewfields (fields) F_1 and F_2, respectively, and $n, m > 2$ ($n, m > 1$), then the unitary linear groups $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(F_1, j_1, Q_{2n})$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2m}(F_2, j_2, Q_{2m})$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the skewfields (fields) F_1 and F_2 are elementarily equivalent as the skewfields (fields) with involutions j_1 and j_2, respectively.*

In 2001 E. I. Bunina (see [5, 6]) studied elementary properties of Chevalley groups over algebraically closed fields. The class of all Chevalley groups contains many classical groups like $\mathrm{SL}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{SO}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{Spin}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{PSO}_n(K)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K)$, $\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(K)$. Therefore, the studied groups intersect with the groups which were considered by A. I. Maltsev, but there are many other algebraic groups in this class.

The main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *Suppose that Chevalley groups $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ are constructed respectively by algebraically closed fields K_1 and K_2 of characteristic not equal to 2, simple Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$, and lattices $M := L_{V_1}$ and $N := L_{V_2}$. Let $M/M_0 \cong \varphi_1$ and $N/N_0 \cong \varphi_2$, where φ_1 and φ_2 are finite groups. Then $\mathcal{G}_1 \equiv \mathcal{G}_2$ if and only if $K_1 \equiv K_2$, $\mathcal{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{L}_2$, and $\varphi_1 \cong \varphi_2$, except the case where $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ have the same type D_{2l}, $l \geq 3$, and $\varphi_1 \cong \varphi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. In this case there exist two nonequivalent groups such that the corresponding fields are elementarily equivalent.*

In this paper we consider elementary properties of categories of modules over rings, endomorphism rings of almost free modules of infinite ranks over rings, and automorphism groups of almost free modules of infinite ranks over rings.

The first section includes some basic notions from the set theory and the model theory: definitions of first order languages, models of a language, deducibility, interpretability, axioms and basic notions of the theory NBG (Neumann–Bernays–Gödel), which is used for all later constructions, and also some basic notions from category theory (see [8]), which we need in the following sections.

The second section is devoted to elementary properties and elementary equivalence of categories of modules over rings.

In Sec. 2.1, we give some additional notions about the category mod-R.

In Sec. 2.2, we prove that in the category mod-R the notion of a progenerator object is elementary, i.e., there exists a formula of the first order language of category theory with one free object variable such that the formula is true in the category mod-R for progenerators and only for them.

In Sec. 2.3, we show that for a given progenerator P on the semigroup $\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$ we can introduce the operations of addition and multiplication to make this semigroup isomorphic to the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(P)$.

In Sec. 2.4, we consider the case where the rings are finite and prove the theorem that the categories mod-R and mod-S, where the ring R is finite, are elementarily equivalent if and only if they are Morita-equivalent.

In Sec. 2.5, we remind the results of S. Shelah from [14] on interpretation of the set theory in a category.

In Sec. 2.6, we use the results from Sec. 2.5 to select in the category mod-R for some fixed modules X and Y a set of linearly independent projectors from X on Y.

In Sec. 2.7, we describe the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle$, consisting of the class Cn of all cardinal numbers and the ring ring with usual ring relations $+$ and $\circ$, and we also describe the *second-order logic* of this structure ($L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$) which allows us to use in formulas arbitrary predicate symbols of the form

$$P_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k; v_1,\dots,v_n),$$

where $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k$ are fixed cardinal numbers, $c_1,\dots,c_k$ are variables for elements from $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k$, respectively, and $v_1,\dots,v_n$ are variables for ring elements.

Further, in this section the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 8. *Let R and S be rings. Suppose that there exists a sentence ψ of the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$ which is true in the ring R, false in any ring similar to R, and not equivalent to it in the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$. If the categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent, then there exists a ring S' which is similar to S and such that the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S'\rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2.*

Section 2.8 is devoted to the proof of the "opposite" theorem.

Theorem 9. *Let R and S be arbitrary rings with unit. If the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2, then the categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent.*

Finally, in Sec. 2.9 two previous theorems imply a theorem which is an analogue of the Morita theorem for elementary equivalence, as well as some useful corollaries from it.

Theorem 10. *Let R and S be rings. Suppose that there exists a sentence ψ of the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$ which is true in the ring R and is false in any ring similar to R and not equivalent to it in the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$. Then the categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring S' similar to the ring S and such that the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S'\rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2.*

Corollary 1. *For any skewfields F_1 and F_2 the categories* mod-F_1 *and* mod-F_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, F_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, F_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Corollary 2. *For any commutative rings R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Corollary 3. *For arbitrary local rings R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Corollary 4. *For arbitrary integral domains R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2.*

Corollary 5. *For any Artinian rings R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings S_1 and S_2 such that the ring S_1 is similar to the ring R_1, the ring S_2 is similar to the ring R_2, and the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Section 3 is devoted to the same question for endomorphism rings of modules of infinite ranks.

In this section, we suppose that a ring R and an infinite cardinal number $\varkappa$ are such that in the ring R there exists a maximal ideal generated by $\leq \varkappa$ elements (for example, it is true when $\varkappa \geq |R|$ or when the ring R is semisimple or is an integral domain).

In Sec. 3.1, for every free module V of infinite rank over a ring we introduce some special category $C_{M(V)}$ such that elementary equivalence of endomorphism rings of two free modules of infinite ranks over rings is equivalent to elementary equivalence of the corresponding categories.

Section 3.2 is devoted to elementary equivalence of categories $C_{M(V)}$. In Sec. 3.3, we prove the following main theorem and the corollaries from it.

Theorem 11. *Let V_1 and V_2 be free modules of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over rings R_1 and R_2, respectively. Suppose that there exists a sentence $\psi \in \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ such that $\psi \notin \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$ for every ring R' such that R_1 is similar to R' and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle) \neq \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$. Then the categories $C_{M(V_1)}$ and $C_{M(V_1)}$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring S similar to the ring R_2 and such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 6. *Let V_1 and V_2 be two spaces of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over arbitrary skewfields* (*integral domains*) *F_1 and F_2. Then the rings $\mathrm{End}_{F_1} V_1$ and $\mathrm{End}_{F_2} V_2$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, F_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, F_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 7. *Suppose that $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ are infinite cardinal numbers, R_1 and R_2 are commutative* (*local*) *rings, and every maximal ideal of the ring R_1 is generated by at most $\varkappa_1$ elements of the ring. Then for free modules V_1 and V_2 of ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over the rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, the rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1} V_1$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2} V_2$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, R_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 8. *Suppose that $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ are infinite cardinal numbers, R_1 and R_2 are Artinian rings, and every maximal ideal of the ring R_1 is generated by at most $\varkappa_1$ elements of the ring. Then for free modules V_1 and V_2 of ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over the rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, the rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1} V_1$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2} V_2$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings S_1 and S_2 similar to the rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, S_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 9. *For free modules V_1 and V_2 of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over semisimple rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, the rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings S_1 and S_2 similar to the rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, S_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

In Sec. 4, we consider projective spaces of modules of infinite ranks.

In Sec. 4.1, we describe the language of projective spaces and basic notions which can be expressed in this language.

In Sec. 4.2, we show how in a projective space of a module of infinite rank one can interpret a ring that is isomorphic to the ring $\mathrm{End}_R P$ for some progenerator P.

In Sec. 4.3, we show how to interpret the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$ in a projective space of the module V.

Finally, in this section, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 12. *For free modules V_1 and V_2 of infinite ranks over arbitrary rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, elementary equivalence of the lattices of submodules $P(V_1)$ and $P(V_2)$ implies elementary equivalence of the endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$.*

In Sec. 4.4, we prove the "inverse" theorem.

Theorem 13. *Suppose that* V_1 *and* V_2 *are free modules of infinite ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over rings* R_1 *and* R_2, *respectively, and every submodule of the module* V_1 (V_2) *has at most* $\varkappa_1$ ($\varkappa_2$) *generating elements* (*for example, this is true if* $\varkappa_1 \geq |R_1|$ *and* $\varkappa_2 \geq |R_2|$, *or if* R_1 *and* R_2 *are semisimple rings or integral domains*). *Then* $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1) \equiv \mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$ *implies* $P(V_1) \equiv P(V_2)$.

In Sec. 5, we consider automorphism groups of modules of infinite ranks over rings.

In Sec. 5.1, as in [9], we prove that if rings R and S with $1/2$ do not contain any central idempotents that are not equal to 0 and 1, V and V' are free modules of infinite ranks over the rings R and S, respectively, then the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathrm{End}_R(V) \cong \mathrm{End}_S(V')$.

In Sec. 5.2, all results of Sec. 5.1 are proved for elementary equivalences. We do this with the help of ultrapowers, like in the paper [1] of C. I. Beidar and A. V. Mikhalev. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 14. *Suppose that rings* R *and* S *contain* $1/2$ *and do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to* 1 *and* 0. *Suppose that* V *and* V' *are free modules of infinite ranks over the rings* R *and* S, *respectively. Then the groups* $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the rings* $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ *are elementarily equivalent.*

In Sec. 5.3, we assume that the cardinal number $\varkappa_1$ is such that the ring R_1 has a maximal ideal generated by at most $\varkappa_1$ elements.

Theorem 15. *Suppose that rings* R_1 *and* R_2 *contain* $1/2$ *and do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to* 1 *or* 0. *Let* V_1 *and* V_2 *be free modules of infinite ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over the rings* R_1 *and* R_2, *respectively, and let* $\psi \in \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1 \rangle)$ *be such that* $\psi \notin \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R' \rangle)$ *for any ring* R' *such that* R' *is similar to* R_1 *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1 \rangle) \neq \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\varkappa_1, R' \rangle)$. *Then the groups* $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_1}(V_1)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_2}(V_2)$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring* S *similar to the ring* R_2 *and such that the theories* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1 \rangle)$ *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S \rangle)$ *coincide.*

Corollary 10. *For free modules* V_1 *and* V_2 *of infinite ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over skewfields* (*integral domains, commutative or local rings without central idempotents not equal to* 1 *or* 0) F_1 *and* F_2 *with* $1/2$, *respectively, the groups* $\mathrm{Aut}_{F_1}(V_1)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_{F_2}(V_2)$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the theories* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, F_1 \rangle)$ *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, F_2 \rangle)$ *coincide.*

Corollary 11. *For free modules* V_1 *and* V_2 *of infinite ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over Artinian rings* R_1 *and* R_2 *with* $1/2$ *without central idempotents not equal to* 1 *or* 0, *respectively, the groups* $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_1}(V_1)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_2}(V_2)$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings* S_1 *and* S_2 *such that the ring* R_1 *is similar to the ring* S_1, *the ring* R_2 *is similar to the ring* S_2, *and the theories* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, S_1 \rangle)$ *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S_2 \rangle)$ *coincide.*

1 Basic Notions from the Set Theory, Model Theory, and Category Theory

1.1 First Order Languages

The *first order language* $\mathcal{L}$ is some set of symbols. This set consists of

the blank symbol;

the parentheses (,);

the connectives $\Rightarrow$ ("implies") and $\neg$ ("not");

the quantifier $\forall$ (for all);

the equality symbol =;

a countable set of variables v_i $(i \geq 0)$;

a nonempty countable set of predicate symbols P_i^n $(n \geq 1,\, i \geq 0)$;

a countable set of function symbols F_i^n $(n \geq 1,\, i \geq 0)$;

a countable set of constant symbols c_i $(i \geq 0)$.

Some symbol-strings constructed from these symbols of the first order language $\mathcal{L}$ are called *terms* and *formulas* of this language.

Terms are defined in the following way:

1. a variable is a term;
2. a constant symbol is a term;
3. if F_i^n is some function symbol, $t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ are terms, then $F_i^n(t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1})$ is a term;
4. a symbol-string is a term if and only if this follows from the rules (1)–(3).

If P_i^n is some predicate symbol and $t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ are terms, then the symbol-string $(P_i^n(t_0, \ldots, t_{n-1}))$ is called an *elementary formula*.

Formulas of the language $\mathcal{L}$ are defined in the following way:

1. every elementary formula is a formula;
2. if φ and ψ are formulas and v is a variable, then each of the symbol-strings $(\neg\varphi)$, $(\varphi \Rightarrow \psi)$, and $(\forall v\, \varphi)$ is a formula;
3. a symbol-string is a formula if and only if this follows from the rules (1) and (2).

Let us introduce the following abbreviations:

$(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ stands for $(\neg(\varphi \Rightarrow (\neg\psi)))$;

$(\varphi \vee \psi)$ stands for $((\neg\varphi) \Rightarrow \psi)$;

$(\varphi \equiv \psi)$ stands for $((\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \Rightarrow \varphi))$;

$(\exists v\, \varphi)$ is an abbreviation for $(\neg(\forall v\, (\neg\varphi)))$;

$\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2 \vee \cdots \vee \varphi_n$ stands for $(\varphi_1 \vee (\varphi_2 \vee \cdots \vee \varphi_n))$;

$\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n$ stands for $(\varphi_1 \wedge (\varphi_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n))$;

$(\forall x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n)\varphi$ stands for $(\forall x_1)(\forall x_2)\ldots(\forall x_n)\varphi$;

$(\exists x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n)\varphi)$ stands for $(\exists x_1)(\exists x_2)\ldots(\exists x_n)\varphi$.

We introduce the notion of *free* and *bound* occurrences of a variable in a formula. An occurrence of a variable v in a given formula is called *bound* if v is either the variable of a quantifier prefix $\forall v$ occurring in this formula or is under the action of a quantifier prefix $\forall v$ occurring in this formula; otherwise an occurrence of a variable in a given formula is called *free*. Thus, one variable can have free and bound occurrences in the same formula. A variable is called *free* (*bound*) *variable* in a given formula if there exist free (bound) occurrences of this variable in this formula, i.e., a variable can at the same time be free and bound in one formula.

A *sentence* is a formula with no free variables.

If ζ is a term or a formula, θ is a term, and v is a variable, then $\zeta(v\|\theta)$ denotes the symbol-string obtained by replacing every free occurrence of the variable v in the symbol-string ζ by the symbol-string θ.

A substitution $v\|\theta$ in ζ is called *admissible* if for every free occurrence of a variable w in the symbol-string θ every free occurrence v in ζ is not a free occurrence in some formula ψ occurring in some formula $\forall w\,\psi(w)$ or $\exists w\,\psi(w)$ that occurs in the symbol-string ζ.

In the sequel, if a substitution $v\|\theta$ in ζ is admissible, then along with $\zeta(v\|\theta)$ we shall write $\zeta(\theta)$.

If ζ is a term or a formula, θ is a term, and v is a variable such that the substitution $v\|\theta$ in ζ is admissible, then the substitution $\zeta(v\|\theta)$ is a term or a formula, respectively.

Every free occurrence of some variable u (except v) in a symbol-string ζ and every free occurrence of some variable w in a symbol-string θ are free occurrences of these variables in a symbol-string $\zeta(v\|\theta)$ (provided that the variable v is free in ζ).

A symbol-string γ, equipped with some rule, is called a *formula scheme of a language* $\mathcal{L}$ if

1. this rule marks some letters (in particular, free and bound variables) occurring in γ;
2. this rule determines the necessary substitution of these marked letters in γ by some terms (in particular, variables);
3. after every such substitution in γ some propositional formula φ of the language $\mathcal{L}$ is obtained.

Each such propositional formula φ is called a *formula, obtained by the application of the formula scheme* γ.

A text Γ consisting of symbol-strings separated by blank-symbols is called an *axiom text* if every symbol-string γ occurring in Γ is either a formula or a formula scheme of the language $\mathcal{L}$. If γ is a formula, then γ is called an *explicit axiom of the language* $\mathcal{L}$. If γ is a formula scheme, then it is called an *axiom scheme of the language* $\mathcal{L}$. Every formula obtained by the application of the axiom scheme γ is called an *implicit axiom of the language* $\mathcal{L}$.

We need *logical axioms* and *rules of deduction* to construct a formal system.

Logical axiom schemes of any first order language are cited below.

LAS1. $\varphi \Rightarrow (\psi \Rightarrow \varphi)$.
LAS2. $(\varphi \Rightarrow (\psi \Rightarrow \chi)) \Rightarrow ((\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow (\varphi \Rightarrow \chi))$.
LAS3. $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \Rightarrow \varphi$.
LAS4. $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \Rightarrow \psi$.
LAS5. $\varphi \Rightarrow (\psi \Rightarrow (\varphi \wedge \psi))$.
LAS6. $\varphi \Rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi)$.
LAS7. $\psi \Rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi)$.
LAS8. $(\varphi \Rightarrow \chi) \Rightarrow ((\psi \Rightarrow \chi) \Rightarrow ((\varphi \vee \psi) \Rightarrow \chi))$.
LAS9. $(\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow ((\varphi \Rightarrow (\neg\psi)) \Rightarrow (\neg\varphi))$.
LAS10. $(\neg(\neg\varphi)) \Rightarrow \varphi$.
LAS11. $(\forall v\varphi) \Rightarrow \varphi(v\|\theta)$ if v is a variable and θ is a term such that the substitution $v\|\theta$ in φ is admissible.
LAS12. $\varphi(v\|\theta) \Rightarrow (\exists v\varphi)$ in the same conditions as in **LAS11**.
LAS13. $(\forall v(\psi \Rightarrow \varphi(v))) \Rightarrow (\psi \Rightarrow (\forall v\varphi))$ if the variable v is not free in ψ.
LAS14. $(\forall v(\varphi(v) \Rightarrow \psi)) \Rightarrow ((\exists v\varphi) \Rightarrow \psi)$ if the variable v is not free in ψ.

Rules of deduction are the following.

the rule of implication (modus ponens or MP): from φ and $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$ it follows that ψ;

the rule of generalization (Gen): from φ it follows that $(\forall x)(\varphi)$.

Let Σ be a totality of formulas and ψ be a formula of the language $\mathcal{L}$. A sequence $f \equiv (\varphi_i | i \in n+1) \equiv (\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_n)$ of formulas of the language $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *deduction of the formula* ψ *from the totality* Σ if $\varphi_n = \psi$ and for any $0 \leq i \leq n$ one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

1. φ_i belongs to Σ or is a logical axiom;
2. there exist $0 \leq k < j < i$ such that φ_j is $(\varphi_k \Rightarrow \varphi_i)$, i.e., φ_i is obtained from φ_k and $\varphi_k \Rightarrow \varphi_i$ by the rule of implication MP;

3. there exists $0 \leq j < i$ such that φ_i is $\forall x\, \varphi_j$, where x is not a free variable of any formula from Σ, i.e., φ_i is obtained from φ_j by the rule of generalization Gen with the given *structural requirement.*

Denote this deduction either by $f \equiv (\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n)\colon \Sigma \vdash \psi$, or by $(\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n)\colon \Sigma \vdash \psi$, or by $f\colon \Sigma \vdash \psi$.

If there exists a deduction $f\colon \Sigma \vdash \psi$, then the formula ψ is called *deducible in the language $\mathcal{L}$ from the set* Σ, and the deduction f is called a *proof of the formula ψ*.

A (*first order*) *theory* T in the language $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of sentences of the language $\mathcal{L}$. A *set of axioms* of a theory T is any set of sentences, which has the same corollaries as T.

Now we introduce axioms and basic notions of the set theory NBG (von Neumann–Bernays–Gödel) (see [12]), which is a first order theory. We shall use it for all our constructions.

1.2 Axioms and Basic Notions of the Theory NBG

The set theory NBG (see [12]) has one predicate symbol P, which denotes a 2-place relation, no function symbols, and no constant symbols. We shall use Latin letters X, Y, and Z with subscripts and apostrophes as variables of this system. We also introduce the abbreviations $X \in Y$ for $P(X, Y)$ and $X \notin Y$ for $\neg P(X, Y)$. The sign $\in$ can be interpreted as the symbol of belonging.

The formula $X = Y$ (X is equal to Y) is an abbreviation for the formula $\forall Z\, (Z \in X \Leftrightarrow Z \in Y)$, i.e., two objects are equal if they consist of the same elements.

The formula $X \subseteq Y$ is an abbreviation for the formula $\forall Z\, (Z \in X \Rightarrow Z \in Y)$ (*inclusion*), $X \subset Y$ is an abbreviation for $X \subseteq Y \wedge X \neq Y$ (*proper inclusion*).

From these definitions we can easily get the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *a.* $\vdash X = Y \Leftrightarrow (X \subseteq Y \wedge Y \subseteq X)$;

b. $\vdash X = X$;

c. $\vdash X = Y \Rightarrow Y = X$;

d. $\vdash X = Y \Rightarrow (Y = Z \Rightarrow X = Z)$;

e. $\vdash X = Y \Rightarrow (Z \in X \Rightarrow Z \in Y)$.

Objects of the theory NBG are called *classes.* A class is called a *set* if it is an element of some class. A class which is not a set is called a *proper class.* We introduce small Latin letters x, y, and z with subscripts as special variables bounded by sets. This means that the formula $\forall x\, A(x)$ is an abbreviation for $\forall X\, (X \text{ is a set} \Rightarrow A(X))$, and it has the sense "$A$ is true for all sets", and $\exists x\, A(x)$ is an abbreviation for $\exists X\, (X \text{ is a set} \wedge A(X))$, and it has the sense "$A$ is true for some set."

A1 (*the extensionality axiom*). $X = Y \Rightarrow (X \in Z \Leftrightarrow Y \in Z)$.

A2 (*the pair axiom*). $\forall x\, \forall y\, \exists z\, \forall u\, (u \in z \Leftrightarrow u = x \vee u = y)$, i.e., for all sets x and y there exists a set z such that x and y are the only elements of z.

A3 (*the empty set axiom*). $\exists x\, \forall y\, \neg(y \in x)$, i.e., there exists a set which does not contain any elements.

Axioms **A1** and **A3** imply that this set is unique, i.e., we can introduce a constant symbol $\varnothing$ (or 0), with the condition $\forall y\, (y \notin \varnothing)$.

Also we can introduce a new function symbol $f(x, y)$ for the pair, and write it in the form $\{x, y\}$. We can even define a pair $\{X, Y\}$ for arbitrary classes X and Y, setting $\{X, Y\} = 0$ if one of the classes X, Y is not a set. Further, set $\{X\} = \{X, X\}$. The class $\langle X, Y\rangle \equiv \{\{X\}, \{X, Y\}\}$ is called the *ordered pair* of classes X and Y. Similarly we can introduce *ordered triplets, quadruplets* and so on.

AS4 (*the axiom scheme of existence of classes*). Let

$$\varphi(X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$$

be a formula. We shall call this formula *predicative* if only variables for sets are bound in it (i.e., if it can be transferred to this form with the help of abbreviations). For every predicative formula $\varphi(X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$

$$\exists Z\, \forall x_1 \ldots \forall x_n\, (\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \in Z \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)).$$

The class Z which exists by the axiom scheme **AS4** will be denoted by

$$\{x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid \varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)\}.$$

Now, by the axiom scheme **AS4**, we can define for arbitrary classes X and Y the following derivative classes:

$X \cap Y \equiv \{u \mid u \in X \wedge u \in Y\}$ (*the intersection of classes X and Y*);

$X \cup Y \equiv \{u \mid u \in X \vee u \in Y\}$ (*the union of classes X and Y*);

$\bar{X} \equiv \{u \mid u \notin X\}$ (*the addition to a class X*);

$V \equiv \{u \mid u = u\}$ (*the universal class*);

$X \setminus Y \equiv \{u \mid u \in X \wedge u \notin Y\}$ (*the difference of classes X and Y*);

$\mathrm{Dom}(X) \equiv \{u \mid \exists v\, (\langle u, v \rangle \in X)\}$ (*the domain of a class X*);

$X \times Y \equiv \{u \mid \exists x\, \exists y\, (u = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge x \in X \wedge y \in Y)\}$ (*the Cartesian product of classes X and Y*);

$\mathcal{P}(X) \equiv \{u \mid u \subseteq X\}$ (*the class of all subsets of a class X*);

$\cup X \equiv \{u \mid \exists v\, (u \in v \wedge v \in X)\}$ (*the union of all elements of a class X*).

Introduce now other axioms.

A5 (*the union axiom*). $\forall x\, \exists y\, \forall u\, (u \in y \Leftrightarrow \exists v\, (u \in v \wedge v \in x))$.

A6 (*the power set axiom*). $\forall x\, \exists y\, \forall u\, (u \in y \Leftrightarrow u \subseteq x)$.

A7 (*the separation axiom*). $\forall x\, \forall Y\, \exists z\, \forall u\, (u \in z \Leftrightarrow u \in x \wedge u \in Y)$.

Denote the class $X \times X$ by X^2, the class $X \times X \times X$ by X^3 and so on. Denote the formula $\forall x\, \exists y\, \forall z\, (\langle x, y \rangle \in X \wedge \langle x, z \rangle \in X \Rightarrow y = z)$ by $\mathrm{Un}(X)$.

A8 (*the replacement axiom*). $\forall X\, \forall x\, (\mathrm{Un}(X) \Rightarrow \exists y\, \forall u\, (u \in y \Leftrightarrow \exists v\, (\langle v, u \rangle \in X \wedge v \in x)))$.

A9 (*the infinity axiom*). $\exists x\, (0 \in x \wedge \forall u\, (u \in x \Rightarrow u \cup \{u\} \in x))$. It is clear that for such a set x we have $\{0\} \in x$, $\{0, \{0\}\} \in x$, $\{0, \{0\}, \{0, \{0\}\}\} \in x$,… If we now set $1 := \{0\}$, $2 := \{0, 1\}$,…, $n := \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then for every integer $n \geq 0$ the condition $n \in x$ is fulfilled and $0 \neq 1$, $0 \neq 2$, $1 \neq 2$,…

A10 (*the regularity axiom*). $\forall X\, (X \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow \exists x \in X\, (x \cap X = \varnothing))$.

A11 (*the axiom of choice AC*). For every set x there exists a mapping f such that for every nonempty subset $y \subseteq x$ we have $f(y) \in y$ (this mapping is called a *choice* mapping for x).

The list of axioms of the theory NBG is finished.

A class P is called *ordered by a binary relation $\leq$ on P* if the following conditions hold

1. $\forall p \in P\,(p \leq p)$;
2. $\forall p, q \in P\,(p \leq q \wedge q \leq p \Rightarrow p = q)$;
3. $\forall p, q, r \in P\,(p \leq q \wedge q \leq r \Rightarrow p \leq r)$.

If, in addition,

4. $\forall p, q \in P\,(p \leq q \vee q \leq p)$,

then the relation $\leq$ is called a *linear order* on the class P.

An ordered class P is called *well-ordered* if

5. $\forall q\,(\varnothing \neq q \subseteq P \Rightarrow \exists x \in q\,(\forall y \in q\,(x \leq y)))$, i.e., every nonempty subset of the class P has the smallest element.

If a class P is ordered by a relation $\leq$ and A is a nonempty subclass of the class P, then an element $p \in P$ is called the *least upper bound* or the *supremum of the subclass* A if

$$\forall x \in A\,(x \leq p) \wedge \forall y \in P\,((\forall x' \in A\,(x' \leq y)) \Rightarrow p \leq y).$$

This formula is denoted by $p = \sup A$.

A class S is called *transitive* if $\forall x\,(x \in S \Rightarrow x \subseteq S)$.

A class (a set) S is called an *ordinal* (an *ordinal number*) if S is transitive and well-ordered by the relation $\in \cup =$ on S. The property of a class S to be an ordinal will be denoted by $\mathrm{On}(S)$.

Ordinal numbers are usually denoted by Greek letters α, β, γ, and so on. The class of all ordinal numbers is denoted by On. The natural ordering of the class of ordinal numbers is the relation $\alpha \leq \beta :\!= \alpha = \beta \vee \alpha \in \beta$. The class On is transitive and linearly ordered by the relation $\in \cup =$.

There are some simple assertions about ordinal numbers:

1. if α is an ordinal number, a is a set, and $a \in \alpha$, then a is an ordinal number;
2. $\alpha = \{\beta \mid \beta \in \alpha\}$ for every ordinal number α;
3. $\alpha + 1 \equiv \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ is the smallest ordinal number that is greater than α;
4. every nonempty set of ordinal numbers has the smallest element.

Therefore the ordered class On is well-ordered. Thus On is an ordinal.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a nonempty subclass of the class* On. *Then A has the smallest element.*

Lemma 2. *If a is a nonempty set of ordinal numbers, then the following statements hold*:

1. *the class $\cup a$ is an ordinal number*;
2. *$\cup a = \sup a$ in the ordered class* On.

An ordinal number α is called a *successor* if $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some ordinal number β. This unique number β will be denoted by $\alpha - 1$. In the opposite case α is called a *limit ordinal number*.

Lemma 3. *An ordinal number α is a limit ordinal number if and only if $\alpha = \sup \alpha$.*

The smallest (in the class On) nonzero limit ordinal is denoted by ω. Ordinals which are smaller than ω are called *natural numbers*.

The classes F which are mappings with domains equal to ω are called *infinite sequences*. Mappings with domains equal to $n \in \omega$ are called *finite sequences*.

Theorem 1 (the principle of transfinite induction). *Let C be a class of ordinal numbers such that the following statements hold*:

1. $\varnothing \in C$;
2. $\alpha \in C \Rightarrow \alpha + 1 \in C$;
3. (α *is a limit ordinal number* $\wedge\ \alpha \subset C) \Rightarrow \alpha \in C$.

Then $C = \mathrm{On}$.

Sets a and b are called *equivalent* (notation: $|a| = |b|$ or $a \sim b$) if there exists a bijective mapping $u\colon a \to b$.

An ordinal number α is called a *cardinal* if for every ordinal number β the conditions $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $|\beta| = |\alpha|$ imply $\beta = \alpha$. The class of all cardinal numbers will be denoted by Cn. The class Cn with the order induced from the class On is well-ordered.

The axiom of choice implies the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *For every set a there exists an ordinal number α such that $|a| = |\alpha|$.*

Now for a set a consider the class $\{x \mid x \in \mathrm{On} \wedge x \sim a\}$. By Lemma 4, this class is not empty and therefore it contains the smallest element α. It is clear that α is a cardinal number. Further, this class contains only one cardinal number α. This number α is called the *cardinality of the set a* (it is denoted by $|a|$ or $\operatorname{card} a$). Two sets having the same cardinality are equivalent. A set of cardinality ω is called *denumerable.* Sets of cardinality $n \in \omega$ are called *finite.* A set is called *countable* if it is finite or denumerable. A set is called *infinite* if it is not finite. A set is called *uncountable* if it is not countable.

Note that if $\varkappa$ is an infinite cardinal number, then $\varkappa$ is a limit ordinal number.

As for ordinal numbers, we use Greek letters for cardinal numbers: ξth infinite cardinal number will be denoted by ω_ξ (i.e., the cardinal number ω will be denoted also by ω_0).

Let α be an ordinal. A *confinality* of α is the ordinal number $\mathrm{cf}(\alpha)$ which is equal to the smallest ordinal number β for which there exists a function f from β into α such that $\sup f[\beta] = \alpha$.

A cardinal $\varkappa$ is called *regular* if $\mathrm{cf}(\varkappa) = \varkappa$, i.e., for every ordinal number β for which there exists a function $f\colon \beta \to \varkappa$ such that $\cup \operatorname{rng} f = \varkappa$ the inequality $\varkappa \leq \beta$ holds, where $\cup \operatorname{rng} f = \varkappa$ means that for every $y \in \varkappa$ there exists $x \in \beta$ such that $y < f(x)$.

A cardinal $\varkappa > \omega$ is called (*strongly*) *inaccessible* if $\varkappa$ is regular and $\operatorname{card} \mathcal{P}(\lambda) < \varkappa$ for all ordinal numbers $\lambda < \varkappa$.

1.3 Models, Deducibility, and Elementary Equivalence

Since we now suppose that all our constructions are made in the theory NBG, it follows that in the definition of deduction of a formula ψ from a totality Σ we can change condition (1) ("φ_i belongs to Σ or is a logical axiom") to "φ_i belongs to Σ or is a logical axiom or is a proper axiom of the theory NBG."

Let in the theory NBG some object A be selected. This selected object A is called a *universe* if in the theory NBG for all $n \geq 1$ the notions of n-finite sequence $(x_i \in A \mid i \in n)$ of elements of the object A, n-place relation $R \subset A^n$, n-place operation $O\colon A^n \to A$, and infinite sequence $x_0, \ldots, x_q, \ldots$ of elements of the object A are defined.

A *model of a first order language $\mathcal{L}$ equipped with the universe A* is a pair $\mathcal{U}$ consisting of the object A and some correspondence I that assigns to every predicate symbol P_i^n some n-place relation in A, to every function symbol F_i^n some n-place operation in A, and to every constant symbol c_i some element of A.

Let s be an infinite sequence $x_0, \ldots, x_q, \ldots$ of elements of the object A.

Define the *value of a term t of the language $\mathcal{L}$ on the sequence s in the model $\mathcal{U}$* (notation: $t_{\mathcal{U}}[s]$) by induction in the following way:

– if $t \equiv v_i$, then $t_{\mathcal{U}}[s] \equiv x_i$;

– if $t \equiv c_i$, then $t_{\mathcal{U}}[s] \equiv I(c_i)$;

– if $t \equiv F_i^n(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$, where F is a function symbol and $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are terms, then $t_{\mathcal{U}}[s] \equiv I(F_i^n)(t_{1\mathcal{U}}[s], \ldots, t_{n\mathcal{U}}[s])$.

Define the *translation of a formula* φ *on the sequence* s *in the model* $\mathcal{U}$ (notation: $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s]$) by induction in the following way:

- if $\varphi \equiv (P_i^n(t_1, \ldots, t_n))$, where P_i^n is a predicate symbol and $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are terms, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv ((t_{1\mathcal{U}}[s], \ldots, t_{n\mathcal{U}}[s]) \in I(P_i^n))$;
- if $\varphi \equiv (\neg\theta)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\neg\mathcal{U} \vDash \theta[s])$;
- if $\varphi \equiv (\theta_1 \Rightarrow \theta_2)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_1[s] \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_2[s])$;
- if $\varphi \equiv (\forall v_i\, \theta)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\forall x\, (x \in A \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} \vDash \theta[x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_q, \ldots]))$.

Using the abbreviations cited above, we have also the following:

- if $\varphi \equiv (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_1[s] \wedge \mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_2[s])$;
- if $\varphi \equiv (\theta_1 \vee \theta_2)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_1 \vee \mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_2[s])$;
- if $\varphi \equiv (\exists v_i\, \theta)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\exists x\, (x \in A \wedge \mathcal{U} \vDash \theta[x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_q, \ldots]))$;
- if $\varphi \equiv (\theta_1 \Leftrightarrow \theta_2)$, then $\mathcal{U} \vDash \varphi[s] \equiv (\mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_1[s] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{U} \vDash \theta_2[s])$.

Models $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ of a language $\mathcal{L}$ are called *isomorphic* if there exists a bijective mapping f of the set (universe) A onto the set A' and the following conditions are satisfied:

1. for every n-place relation R of the model $\mathcal{U}$ and the corresponding relation R' of the model $\mathcal{U}'$ $R(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ if and only if $R'(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_n))$ for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ from A;
2. for every m-place operation G of the model $\mathcal{U}$ and the corresponding operation G' of the model $\mathcal{U}'$
$$f(G(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) = G'(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_m))$$
for all $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ from A;
3. for every constant x of the model $\mathcal{U}$ and the corresponding constant x' of the model $\mathcal{U}'$
$$f(x) = x'.$$

Every mapping f satisfying these conditions is called an *isomorphism of the model* $\mathcal{U}$ *onto the model* $\mathcal{U}'$ or an *isomorphism between the models* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\mathcal{U}'$. The fact that f is an isomorphism of the model $\mathcal{U}$ onto the model $\mathcal{U}'$ will be denoted by $f \colon \mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}'$, and the formula $\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U}'$ means that the models $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ are isomorphic.

A model $\mathcal{U}'$ is called a *submodel* of a model $\mathcal{U}$ if $A' \subset A$ and

1. every n-place relation R' of the model $\mathcal{U}'$ is the restriction on the set A' of the corresponding relation R of the model $\mathcal{U}$, i.e., $R' = R \cap (A')^n$;
2. every m-place operation G' of the model $\mathcal{U}'$ is the restriction on the set A' of the corresponding operation G of the model $\mathcal{U}$, i.e., $G' = G|(A')^m$;
3. every constant of the model $\mathcal{U}'$ coincides with the corresponding constant of the model $\mathcal{U}$.

We shall use the notation $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ to express the fact that $\mathcal{U}'$ is a submodel of the model $\mathcal{U}$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a submodel of a model $\mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{V}$ is called an *extension* of the model $\mathcal{U}$.

Now we shall give a formal definition of satisfiability. Let φ be an arbitrary formula of a language $\mathcal{L}$, let all its variables, free and bound, be contained in the set $v_0, \ldots, v_q$, and let $x_0, \ldots, x_q$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of the set A. We define the predicate

φ is true on the sequence $x_0, \ldots, x_q$ in the model $\mathcal{U}$, or *$x_0, \ldots, x_q$ satisfies the formula φ in $\mathcal{U}$*.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be some fixed model of a language $\mathcal{L}$. The following sentence shows that the assertion

$$\mathcal{U} \models \varphi(v_0, \ldots, v_p)[x_0, \ldots, x_q]$$

depends only on the values $x_0, \ldots, x_p$, where $p < q$.

Proposition 2. *1. Let $t(v_0, \ldots, v_p)$ be a term, and let $x_0, \ldots, x_q$ and $y_0, \ldots, y_r$ be two sequences of elements such that $p \leq q$, $p \leq r$, and $x_i = y_i$ whenever v_i is a free variable of the term t. Then*

$$t[x_0, \ldots, x_q] = t[y_0, \ldots, y_r].$$

2. Let φ be a formula, let all its variables, free and bound, belong to the set $v_0, \ldots, v_p$, and let $x_0, \ldots, x_q$ and $y_0, \ldots, y_r$ be two sequences of elements such that $p \leq q$, $p \leq r$, and $x_i = y_i$ whenever v_i is a free variable in the formula φ. Then

$$\mathcal{U} \models \varphi[x_0, \ldots, x_q] \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \mathcal{U} \models \varphi[y_0, \ldots, y_r].$$

This proposition allows us to give the following definition. Let $\varphi(v_0, \ldots, v_p)$ be a formula, and let all its variables, free and bound, be contained in the set $v_0, \ldots, v_q$, where $p \leq q$. Let $x_0, \ldots, x_p$ be a sequence of elements of the set A. We shall say that φ *is true in* $\mathcal{U}$ *on* $x_0, \ldots, x_p$,

$$\mathcal{U} \models \varphi[x_0, \ldots, x_p]$$

if φ is true in $\mathcal{U}$ on $x_0, \ldots, x_p, \ldots, x_q$ with some (or, equivalently, any) sequence $x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_q$.

Let φ be a sentence, and let all its bound variables be contained in the set $v_0, \ldots, v_q$. We shall say that φ *is true in the model* $\mathcal{U}$ (notation: $\mathcal{U} \models \varphi$) if φ is true in $\mathcal{U}$ on some (equivalently, any) sequence $x_0, \ldots, x_q$.

Now we say that

a sentence σ *is true* in $\mathcal{U}$,

if

$\mathcal{U} \models \sigma[x_0, \ldots, x_q]$ *for some (or, equivalently, for any) sequence* $x_0, \ldots, x_q$ *of elements from* A.

We use special notation $\mathcal{U} \models \sigma$ to express this fact.

In the case where σ is not true in $\mathcal{U}$, we say that σ is *false* in $\mathcal{U}$, or that σ *does not hold* in $\mathcal{U}$, or that $\mathcal{U}$ *is a model of the sentence* $\neg\sigma$. If we have a set Σ of sentences, we say that $\mathcal{U}$ is a model of this set if $\mathcal{U}$ is a model of every sentence $\sigma \in \Sigma$. It is useful to denote this concept by $\mathcal{U} \models \Sigma$. A sentence σ which holds in every model of a language $\mathcal{L}$ is called true. A sentence (or a set of sentences) is called *satisfiable* if it has at least one model. A sentence σ is called *refutable* if $\neg\sigma$ is satisfiable.

A sentence φ is called a *corollary* from a sentence σ (notation: $\sigma \models \varphi$) if every model of the sentence σ is also a model of φ. A sentence φ is called a corollary of a set of sentences Σ (notation: $\Sigma \models \varphi$) if every model of Σ is also a model of φ. Therefore

$$\Sigma \cup \{\sigma\} \models \varphi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Sigma \models \sigma \Rightarrow \varphi.$$

Models $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ of a language $\mathcal{L}$ are called *elementarily equivalent* if every sentence holds in $\mathcal{U}$ if and only if it holds in $\mathcal{V}$. We express this relation between models by the notation $\equiv$. It is clear that the relation $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation.

Any two isomorphic models of the same language are elementarily equivalent. If two models of the same language are elementarily equivalent and one of them is finite, then they are also isomorphic. If models are infinite and elementarily equivalent, they are not necessarily isomorphic. For example, the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and the field $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers are elementarily equivalent, but not isomorphic, because they have different cardinalities.

Besides first order languages described above, we shall need to consider second-order languages, in which we can also quantify predicates, i.e., use predicate symbols as variables. Such languages will be described in the following sections. We shall say that two models of the same language (for example, a second-order language) $\mathcal{L}$ are equivalent in this language if for every sentence of the language $\mathcal{L}$ it holds in the first model if and only if it holds in the second one.

1.4 Ultrafilters, Ultraproducts, and Ultrapowers

The construction of ultraproduct became a strong instrument in the model theory. We shall describe it in this section (see [7]).

Let I be any nonempty set. By $\mathcal{P}(I)$ we denote the set of all subsets of the set I. A *filter* D over the set I is a set $D \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

1. $I \in D$,
2. if $X, Y \in D$, then $X \cap Y \in D$,
3. if $X \in D$ and $X \subset Z \subset I$, then $Z \in D$.

Since $I \in D$, every filter D is nonempty. Now we give some examples: the *trivial filter* $D = \{I\}$; the *improper filter* $D = \mathcal{P}(I)$; the filter $D = \{X \subset I \colon Y \subset X\}$ for any set $Y \subset I$ (this filter is called the *principal filter*, generated by the set Y).

A filter D over a set I is called an *ultrafilter* over I if for any $X \in \mathcal{P}(I)$

$$X \in D \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (I \setminus X) \notin D.$$

Let I be any nonempty set, D be a proper filter over I, and let A_i be a nonempty set for each $i \in I$. Consider

$$C = \prod_{i \in I} A_i,$$

the Cartesian product of these sets. In other words, C is the set of all mappings f which are defined on I and are such that $f(i) \in A_i$ for each $i \in I$. The mappings $f, g \in C$ are said to be *D-equivalent* (notation: $f =_D g$) if

$$\{i \in I \colon f(i) = g(i)\} \in D.$$

Proposition 3. *The relation $=_D$ is an equivalence relation on the set C.*

Now let f_D be the equivalence class which contains the mapping f:

$$f_D = \{d \in C \colon f =_D g\}.$$

We now define the *filter product over sets A_i by the filter D* as the set of all equivalence classes of the relation $=_D$. We denote it by $\prod\limits_D A_i$. Therefore,

$$\prod_D A_i = \Big\{ f_D \colon f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i \Big\}.$$

The set I is called the *set of indices* of $\prod\limits_D A_i$. If D is an ultrafilter over I, the filter product $\prod\limits_D A_i$ is called the *ultraproduct*. If all A_i coincide (i.e., $A_i = A$), the filter product is denoted by $\prod\limits_D A$ and called the *filter power of the set A by the filter D*. In particular, if D is an ultrafilter, then $\prod\limits_D A$ is called the *ultrapower of the set A by the filter D*.

Now we give the definition of the filter product of models. Suppose that I is any nonempty set, D is a proper filter over I, and $\mathcal{U}_i$ is a model of the language $\mathcal{L}$ for every $i \in I$. We suppose that the predicate symbols P are interpreted in the model $\mathcal{U}_i$ as P_i, the function symbols F as F_i, and the constant symbols c as c_i.

By definition, *the filter product* $\prod\limits_D \mathcal{U}_i$ is the model of the language $\mathcal{L}$ which is defined by the following:

i. the universe of the model is the set $\prod\limits_D A_i$;

ii. let P be some n-place predicate symbol of the language $\mathcal{L}$. This symbol P is interpreted in the model $\prod\limits_D \mathcal{U}_i$ as the relation $\bar{P}$, satisfying the condition

$$\bar{P}(f^1_D, \dots, f^n_D) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \{i \in I \colon P_i(f^1(i), \dots, f^n(i))\} \in D;$$

iii. let F be some n-place function symbol of the language $\mathcal{L}$. The symbol F is interpreted in $\prod\limits_D \mathcal{U}_i$ by means of the following mapping $\bar{F}$:

$$\bar{F}(f^1_D, \dots, f^n_D) = (F_i(f^1(i), \dots, f^n(i)) \colon i \in I)_D;$$

iv. let c be a constant symbol of the language $\mathcal{L}$. This symbol is interpreted as the element

$$\bar{c} = (c_i \colon i \in I)_D$$

of the set $\prod\limits_D A_i$.

Proposition 4. *Let* $\prod\limits_D \mathcal{U}$ *be an ultrapower of a model* $\mathcal{U}$. *Then* $\prod\limits_D \mathcal{U} \equiv \mathcal{U}$.

The following important theorem was proved by Keisler and Shelah (the proof can be found in [7]).

Theorem 2 (the isomorphism theorem). *Let* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\mathcal{V}$ *be models of the language* $\mathcal{L}$. *Then* $\mathcal{U}$ *and* $\mathcal{V}$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if they have isomorphic ultrapowers.*

1.5 Basic Notions from the Category Theory. Category of Modules over Rings

We took the basic definitions and notions of this section from [8].

We shall consider an algebraic system C, consisting of two classes Obj and Mor, and three operations: *collection*, *composition* (denoted by $\circ$) and *identification*, satisfying the following conditions.

1. Collection maps every element of the class Mor to an ordered pair of elements of the class Obj (if f is an element of the class Mor and $A, B \in \mathrm{Obj}$ are the corresponding elements, then we write $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$).
2. Composition maps some pairs of elements from Mor to elements from Mor (if f, g are elements from Mor and h is the corresponding element from Mor, then we write $h = f \circ g$).
3. Identification maps every element A from the class Obj to some element $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, A)$ (we write $f = 1_A$).
4. For every $A \in \mathrm{Obj}$ we have $1_A \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, A)$.
5. For every $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Obj}$, $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$, $g \in \mathrm{Mor}(B, C)$ there exists $h \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, C)$ such that $h = g \circ f$.
6. For every $A, B, C, D \in \mathrm{Obj}$, $w \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$, $v \in \mathrm{Mor}(B, C)$, $u \in \mathrm{Mor}(C, D)$ we have $(u \circ v) \circ w = u \circ (v \circ w)$.
7. For every $A, B \in \mathrm{Obj}$, $u \in \mathrm{Mor}(B, A)$, $v \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$ we have $1_A \circ u = u$ and $v \circ 1_A = v$.

Elements $u \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$ are called *morphisms* from the object A into the object B. The formula $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(A, B)$ will be denoted also by $f \colon A \to B$.

The category mod-R of left modules over a fixed ring R consists of all left modules over the ring R and all homomorphisms between them.

If C and D are categories then a *covariant functor* $T \colon C \to D$ is a pair of mappings

$$T \begin{cases} \mathrm{Obj}\, C \to \mathrm{Obj}\, D, \\ X \mapsto TX, \end{cases} \qquad T \begin{cases} \mathrm{Mor}\, C \to \mathrm{Mor}\, D, \\ f \mapsto Tf, \end{cases}$$

which preserve composition of morphisms and identity morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} T(f\circ g) &= Tf\circ Tg \quad && \forall f,g\in \operatorname{Mor} C,\\ T1_A &= 1_{TA} && \forall A\in \operatorname{Obj} C. \end{aligned}$$

A functor $T\colon C\to D$ is called *univalent* if for all objects X, Y of the category C the induced mapping

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Mor}_C(X,Y)\to \operatorname{Mor}_D(TX,TY),\\ f\mapsto Tf \end{cases}$$

is injective.

The *category of sets* SETS is the category C in which $\operatorname{Obj} C$ is the class of all sets and $\operatorname{Mor} C$ is the class of all mappings of sets.

A morphism $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)$ of a category C is called an *equivalence* if there exists a morphism $g\in \operatorname{Mor}(B,A)$ such that $g\circ f=1_A$ and $f\circ g=1_B$. A morphism g with this property is denoted by f^{-1}. An object A is *equivalent* to an object B (notation: $A\sim B$) if there exists an equivalence $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)$. It is clear that all these notions can be expressed in the first order language:

$$\begin{aligned} f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B) \text{ is an equivalence} &\Leftrightarrow \exists g\in \operatorname{Mor}(B,A)\,(f\circ g=1_B\wedge g\circ f=1_A);\\ A\sim B &\Leftrightarrow \exists f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)\,(F \text{ is an equivalence}). \end{aligned}$$

In the category mod-R an equivalence $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)$ is called an *isomorphism* of the modules A and B, and equivalent modules are called *isomorphic* ($A\cong B$). An equivalence $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,A)$ is called an *automorphism* of the module A.

Let $S\colon C\to D$ and $T\colon C\to D$ be two covariant functors. A *natural transformation* $S\to T$ is a function h which maps every object $A\in C$ to a morphism $h(A)\colon S(A)\to T(A)$ such that for every morphism $f\colon A\to A'$ of the category C we have

$$T(f)h(A)=h(A')S(f).$$

A natural transformation $h\colon S\to T$ between functors S and T is called a *natural equivalence* of S and T if $h(A)$ is an equivalence for all $A\in \operatorname{Obj}(C)$. In this case, we use the notation $S\approx T$.

An *equivalence* $C\to D$ between two categories consists of an ordered pair (T,S) of covariant functors $T\colon C\to D$ and $S\colon D\to C$ and a pair of natural equivalences

$$ST\approx 1_C \quad\text{and}\quad TS\approx 1_D$$

of functors. In this case, we say that C and D are *equivalent categories* (notation: $C\approx D$).

An object $T\in \operatorname{Obj}$ of a category C is called a *left zero* (an *initial* object) of the category C if for every object $X\in \operatorname{Obj}$ there exists a unique morphism $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(T,X)$. In the first order language this property can be expressed as

$$T \text{ is a left zero} \Leftrightarrow \forall X\in \operatorname{Obj}\ \exists f\in \operatorname{Mor}(T,X)\,\forall g\in \operatorname{Mor}(T,X)\,(g=f).$$

An object F is called a *right zero* of a category C if for every object $X\in \operatorname{Obj}$ there exists a unique morphism $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(X,F)$. An object of a category C is called a *zero* object if it is a left and right zero simultaneously. This object is definable in the first order language. In the category mod-R a zero object is the zero module.

We say that a morphism $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(X,Y)$ can be *let trough* an object Q if $\exists g\in \operatorname{Mor}(X,Q)\,\exists h\in \operatorname{Mor}(Q,Y)$ $(f=h\circ g)$. A morphism is called a *zero* morphism if it can be let trough a zero object:

$$f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B) \text{ is a zero morphism} \Leftrightarrow \exists g\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,0)\,\exists h\in \operatorname{Mor}(0,B)\,(f=h\circ g).$$

In the category mod-R zero morphisms between modules A and B are morphisms having the form $f(a)=0\in B$ for all $a\in A$.

A morphism $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)$ is called a *retraction* if $\exists g\in \operatorname{Mor}(B,A)\,(f\circ g=1_B)$. A morphism $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)$ is called a *coretraction* if $\exists g\in \operatorname{Mor}(B,A)\,(g\circ f=1_A)$. If the category mod-$R$ every retraction $f\in \operatorname{Mor}(A,B)$ is an epimorphic homomorphism of the module A onto the module B, i.e., a homomorphism $f\colon A \to B$ such that $\forall b \in B\, \exists a \in A\, (f(a) = b)$. If f is a retraction $f\colon A \to B$ in the category mod-R, then let us consider the set $A' \equiv g[B]$. It is clear that A' is a submodule in A. It is clear that $f|_{A'} \circ g = 1_B$. We show that $g \circ f|_{A'} = 1_{A'}$. Let $a \in A'$. Then $\exists b \in B\, (g(b) = a)$. In this case, $g(f(a)) = g(f(g(b))) = g(b) = a$. Therefore $A \cong B$. Further, consider $A'' \equiv \operatorname{Ker} f$, i.e., $a \in A'' \Leftrightarrow f(a) = 0 \in B$. It is clear that $A = A' \oplus A''$. Thus a retraction in the category mod-R is an isomorphism of some direct summand of the module A onto the module B. Similarly, a coretraction is an isomorphic embedding of the module A in the module B such that the image of the module A is a direct summand in B.

An object A of a category C is called a *generator* in C if $\forall X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \forall f, f' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,Y)\, \exists g \in \mathrm{Mor}(A,X)\, (f \circ g \neq f' \circ g)$. An object A is called a *cogenerator* in C if $\forall X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \forall f, f' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,Y)\, \exists g \in \mathrm{Mor}(Y,A)\, (g \circ f \neq g \circ f')$.

A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(A,B)$ is called a *monomorphism* if $\forall C \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \forall g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(C,A)\, (f \circ g_1 = f \circ g_2 \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2)$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(A,B)$ is called an *epimorphism* if $\forall C \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \forall g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(B,C)$ $(g_1 \circ f = g_2 \circ f \Rightarrow g_1 = g_2)$. A morphism $f \in \mathrm{Mor}$ is called a *proper monomorphism* if it is a monomorphism and is not an equivalence. We shall say that $f \leq g$ for some $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}$ if f and g are monomorphisms and $\exists h \in \mathrm{Mor}\, (f = g \circ h)$.

An object $A \in \mathrm{Obj}$ is called *projective* if

$$\forall X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \forall f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,Y)\, (f \text{ is an epimorphism} \Rightarrow \forall \tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Mor}(A,Y)\, \exists g \in \mathrm{Mor}(A,X)\, (\tilde{g} = g \circ f)).$$

An object $A \in \mathrm{Obj}$ is called *injective* if

$$\forall X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \forall f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,Y)\, (f \text{ is a monomorphism} \Rightarrow \forall \tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Mor}(Y,A)\, (\tilde{g} = g \circ f)).$$

All these properties are elementary, i.e., they can be expressed in the first order language.

Let I be some subset of the universe and $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of left R-modules. Consider the set F of mappings from the set I such that $\forall i \in I\, f(i) \in A_i$. On the set F we can introduce the structure of R-module in the following way: if $f, g \in F$, then $(f+g)(i) := f(i) + g(i) \in A_i$; if $f \in F$, $r \in R$, then $(rf)(i) := rf(i) \in A_i$. This module F is called the *product of the set of modules* $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ and is denoted by $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$. If $A_i = A$ for all $i \in I$, then the product $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is denoted by A^I. For every $k \in I$ the set of mappings satisfying the condition $f(i) = 0$ for $i \neq k$ is a module which is isomorphic to the module A_k. Such a module will be considered as a natural embedding of the module A_k in the module $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$.

Further, consider the set S of mappings f from the set I such that $\forall i \in I\, f(i) \in A_i$ and $f(i) \neq 0$ only for a finite set of elements from I. On the set S we can similarly introduce the structure of R-module. The module S is called the *direct sum of a set of modules* $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ and is denoted by $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. If $A_i = A$ for all $i \in I$, then the direct sum $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is denoted by $A^{(I)}$.

The product of a finite set $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ is denoted by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i \quad \text{or} \quad A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n,$$

and the direct sum is denoted by

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \quad \text{or} \quad A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n.$$

An *$I \times J$-matrix* over a set S is a mapping $f\colon I \times J \to S$. Therefore a matrix is an element of the set $S^{I \times J}$. If S contains only two different elements 0 and 1, then the *Kronecker delta* is a matrix $\delta\colon I \times I \to S$ such that $\delta_{ii} = 1$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

Proposition 5. *Let C be a category with zero. If $A = \prod_{i\in I} A_i$ is a product in the category C, then there exists a family of retractions $p_i\colon A \to A_i$ and a family of coretractions $u_i\colon A_i \to A$ which can be uniquely defined by the condition*

$$p_i u_j = \delta_{ij} 1_{A_i}$$

for all $i, j \in I$.

Dually, if $\bigcup_{i\in I} A_i$ is a direct sum, then there exist coretractions $u_i\colon A_i \to A$ and there exist uniquely defined by u_i retractions $p_i\colon A \to A_i$ such that

$$p_i u_j = \delta_{ij} 1_{A_i}$$

for all $i, j \in I$.

Product of two objects is definable in the first order language. The same is fulfilled for a product and direct sum of any given finite number of objects.

Let C be some concrete category. For an arbitrary set S consider the category (S, C), with objects which are mappings $f\colon S \to A$, where A is an object of the category C. Morphisms of the category (S, C) are defined as morphisms $A \to B$ of the category C such that for the given objects $S \to A$ and $S \to B$ of the category (S, C) the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} S \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & A \arrow[d] \\ & B \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

A left zero $f_S\colon S \to F(S)$ of a category (S, C) is called a *free object of the category C over the set S.*

In other words, for every mapping $f\colon S \to A$ there exists a unique morphism $h\colon F(S) \to A$ such that

$$f = h \circ f_S.$$

For objects of the category mod-R the following notions are definable in the first order language:

modules X and Y are isomorphic;

a module X is embeddable in a module Y;

there exists a surjection from a module X onto a module Y;

a module X is isomorphic to a direct summand of a module Y;

a module X is isomorphic to the direct sum of modules Y and Z;

a module X is projective;

a module X is injective;

a module X is a generator;

a module X is a cogenerator.

In the general case the following properties of modules of the category mod-R are not definable:

a module X is free;

a module X is equal to A^I for some set A;

a module X is equal to $A^{(I)}$ for some set A.

2 An Analogue of the Morita Theorem for Elementary Equivalence of Categories of Modules

In 2003 we studied elementary properties of categories of modules over rings, endomorphism rings of modules, and automorphism groups of modules over rings. Our interest to these questions was attracted by the paper [16] of V. Tolstykh.

2.1 Some Facts about the Category mod-R

A *factormodule* of a module M by its submodule N is the module consisting of all equivalence classes $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a - b \in N$ and such that $(a + N)r = ar + N$. The property of a module L to be isomorphic to a factormodule of the module M is a first order property: $\exists f \in \mathrm{Mor}(M, L)$ (f is an epimorphism).

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be some concrete category. If B and A are its objects and $B \subseteq A$, then B is a *subobject* in A. If A is a subset and N is a subobject in A, then S *generates* N if N is an intersection of all subobjects of the object A containing S. In this case, we use the notation $N = (S)$. A subobject M of an object A is called *finitely generated*, *countably generated*, or *generated by a elements* if $M = (T)$, where $|T| < \omega_0$, $|T| \leq \omega_0$, or $|T| \leq a$, respectively. In the general case these properties are not elementary.

A family $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ which generates a submodule N of a module M is called a *system of generators* of the submodule N. If every element of the module can be uniquely represented as a linear combination of generators, then $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a *basis* of the module N, and the cardinality of the set I is called a *basic number* of this module. A family $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called *linearly independent over R.*

The module $R^{(I)}$ is a free module over the set I.

Proposition 1.

1. *If R is a ring and X is an object of the category* mod-R, *then there exist a set of indices I and some epimorphism $f \colon R^{(I)} \to X$, i.e., every R-module is isomorphic to a factormodule of a free R-module.*
2. *If $\{u_i \colon R \to R^{(I)}\}_{i \in I}$ are injections into the direct sum, then $\{u_i(1)\}_{i \in I}$ is a basis of the free module $R^{(I)}$.*
3. *The object R is a generator in the category* mod-R.

The basic number in a general situation depends on the choice of the basis and therefore can not be an invariant of the module $F = R^{(I)}$. But it does not depend on the choice of the basis if F is a free module under an infinite set I.

Proposition 2. *An R-module P is projective if and only if it is isomorphic to a direct summand of a free module.*

Corollary. *A module P is finitely generated and projective if and only if $R^{(n)} \cong P \oplus X$ for some integer $n > 0$ and some module X.*

Proof. If $R^{(n)} \cong P \oplus X$ for some integer $n > 0$, then it is clear that P is projective and finitely generated.

Conversely, let P be finitely generated and projective. Since P is projective, we have $P \oplus Q \cong R^{(I)}$ for some set I. Let the set I be infinite. Consider the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ of elements which generate P and the basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of the module $R^{(I)}$. Every p_j is a linear combination of a finite number of elements of the basis, therefore only a finite subset of $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ belongs to all linear combinations for all p_i. Thus $P \subset R^{(n)} \subset R^{(I)}$, and $R^{(n)}$ is a direct summand in $R^{(I)}$. Consequently, $P \oplus (Q \cap R^{(n)}) \cong R^{(n)}$. □

Proposition 3. *A module $M \in$* mod-R *is a generator if and only if every R-module X is a factormodule of the module $M^{(I)}$ for some set I.*

Proposition 4. *An object G of the category* mod-R *is a generator if and only if there exist an integer $n > 0$ and an isomorphism $G^{(n)} \cong R \oplus X$ for some object $X \in$* mod-R.

A module M is called *simple* if it has only two submodules 0 and M. If M is some module and S is its submodule, then M/S is simple if and only if S is a maximal submodule. Every finitely generated module M has maximal submodules. Therefore for every ring R in the category mod-R there exist some simple modules (they can be isomorphic to each other). It is clear that the property of a module to be simple is definable in the first order language.

Proposition 5. *For every simple module M every submodule P of the module $M^{(I)}$ is isomorphic to $M^{(J)}$ for some set J with cardinality not greater than the cardinality of I.*

A module $P \in$ mod-R is called a *progenerator* if it is finitely generated and projective and P is a generator in mod-R.

Two rings R and S are called *similar* (denoted by $R \sim S$) if there exist a progenerator $P \in$ mod-R and a ring isomorphism $S \cong \mathrm{End}_R P$.

The following famous theorem is cited here without proof (its proof can be found in [8, Theorem 4.29]).

Theorem 1 (Morita theorem). *The following conditions are equivalent*:

1. mod-$R \approx$ mod-S;
2. $R \sim S$.

In the sequel, we shall also need the following theorem from [8] (see 4.35).

Theorem 2. *If A is a commutative ring and a ring B is similar to the ring A, then A is isomorphic to the center of B. Therefore two commutative rings are similar if and only if they are isomorphic.*

2.2 Progenerators in the Category mod-*R*

Let a formula $\mathrm{Simp}(M)$ be true in the category mod-R for simple modules and only for them. Consider an object X satisfying the formula

$$\mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}(X,M) := \mathrm{Simp}(M) \wedge (X \oplus M \cong X) \wedge \forall Y \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(Y \oplus M \cong Y \Rightarrow \exists Q \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(Y \cong X \oplus Q)).$$

The property $Y \oplus M \cong Y$ means that $Y \cong M^{(\omega)} \oplus Z$ for some object $Z \in$ mod-R. Therefore X is a module which contains $M^{(\omega)}$ as its direct summand and it itself is a direct summand in $M^{(\omega)}$. It follows from Proposition 5 that in this case $X \cong M^{(\omega)}$. Thus for every simple module M the formula $\mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}_M(X) := \mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}(X,M)$ defines the module $M^{(\omega)}$.

The formula

$$\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}(X,M) := \mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_M(X) := \mathrm{Simp}(M) \wedge \exists Y \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}_M(Y) \wedge \exists Q \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(Y \cong X \oplus Q) \wedge X \ncong Y)$$

holds for all finite direct sums of the simple module M and only for them.

The formula

$$\mathrm{Sum}(X,M) := \mathrm{Sum}_M(X) := \mathrm{Simp}(M) \wedge \forall Y\,(Y \subset X \wedge Y \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists P\,(Y \cong P \oplus M))$$

defines the class Sum_M of all direct sums of the module M. Introduce the relation

$$(X \leq Y) := \exists f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,Y)\,(f \text{ is a monomorphism})$$

on this class.

The class Sum_M is well-ordered with respect to the order $\leq$ and there exists a natural bijection from the class Sum_M onto the class Cn of all cardinal numbers.

The formula

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Pret}(P) := (P \text{ is projective}) \wedge (P \text{ is a generator})\\ \wedge\, \exists M \in \operatorname{Obj}\, \exists f \in \operatorname{Mor}(P, M)\, (\operatorname{Simp}(M) \wedge (f \text{ is an epimorphism}))\end{aligned}$$

holds for all projective modules having maximal submodules, in particular it must hold for projective finitely generated generators (*progenerators*).

By $\langle M, f\rangle^P$ (or $\langle M^P, f^P\rangle$) we shall denote a pair (a simple module M, an epimorphism f from P onto M) for a module P such that $\operatorname{Pret}(P)$.

Consider a module N satisfying the formula $\operatorname{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_{M^P}(N)$. Such a module N has the form $M^{(n)}$ for some natural n. We shall denote this module by $N_{\mathrm{fd}}(M)$.

Consider now the formula

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Under}(P, M, N, X) := \operatorname{Under}_{M^P,N}(X) := N \cong N_{\mathrm{fd}}(M) \wedge \exists g \in \operatorname{Mor}(X, N)\\
&\quad (g \text{ is an epimorphism} \wedge \forall i_M \in \operatorname{Mor}(M, N)\, \forall p_M \in \operatorname{Mor}(N, M)\\
&\quad (p_M \circ i_M = 1_M \Rightarrow \exists i \in \operatorname{Mor}(P, X)\, \exists p \in \operatorname{Mor}(X, P)\, (p \circ i = 1_P \wedge g \circ i = i_M \circ f \wedge f \circ p = p_M \circ g))\\
&\quad \wedge \forall i_M, i'_M \in \operatorname{Mor}(M, N)\, \forall p_M, p'_M \in \operatorname{Mor}(M, M)\, \forall i, i' \in \operatorname{Mor}(P, X)\, \forall p, p' \in \operatorname{Mor}(X, P)\\
&\quad (p_M \circ i_M = p'_M \circ i'_M = 1_M \wedge p \circ i = p' \circ i' = 1_P \wedge g \circ i = i_M \circ f \wedge f \circ p = p_M \circ g\\
&\quad \wedge g \circ i' = i'_M \circ f \wedge f \circ p' = p'_M \circ g \wedge p_M \circ i'_M = p'_M \circ i_M = 0 \Rightarrow p \circ i' = p' \circ i = 0)).\end{aligned}$$

This formula means that

1. for the module X there exists an epimorphism $g\colon X \to N$ such that for every pair (i_M, p_M) consisting of an embedding of the module M into the module N and an inverse projection of the module N onto the module M there exists a pair (i, p) consisting of an embedding of the module P into the module X and an inverse projection of the module X onto the module P such that the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} P \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"] & X \arrow[d, "g"] \\ M \arrow[r, "i_M"] & N \end{tikzcd} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{tikzcd} P \arrow[d, "f"] & X \arrow[l, "p"'] \arrow[d, "g"] \\ M & N \arrow[l, "p_M"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

   are commutative;

2. if embeddings i_M and i'_M of the module M into the module N are such that their images in N do not intersect, then the images of the corresponding embeddings $i, i'\colon P \to X$ also do not intersect.

Look at the module X in this case.

Suppose that $N \cong M^{(n)} \cong M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$, where $M_i \cong M$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $i^M_l\colon M \to N$ and $p^M_l\colon N \to M$ be such that $\operatorname{rng} i^M_l = M_l$ and $p^M_l \circ i^M_l = 1_M$. To these pairs of embeddings correspond the pairs (i_l, p_l) such that $i_l\colon P \to X$, $p_l\colon X \to P$, $p_l \circ i_l = 1_P$, and the images of embeddings i_l and i_m for different l and m do not intersect and are independent. Therefore the module $P^{(n)}$ is a direct summand in X. Now we only need to consider a module X' satisfying the formula

$$\operatorname{Und}(P, M, N, X') := \operatorname{Und}_{N,M^P}(X') := \forall X\, (\operatorname{Under}_{M^P,N}(X) \Rightarrow \exists Q\, (X \cong X' \oplus X')).$$

We shall get the module X' which is a direct summand in the module $P^{(n)}$ and has the module $P^{(n)}$ as its direct summand.

Now consider the following formula:

$$\operatorname{Finite}(P, X) := \operatorname{Finite}_P(X) := \exists (M^P, f^P)\, \exists Y \in \operatorname{Obj}\, (\operatorname{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_M(Y) \wedge \operatorname{Und}_{Y,M^P}(X)).$$

This formula defines modules X with the property

$$\exists n \in \omega\, \exists Q, Q'\, (X \oplus Q \cong P^{(n)} \wedge X \cong P^{(n)} \oplus Q'),$$

i.e., all modules of the form $P^{(n)}$ and some other *finitely generated* modules.

Every projective finitely generated module is a direct summand of the module $R^{(n)}$ for some $n \in \omega$, and, respectively, if P is finitely generated and projective, then for every generator S

$$P \oplus Q \cong S^{(m)}$$

for some $m \in \omega$ and some module Q. If a module P is not finitely generated, then there exists a progenerator S such that P can not be embedded in $S^{(n)}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Therefore the formula

$$\mathrm{Proobr}(P) := \mathrm{Pret}(P) \wedge \forall S \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{Pret}(S) \Rightarrow \exists X \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{Finite}_S(X) \wedge \exists Q \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(P \oplus Q \cong X)))$$

defines all progenerators in the category mod-R.

Thus having the category mod-R we automatically have (with the help of the formula Proobr()) the class of all progenerators in this category.

Note also that having some fixed progenerator P, we have the class of all modules which are direct summands in $P^{(I)}$ and simultaneously have $P^{(I)}$ as a direct summand. It is clear that each such module has the form $P^{(I)} \oplus X$, where X is some projective module which can be embedded in $P^{(I)}$. Every such module can be represented as $R^{(I)} \oplus Y$, where Y is a projective module of rank $\leq |I|$. We shall call such modules *almost free modules of rank $|I|$ over the ring R.*

2.3 The Ring $\mathrm{End}_R P$

Consider now some progenerator P and the set $\mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$. The operation of multiplication on this set can be introduced as

$$(f = g \times h) := (f = g \circ h).$$

Introduce now the operation of addition. For this purpose we consider the module $P \oplus P$ with two embeddings $i_1, i_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P \oplus P)$ and two projections $p_1, p_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(P \oplus P, P)$ with the conditions $p_1 \circ i_1 = p_2 \circ i_2 = 1_P$, $p_1 \circ i_2 = p_2 \circ i_1 = 0$.

For a given $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ consider the morphism $\mathrm{Gr}_f \in \mathrm{Mor}(P \oplus P, P \oplus P)$ which is defined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_f \circ i_1 &= 1_P, \\ p_2 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_f \circ i_2 &= 1_P, \\ p_2 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_f \circ i_1 &= 0, \\ p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_f \circ i_2 &= f. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the mapping

$$\mathrm{Gr}\colon \ \mathrm{Mor}(P, P) \to \mathrm{Mor}(P \oplus P, P \oplus P), \quad f \mapsto \mathrm{Gr}_f,$$

is injective and that for every morphism $g \in \mathrm{Mor}(P \oplus P, P \oplus P)$ satisfying the conditions $p_1 \circ g \circ i_1 = p_2 \circ g \circ i_2 = 1_P$ and $p_2 \circ g \circ i_1 = 0$ there exists a morphism $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ such that $\mathrm{Gr}_f = g$.

Define

$$(f = g + h) := (\mathrm{Gr}_f = \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h).$$

Thus we have introduced on the set $\mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ the structure of ring which is isomorphic to the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(P)$.

Indeed, let us show that for any three endomorphisms $f, g, h \in \mathrm{End}_R P = \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ the relation $f = g + h$ is true if and only if $\mathrm{Gr}_f = \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h$. Consider the morphisms Gr_g and Gr_h and the morphism $G = \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h$. The mappings $k_1 \equiv i_1 \circ p_1$ and $k_2 \equiv i_2 \circ p_2$ from $\mathrm{Mor}(P \oplus P, P \oplus P)$ are such that $\forall x \in P \oplus P\, (x = k_1(x) + k_2(x))$, i.e., $k_1 + k_2 = 1_{P \oplus P}$. Thus,

$$p_1 \circ G \circ i_1 = p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_1 = p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ 1_{P \oplus P} \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_1$$

$$= p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ (i_1 \circ p_1 + i_2 \circ p_2) \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_1 = p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ (i_1 \circ p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_1 + i_2 \circ p_2 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_1)$$
$$= p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ i_1 \circ 1_P + 0 = 1_P,$$

and, similarly, $p_2 \circ G \circ i_2 = 1_P$, $p_2 \circ G \circ i_1 = 0$ and, finally,

$$p_1 \circ G \circ i_2 = p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_2 = p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ (i_1 \circ p_1 + i_2 \circ p_2) \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_2$$
$$= (p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ i_1) \circ (p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_2) + (p_1 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_g \circ i_2) \circ (p_2 \circ \mathrm{Gr}_h \circ i_2) = g \circ 1_P + 1_P \circ h = g + h.$$

Thus we get the required equivalence.

2.4 The Case of Finite Rings

Lemma 1. *The endomorphism ring* $\mathrm{End}_R\, P$ *of any progenerator* P *of the category* mod-R *with a finite ring* R *is finite.*

Proof. The module P is a submodule of the module $R^{(n)}$ for some n. Since the ring R is finite, also the module $R^{(n)}$ is finite and therefore the module P is also finite. It is clear that the endomorphism ring of a finite module is finite. □

Lemma 2. *For every finite ring* R *there exists a sentence* φ_R *of the first order language of the ring theory which is true in a ring* X *if and only if* $X \cong R$.

Proof. Consider a finite ring R. Suppose that it contains exactly m different elements $a_1, \ldots, a_m$, and $a_i + a_j = a_{s(i,j)}$, $a_i \cdot a_j = a_{p(i,j)}$. Then the required sentence φ_R has the form

$$\exists x_1 \ldots \exists x_m \Bigg(\bigwedge_{i,j \in m, i \neq j} x_i \neq x_j \Bigg) \wedge \Bigg(\forall x \bigvee_{i \in m} x = x_i \Bigg) \wedge \Bigg(\bigwedge_{i,j \in m} a_i + a_j = a_{s(i,j)} \Bigg) \wedge \Bigg(\bigwedge_{i,j \in m} a_i \cdot a_j = a_{p(i,j)} \Bigg).$$

□

Theorem 3. *If categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent and the ring* R *is finite, then* $R \cong \mathrm{End}_S\, P$ *for some progenerator module* P *of the category* mod-S.

Proof. In the category mod-R the sentence

$$\xi := \exists P \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{Proobr}(P) \wedge \varphi_{\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)})$$

is true. Therefore, the sentence ξ is true in the category mod-S, i.e., the endomorphism ring of some progenerator is isomorphic to the ring R. □

Corollary. *The categories* mod-R *and* mod-S, *where* R *is a finite ring, are elementarily equivalent if and only if they are Morita-equivalent.*

Proof. If categories mod-R and mod-S are Morita-equivalent, they are clearly elementarily equivalent.

If categories mod-R and mod-S are elementarily equivalent and the ring R is finite, then, by Theorem 3, $R \cong \mathrm{End}_S\, P$ for some progenerator P of the category mod-S, i.e., the rings R and S are similar. By the Morita Theorem (Sec. 2.1, Theorem 1), in this case the categories mod-R and mod-S are Morita-equivalent. □

Now we assume that the rings R and S are infinite.

2.5 Beautiful Linear Combinations

We apply the results of S. Shelah (1976) (see [14]) on interpretation of the set theory in a category.

Suppose that we have some fixed ring R, the category mod-R, and in the category mod-R we have some simple module M which corresponds to the fixed progenerator P, $V = M^{(I)}$, $|I| = \mu$, where μ is an infinite cardinal number. Let a set $A = \{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ be such that $\forall i \in I\,(a_i \in M_i \wedge a_i \neq 0)$.

For every $f \in \operatorname{Mor}(A, B)$ let $\operatorname{Rng} f$ be the image of f in B, $\operatorname{Cl} B$ be the closure of the set $B \subset V$ in V, i.e., the smallest submodule in V containing the set B. Further, let $\tilde{b} = \operatorname{Cl}\{b\}$.

As usual, $\vec{x}$ denotes a finite sequence of variables $\vec{x} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. A linear combination $\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n$, where $\alpha_i \in R$, will be also denoted by $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ or $\tau(\vec{x})$. We shall call such a linear combination *reduced* if all α_i are nonzero.

A linear combination $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n$ is called *beautiful* (see [14]) if

a. for every linear combination $\sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_m x_m$ we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\sigma(x_1^1, \ldots, x_m^1), \sigma(x_1^2, \ldots, x_m^2), \ldots, \sigma(x_1^n, \ldots, x_m^n)) \\ = \sigma(\tau(x_1^1, x_1^2, \ldots, x_1^n), \tau(x_2^1, x_2^2, \ldots, x_2^n), \ldots, \tau(x_m^1, x_m^2, \ldots, x_m^n));\end{aligned}$$

b. we have the equality

$$\tau(\tau(x_1^1, \ldots, x_n^1), \tau(x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2), \ldots \tau(x_1^n, \ldots, x_n^n)) = \tau(x_1^1, \ldots, x_n^n);$$

c. we have the equality

$$\tau(x, \ldots, x) = x.$$

It is easy to show that all beautiful linear combinations have the form

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n, \quad \alpha_i \in Z(R), \quad \alpha_i \alpha_j = \delta_{ij} \alpha_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1.$$

Theorem 4. *There exists a formula φ_m satisfying the following condition. Let $\bar{f}_i$ be an m-tuple of elements of* $\operatorname{Mor}(V, M)$ *for every $i < i_0 < \mu^+$. Then we can find a vector $\bar{g}$ such that the formula $\varphi_m(\bar{f}, \bar{g})$ holds in* mod-R *if and only if $\bar{f} = \tau(\bar{f}_{i_1}, \ldots, \bar{f}_{i_n})$ for some beautiful linear combination τ and some $i_1 < \cdots < i_n < i_0 < \mu^+$.*

2.6 A Generating Set of the Module V

Recall that by V we denote a module $M^{(\mu)}$ for some infinite cardinal number μ and a fixed simple module M.

Let $V = \bigcup\limits_{t \in \mu} M_t$, where $M_t \cong M$ for every $t \in \mu$, and suppose that in the module M some generating (i.e., nonzero) element a is fixed and in every M_t the corresponding element a_t is fixed.

We shall use Theorem 4 for $m = 1$ and $f_i \in \operatorname{Mor}(V, M)$ such that $f_i(a_t) = \delta_{it} a$. Then there exist $\bar{g}^*$ and a formula $\varphi(f, \bar{g}^*)$ such that the formula $\varphi(f, \bar{g}^*)$ holds if and only if $f = \tau(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_n})$, where $i_1 < \cdots < i_n < \mu$ and the linear combination τ is beautiful.

We know that in this case $\tau(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = r_1 x_1 + \cdots + r_n x_n$, where $r_i r_j = \delta_{ij} r_i$ for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $r_1 + \cdots + r_n = 1$.

Consider a mapping $r_k f_{i_k} \colon V \to M$. We know that $r_k f_{i_k}(a_{i_k}) = r_k \cdot a$ and $r_k f_{i_k}(a_t) = 0$ for $t \neq i_k$. In the module M consider a set $N \subseteq M$ such that $n \in N \Leftrightarrow r_k \cdot n = 0$. If $n_1, n_2 \in N$, then $r_k(n_1 + n_2) = 0$, whence $n_1 + n_2 \in N$. If $r \in R$, $n \in N$, then $r_k(rn) = r(r_k n) = 0$, whence $rn \in N$. Thus N is an ideal in M, i.e., $N = \{0\}$ or $N = M$. Let $r_k a \neq 0$ and $r_l a \neq 0$ for some different k and l. Then $r_k b \neq 0$ and $r_l b \neq 0$ for all $b \in M$, i.e., $r_l(r_k a) \neq 0$, but this is impossible. Therefore $r_k a \neq 0$ only for one $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. From $r_1 + \cdots + r_n = 1$, i.e., from $(r_1 + \cdots + r_n)a = a$, it follows that such k necessarily exists and $r_k a = a$. Consequently, for some k

we have $r_k f_{i_k}(a_{i_k}) = a$ and $r_k f_{i_k}(a_t) = 0$ for $t \neq i_k$, and for $l \neq k$ we have $r_l f_{i_l}(a_t) = 0$ for all $t \in I^*$. Thus, $f = f_{i_k}$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Thus we have shown that there exists such $\bar{g}^*$ that the formula $\varphi(f, \bar{g}^*)$ defines in V some set consisting of μ independent projectors from V onto M. We shall obtain the required $\bar{g}^*$ if we write a formula stating that the space generated by the images of those $i \in \mathrm{Mor}(M, V)$ that satisfy $\exists f\, (\varphi(f, \bar{g}^*) \wedge f \circ i = 1_M)$ is isomorphic to V and, if we exclude any pair (f, i) from this space, the new space will not coincide with the initial one.

Recall that together with the simple module M we have fixed a progenerator P and an epimorphism $h\colon P \to M$, and together with the module $V \cong M^{(\mu)}$ we have fixed a module V' which is an almost free module of rank μ over P with an epimorphism $h'\colon V' \to V$ such that for every projection $i\colon V \to M$ there exists a unique projection $i'\colon V' \to P$ such that $i \circ h' = h \circ i'$.

The set consisting of all projections $g \in \mathrm{Mor}(V, M)$ satisfying the formula $\varphi(\bar{g}^*, g)$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*}(V, M)$. The set consisting of all projections $g \in \mathrm{Mor}(V', P)$ satisfying the formula $\exists f \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*}(V, M)\, (f \circ h' = h \circ g)$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*, h}(V', P)$.

2.7 The Second Order Logic and the Structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring} \rangle$

Consider the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring} \rangle$, consisting of the class Cn of all cardinal numbers and the ring ring with usual ring relations $+$ and $\circ$. The *second-order logic* of the structure ($L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring} \rangle)$) allows to use in formulas arbitrary predicate symbols of the form

$$P_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k}(c_1, \dots, c_k; v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are fixed cardinal numbers, $c_1, \dots, c_k$ are variables for elements from $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, respectively, and $v_1, \dots, v_n$ are variables for ring elements.

Therefore, in formulas of this language we can use the following subformulas.

1. $\forall r \in \mathsf{ring}$.
2. $\exists r \in \mathsf{ring}$.
3. $\forall \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$.
4. $\exists \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$.
5. $\forall \alpha \in \varkappa$, where $\varkappa$ is either a free variable of the formula φ or is defined in the formula φ before α (with the help of the subformula $\forall \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$ or $\exists \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$).
6. $\exists \alpha \in \varkappa$, where $\varkappa$ is either a free variable of the formula φ or is defined in the formula φ before α (with the help of the subformula $\forall \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$ or $\exists \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$).
7. $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$, $r_1 = r_2 \times r_3$, $r_1 = r_2$, where each of the variables r_1, r_2, and r_3 is either a free variable of the formula φ or defined in the formula φ before (with the help of the subformula $\forall r_i \in \mathsf{ring}$ or $\exists r_i \in \mathsf{ring}$).
8. $\varkappa_1 = \varkappa_2$, where each of the variables $\varkappa_1$, $\varkappa_2$ is either a free variable of the formula φ or defined in the formula φ before (with the help of the subformula $\forall \varkappa_i \in \mathrm{Cn}$ or $\exists \varkappa_i \in \mathrm{Cn}$).
9. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, where each of the variables α_1, α_2 is either a free variable of the formula φ or defined in the formula φ (with the help of the subformula $\forall \alpha_i \in \varkappa_i$ or $\exists \alpha_i \in \varkappa_i$).
10. $\forall P_{\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_k}(c_1, \dots, c_k; v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $\exists P_{\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_k}(c_1, \dots, c_k; v_1, \dots, v_n)$, where each of the variables $\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_k$ is either a free variable of the formula φ or defined in the formula φ before (with the help of the subformula $\forall \varkappa_i \in \mathrm{Cn}$ or $\exists \varkappa_i \in \mathrm{Cn}$).

11. $P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k;r_1,\dots,r_n)$, where each of the variables $\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k$, $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k$, $r_1,\dots,r_n$ and also the "predicative variable"
$$P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k;v_1,\dots,v_n)$$
is either a free variable of the formula φ or defined in the formula φ before (with the help of the subformulas $\forall\varkappa_i\in\mathrm{Cn}$, $\exists\varkappa_i\in\mathrm{Cn}$, $\forall\alpha_i\in\varkappa_i$, $\exists\alpha_i\in\varkappa_i$, $\forall r_i\in\mathsf{ring}$, $\exists r_i\in\mathsf{ring}$, $\forall P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k;v_1,\dots,v_n)$, or $\exists P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k;v_1,\dots,v_n)$), $\varkappa_i$ is introduced in the formula before α_i for every $i=1,\dots,k$, and $P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k;v_1,\dots,v_n)$ is introduced after all $\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k$.

Theorem 5. *Let R and S be rings. Suppose that there exists a sentence ψ of the language $L_2(\langle\mathrm{Cn},\mathsf{ring}\rangle)$ which is true in the ring R, false in any ring similar to R, and not equivalent to it in the language $L_2(\langle\mathrm{Cn},\mathsf{ring}\rangle)$. If the categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent, then there exists a ring S' which is similar to S and such that the structures $\langle\mathrm{Cn},R\rangle$ and $\langle\mathrm{Cn},S'\rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2.*

Proof. Suppose that some progenerator P in the category mod-T, where T is some ring, is fixed. Then, according to the previous sections, we have formulas defining a simple module M which corresponds to the module P, modules $M^{(\varkappa)}$ for all $\varkappa\in\mathrm{Cn}$, modules $M^{(n)}$ for all $n\in\omega$, modules $M^{(\alpha)}$ for infinite $\alpha\in\mathrm{Cn}$, almost free modules $V^{\varkappa}$ of rank $\varkappa\in\mathrm{Cn}$, $\varkappa\in\omega$, $\varkappa\geq\omega$, and, besides, for every module $M^{(\varkappa)}$ (or $V^{\varkappa}$) its generating sets $\mathrm{Gen}_{g^*}(M^{(\varkappa)},M)$ (or $\mathrm{Gen}_{g^*}(V^{\varkappa},R)$). Further (see Sec. 2.3), for any $f,g\in\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$ we suppose, that their sum $f\oplus g\in\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$ and product $f\otimes g\in\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$ are known.

Consider any arbitrary sentence φ in the language $L_2(\langle\mathrm{Cn},\mathsf{ring}\rangle)$. As it was shown before, this sentence can contain the following subformulas.

1. $\forall r\in\mathsf{ring}$.
2. $\exists r\in\mathsf{ring}$.
3. $\forall\varkappa\in\mathrm{Cn}$.
4. $\exists\varkappa\in\mathrm{Cn}$.
5. $\forall\alpha\in\varkappa$.
6. $\exists\alpha\in\varkappa$.
7. $r_1=r_2+r_3$.
8. $r_1=r_2\cdot r_3$.
9. $r_1=r_2$.
10. $\varkappa_1=\varkappa_2$.
11. $\alpha_1=\alpha_2$.
12. $\forall P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k;v_1,\dots,v_n)$.
13. $\exists P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\dots,c_k;v_1,\dots,v_n)$.
14. $P_{\varkappa_1,\dots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k;r_1,\dots,r_n)$.

We shall transform this sentence into a sentence $\tilde\varphi_P$ (which depends on the fixed module P) of the first order language of the category theory by the following algorithm.

1. The subformula $\forall r\in\mathsf{ring}$ is transformed into the formula $\forall f_r\in\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$, i.e., every element of the ring ring corresponds to an element of the ring $\mathrm{End}_T(P)$.

2. The subformula $\exists r\in\mathsf{ring}$ is transformed into the formula $\exists f_r\in\mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$.

3. The subformula $\forall\varkappa\in\mathrm{Cn}$ is transformed into the subformula $\forall X_\varkappa\in\mathrm{Obj}\ \forall\bar g^*_\varkappa\,(X_\varkappa=M^{(\varkappa)}\wedge\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(X_\varkappa,M)\Rightarrow\dots)$, i.e., every element $\varkappa\in\mathrm{Cn}$ corresponds to some module of the form $M^{(\varkappa)}$ for the simple module M (we

have already mentioned that there exists a natural identification of the class Cn and the class of all direct sums of the module M), and immediately the set $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$ of projectors from $M^{(\varkappa)}$ onto M becomes fixed.

4. The subformula $\exists \varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$ is transformed into the subformula $\exists X_\varkappa \in \mathrm{Obj}\ \exists \bar{g}^*_\varkappa\, (X_\varkappa = M^{(\varkappa)} \wedge \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(X_\varkappa, M) \wedge \ldots)$.

5. The subformula $\forall \alpha \in \varkappa$ is transformed into the subformula $\forall f^\alpha_{X_\varkappa} \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(X_\varkappa, M)$, i.e., elements of sets $\varkappa$ correspond to those mappings of the set $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$ which contain exactly $\varkappa$ linearly independent projectors.

6. The subformula $\exists \alpha \in \varkappa$ is transformed into the subformula $\exists f^\alpha_{X_\varkappa} \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(X_\varkappa, M)$.

7. The subformula $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$ is transformed into the subformula $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \oplus f_{r_3}$, i.e., the sum of elements of the ring ring corresponds to the sum of elements of the ring $\mathrm{End}_T(P)$.

8. The formula $r_1 = r_2 \cdot r_3$ is transformed into the formula $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \otimes f_{r_3}$, i.e., the product of elements of the ring ring corresponds to the product of elements of the ring $\mathrm{End}_T(P)$.

9. The subformula $r_1 = r_2$ is transformed into the subformula $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2}$, i.e., equal elements of the ring ring correspond to equal elements of the ring $\mathrm{End}_T(P)$.

10. The subformula $\varkappa_1 = \varkappa_2$ is transformed into the subformula $\exists g_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \in \mathrm{Mor}(X_{\varkappa_1}, X_{\varkappa_2})$ (g is an isomorphism), i.e., equal sets of the class Cn correspond to isomorphic modules of the form $M^{(I)}$ and $M^{(J)}$, i.e., such modules that $|I| = |J| = \varkappa$.

11. The subformula $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \varkappa$ is transformed into the subformula $f^{\alpha_1}_{X_\varkappa} = f^{\alpha_2}_{X_\varkappa}$, and the subformula $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ for $\alpha_1 \in \varkappa_1$, $\alpha_2 \in \varkappa_2$, and $\varkappa_1 \neq \varkappa_2$ is transformed into the subformula $f^{\alpha_1}_{X_{\varkappa_1}} = f^{\alpha_2}_{X_{\varkappa_2}} \circ g$, i.e., equal elements of the set $\varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$ are mapped to corresponding to each other projections in $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_1}}(M^{(I)}, M)$ and $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_2}}(M^{(J)}, M)$, and the correspondence is fixed by the isomorphism between $M^{(I)}$ and $M^{(J)}$.

Before the last three transformations we shall introduce the following new formulas.

For every $f_t \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$ by f'_t we shall denote the corresponding mapping from $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa, P)$, by $\bar{f}_t$ we shall denote a mapping from $\mathrm{Mor}(M, M^{(\varkappa)})$ such that $f_t \circ \bar{f}_t = 1_M$, by $\bar{f}'_t$ we shall denote a mapping from $\mathrm{Mor}(P, V^\varkappa)$ such that $f'_t \circ \bar{f}'_t = 1_P$. Given a mapping $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(V^\varkappa, V^\varkappa)$ we shall write

$$f \in \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa)$$

if

$$\forall f'_t, f'_s \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa, h}(V^\varkappa, P)\, (f'_t \neq f'_s \Rightarrow f'_t \circ f \circ \bar{f}'_s = 0).$$

Given a mapping $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(M^{(\varkappa_1)}, M^{(\varkappa_2)})$ we shall write

$$f \in \mathrm{Sets}_{\bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_1}, \bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_2}}(M^{(\varkappa_1)}, M^{(\varkappa_2)})$$

if

$$\forall f_t \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_1}}(M^{(\varkappa_1)}, M)\, \forall f_s \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_2}}(M^{(\varkappa_2)}, M)\, (f_s \circ f \circ \bar{f}_t = 1_M \vee f_s \circ f \circ \bar{f}_t = 0).$$

Therefore the elements from $\mathrm{Ring}_{\bar{g}^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa)$ are those endomorphisms of the module $V^\varkappa$ that are diagonal in some initially fixed basis, and so these endomorphisms can be considered as mappings from $\varkappa$ into the ring $\mathrm{End}_T(P)$, mapping every $\alpha \in \varkappa$ to the element on the diagonal at position α. Elements from $\mathrm{Sets}_{\bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_1}, \bar{g}^*_{\varkappa_2}}(M^{(\varkappa_1)}, M^{(\varkappa_2)})$ are those morphisms from $M^{(\varkappa_1)}$ and $M^{(\varkappa_2)}$ that in the given fixed basis have matrices consisting only of zeros and units. These matrices can be understood as correspondences F between the sets $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$, where a pair $\langle x, y \rangle$ belongs to the correspondence F if and only if the intersection of the row with index x and the column with index y in this matrix is a unit.

We use these remarks for the remaining transformations.

12. Let $\varkappa = \max\{\varkappa_1, \ldots, \varkappa_k, |\mathsf{ring}|\}$. Then the subformula

$$\forall P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(c_1, \ldots, c_k; v_1, \ldots, v_n)$$

is transformed into the subformula

$$\forall f_P^{c_1} \in \mathrm{Sets}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa, \bar g^*_{\varkappa_1}}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa_1)}) \ldots \forall f_P^{c_k} \in \mathrm{Sets}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa, \bar g^*_{\varkappa_k}}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa_k)}) \\ \forall f_P^{v_1} \in \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa) \ldots \forall f_P^{v_n} \in \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa),$$

i.e., every predicate symbol of the form $P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\ldots,c_k; v_1,\ldots,v_n)$ corresponds to k mappings for sets $\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k$ and n mappings for elements of the ring which are connected to each other with the help of the module $M^{(\varkappa)}$.

13. The subformula

$$\exists P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\ldots,c_k; v_1 \ldots, v_n)$$

is transformed into the subformula

$$\exists f_P^{c_1} \in \mathrm{Sets}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa, \bar g^*_{\varkappa_1}}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa_1)}) \ldots \exists f_P^{c_k} \in \mathrm{Sets}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa, \bar g^*_{\varkappa_k}}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa_k)}) \\ \exists f_P^{v_1} \in \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa) \ldots \exists f_P^{v_n} \in \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa).$$

14. The subformula

$$P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k; r_1,\ldots,r_n)$$

is transformed into the subformula

$$\exists f \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M) \\ (f^{\alpha_1}_{X_{\varkappa_1}} \circ f_P^{c_1} \circ \bar f = 1 \wedge \ldots \wedge f^{\alpha_k}_{X_{\varkappa_k}} \circ f_P^{c_k} \circ \bar f = 1 \wedge f' \circ f_P^{v_1} \circ \bar f' = f_{r_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge f' \circ f_P^{v_n} \circ \bar f' = f_{r_n}).$$

Let now some sentence φ be true in the model $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T P\rangle$. Let all bound variables of the sentence φ be contained in the set $x_1,\ldots,x_q$ (where $x_1,\ldots,x_q$ is either a variable for ring elements, or for elements of the class Cn, or for elements of some $\varkappa \in \mathrm{Cn}$, or a predicate variable). Since the sentence φ is true in the model $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T P\rangle$, there exists some sequence $y_1,\ldots,y_q$ of elements of this model such that the sentence φ holds on it. Transform the sequence $y_1,\ldots,y_q$ of elements of the model $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T P\rangle$ into a sequence $z_1,\ldots,z_s$ of elements of the model mod-T.

If $y_i \in \mathrm{End}_T(P)$, then we transform the element y_i to the element $z_i := y_i = f_{y_i} \in \mathrm{Mor}(P,P)$.

If $y_i \in \mathrm{Cn}$ and $y_i = \varkappa$, then transform y_i to the pair $z_i^{(1)} := M^{(\varkappa)} \in \mathrm{Obj}$ and $z_i^{(2)} := \bar g^*_\varkappa$ such that $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$.

If $y_i \in \varkappa$ and $y_i = \alpha$, where α is an ordinal number, then transform y_i to $z_i := f^\alpha \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$, i.e., to the projection from this set having the index α.

If $y_i = P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(c_1,\ldots,c_k; v_1,\ldots,v_n)$, i.e., it is a relation $\bar P$ on the set

$$\varkappa_1 \times \cdots \times \varkappa_k \times \mathrm{End}_T P \times \cdots \times \mathrm{End}_T P,$$

then we shall set $\varkappa := \max\{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k, |\,\mathrm{End}_T P|\}$ and transform y_i to a sequence $z_i^1,\ldots,z_i^k; z_i^{k+1},\ldots,z_i^{k+n}$ of morphisms from the sets

$$\mathrm{Sets}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa, \bar g^*_{\varkappa_1}}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa_1)}), \ldots, \mathrm{Sets}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa, \bar g^*_{\varkappa_k}}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa_k)}), \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa), \ldots, \mathrm{Ring}_{\bar g^*_\varkappa}(V^\varkappa),$$

respectively, such that $\langle \alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k; r_1,\ldots,r_n\rangle \in \bar P$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \varkappa$ such that in every matrix z_i^l, where $1 \le l \le k$, the intersection of the column with index α and the row with index α_l is a unit, and in every matrix z_i^l, where $k < l \le k+n$, the element on the diagonal at position α is r_l.

Therefore, we have a new sequence $z_1,\ldots,z_s$. We show that the sentence $\tilde\varphi_P$ holds on this sequence in the model mod-T.

We shall prove this by induction by the length of the formula.

1. If the formula has the form

$$r_1 = r_2 + r_3,$$

then its transformation has the form

$$f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \oplus f_{r_3},$$

and $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$ in $\mathrm{End}_T\, P$ if and only if $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \oplus f_{r_3}$ in $\mathrm{Mor}_T(P, P)$ because the rings $\mathrm{End}_T\, P$ and $\mathrm{Mor}_T(P, P)$ are isomorphic. Thus

$$\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T\, P\rangle_{L_2} \vDash r_1 = r_2 + r_3$$

if and only if

$$\text{mod-}T \vDash f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \oplus f_{r_3}.$$

2. The proof in the case of formulas $r_1 = r_2 \cdot r_3$ and $r_1 = r_2$ is similar to the previous one.
3. If the formula has the form

$$\varkappa_1 = \varkappa_2,$$

then its transformation has the form

$$\exists g \in \mathrm{Mor}(M^{(\varkappa_1)}, M^{(\varkappa_2)})\ (g \text{ is an isomorphism}).$$

If the cardinal numbers $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ coincide, then the modules $M^{(\varkappa_1)}$ and $M^{(\varkappa_2)}$ are isomorphic, and if the modules $M^{(I)}$ and $M^{(J)}$ are isomorphic, then $|I| = |J|$. Therefore

$$\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T\, P\rangle_{L_2} \vDash \varkappa_1 = \varkappa_2$$

if and only if

$$\text{mod-}T \vDash \exists g_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \in \mathrm{Mor}(M^{(\varkappa_1)}, M^{(\varkappa_2)})\ (g \text{ is an isomorphism}).$$

4. The proof of a similar statement about the formula $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ is the same.
5. If the formula has the form

$$P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n)$$

and its transformations has the form

$$\tilde{P}_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n)_P$$

and, further,

$$\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T\, P\rangle_{L_2} \vDash P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n),$$

then for the sequence

$$\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, r_1, \ldots, r_n\rangle \in \varkappa_1 \times \cdots \times \varkappa_k \times \mathrm{End}_T\, P \times \cdots \times \mathrm{End}_T\, P$$

we have

$$\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n\rangle \in \bar{P},$$

where $\bar{P}$ is the relation corresponding to the predicate $P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}$, i.e.,

$$\bar{P} \subset \varkappa_1 \times \cdots \times \varkappa_k \times \mathrm{End}_T\, P \times \cdots \times \mathrm{End}_T\, P.$$

This relation is a set of sequences that has cardinality at most

$$|\varkappa_1 \times \cdots \times \varkappa_k \times |T| \times \cdots \times |T|| \le |\varkappa \times \cdots \times \varkappa| = \varkappa.$$

Therefore all sequences from $\bar{P}$ can be enumerated by elements of $\varkappa$. Let $\bar{P}(\alpha)$ be a sequence from $\bar{P}$ with the number α and let it have the form $\langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n\rangle$. Then the α-th column of the matrix z_i^l for $l = 1, \ldots, k$ will contain 1 at position α_l and 0 at all other positions, and the α-th column of the matrix z_i^l for $l = k + 1, \ldots, k + n$ will contain r_{l-n} at the α-th position and 0 at all other positions. Consequently

$$\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T\, P\rangle_{L_2} \vDash P_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n)$$

if and only if

$$\text{mod-}T \vDash \tilde{P}_{\varkappa_1,\ldots,\varkappa_k}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n)_P.$$

All other parts of induction are proved similarly.

Now we can easily see that the sentence φ holds in the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_T(P)\rangle$ if and only if the corresponding sentence $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ holds in mod-T.

According to the condition of the theorem, the formula

$$\mathrm{Select}(P) := P \in \mathrm{Obj} \wedge \mathrm{Proobr}(P) \wedge \tilde{\psi}^P \wedge \forall P' \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{Proobr}(P') \wedge P' \ncong P \Rightarrow \neg\tilde{\psi}^{P'})$$

is true in mod-R only for $P \cong R$.

Let now categories mod-R and mod-S be elementarily equivalent and φ be a sentence in the second-order language L_2 of the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle$ which is true in $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$. Then the sentence $\forall P \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{Select}(P) \Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}^P)$ is true in the category mod-R, and, therefore, in the category mod-S. Thus the sentence φ is true in $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathrm{End}_S(P)\rangle$ for every module P satisfying the formula $\mathrm{Select}(P)$ in the category mod-S. But for all modules P satisfying the formula φ the rings of the form $\mathrm{End}_S\, P$ are equivalent in the logic $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle$. Consequently if we set $S' := \mathrm{End}_S\, P$ for some P satisfying the formula $\mathrm{Select}(P)$, then we shall have that the sentence φ is true $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S'\rangle$, and the ring S' does not depend on the sequence φ. Therefore the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S'\rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2. □

2.8 The Inverse Theorem

Before proving the inverse theorem we introduce different notions which we shall need later, and transfer them to the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$.

A one-place relation $P_{\varkappa_1}(c)$ will be called a *subset of the cardinal number* $\varkappa_1$. The set $\{\alpha \in \varkappa_1 \mid P_{\varkappa_1}(\alpha)\}$ will be denoted by $P_{\varkappa_1}$. We shall use the notation $\alpha \in P_{\varkappa_1}$ for it.

A one-place relation $P(v)$ will be called a *subset of the ring* ring, and, similarly to the previous notation, we shall use the notation $r \in P$.

Any two-place relation $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F(v_1, v_2)$) will be called a *correspondence* between cardinal numbers $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ (or between a cardinal number $\varkappa_1$ and the ring, or in the ring). We shall use the notation $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2\rangle \in P_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}$ ($\langle \alpha_1, v_1\rangle \in P_{\varkappa_1}$ or $\langle v_1, v_2\rangle \in P$) for the formula $P_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ (and so on).

A correspondence $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F(v_1, v_2)$) for which the formula

$$\forall \alpha \in \varkappa_1\, \exists \beta \in \varkappa_2\, (\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}) \wedge \forall \alpha \in \varkappa_1\, \forall \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \varkappa_2\, (\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \wedge \langle \alpha, \beta_2\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \Rightarrow \beta_1 = \beta_2)$$

holds (similarly for other types of correspondences) is called a *mapping from a cardinal number* $\varkappa_1$ *into a cardinal number* $\varkappa_2$ (respectively, from a cardinal number $\varkappa_1$ into the ring, or from the ring into itself). The fact that $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}$ ($F_{\varkappa_1}$ or F) is a mapping will be denoted by $\mathrm{Func}(F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$ ($\mathrm{Func}(F_{\varkappa_1})$ or $\mathrm{Func}(F)$).

A mapping $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F(v_1, v_2)$) for which the formula

$$\forall \beta \in \varkappa_2\, \exists \alpha \in \varkappa_2\, (\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$$

holds (similarly for other types of mappings) is called *surjective* (notation: $\mathrm{Surj}(F)$, or $\mathrm{Surj}(F_{\varkappa_1})$, or $\mathrm{Surj}(F)$).

A mapping $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F(v_1, v_2)$) for which the formula

$$\forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \varkappa_1\, \forall \beta \in \varkappa_2\, (\langle \alpha_1, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \wedge \langle \alpha_2, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \alpha_2)$$

holds (similarly for other types of mappings) is called *injective* (notation: $\mathrm{Inj}(F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$, or $\mathrm{Inj}(F_{\varkappa_1})$, or $\mathrm{Inj}(F)$).

A mapping which is simultaneously surjective and injective is called *bijective* (notation: $\mathrm{Bij}(F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$, or $\mathrm{Bij}(F_{\varkappa_1})$, or $\mathrm{Bij}(F)$).

For a given mapping $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F(v_1, v_2)$) the *inverse* mapping is the mapping $F'_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F'_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F'(v_1, v_2)$) satisfying the formula

$$\forall \alpha \in \varkappa_1\, \forall \beta \in \varkappa_2\, (\langle \alpha, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2} \Leftrightarrow \langle \beta, \alpha\rangle \in F'_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}).$$

The *domain* of a correspondence $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1, v_1)$, or $F(v_1, v_2)$) is the set $A_{\varkappa_1} \subset \varkappa_1$ ($A \subset \mathsf{ring}$) satisfying the formula

$$\forall \alpha \in \varkappa_1\, (\alpha \in A_{\varkappa_1} \Leftrightarrow \exists \beta \in \varkappa_2\, \langle \alpha, \beta\rangle \in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}).$$

The domain is denoted by $\mathrm{Dom}(F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$.

The *image* of a correspondence $F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1,c_2)$ (or $F_{\varkappa_1}(c_1)$, or $F(v_1,v_2)$) is the set $A_{\varkappa_2}\subset\varkappa_2$ ($A\subset\mathsf{ring}$) satisfying the formula

$$\forall\beta\in\varkappa_2\,(\beta\in A_{\varkappa_2}\Leftrightarrow\exists\alpha\in\varkappa_1\,\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\in F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$$

(notation: $\mathrm{Rng}(F_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$).

A cardinal number $\mu\in\mathrm{Cn}$ will be called *infinite* (notation: $\mu\in\mathrm{Inf}$ or $\mathrm{Inf}(\mu)$) if it satisfies the formula

$$\exists F_{\mu,\mu}(c_1,c_2)\,(\mathrm{Inj}(F_{\mu,\mu})\wedge\mathrm{Rng}(F_{\mu,\mu})\neq\mu).$$

A cardinal number $\mu\in\mathrm{Cn}$ will be called *finite* (notation: $\mu\in\mathrm{Fin}$ or $\mathrm{Fin}(\mu)$) if $\mu\notin\mathrm{Inf}$.

The *cardinality* of a set $M_\varkappa\subset\varkappa$ ($M\subset\mathsf{ring}$) is the cardinal number $\mu\in\mathrm{Cn}$ satisfying the formula

$$\exists F_{\mu,\varkappa}(c_1,c_2)\,(\mathrm{Inj}(F_{\mu,\varkappa})\wedge\mathrm{Dom}(F_{\mu,\varkappa})=\mu\wedge\mathrm{Rng}(F_{\mu,\varkappa})=M_\varkappa).$$

The cardinality of a set $M_\varkappa$ (M) will be denoted by $|M_\varkappa|$ ($|M|$).

A set $M_\varkappa$ (M) will be called *finite* if its cardinality is a finite cardinal number.

Consider some finite set $M_\varkappa$ (M). A correspondence $\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}(c_1,c_2)$ ($\bar{M}(v_1,v_2)$) will be called a *relation of consecutive order* on this set if

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3\in M_\varkappa\,(((\langle\alpha_1,\alpha_2\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}\wedge\langle\alpha_1,\alpha_3\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}\Rightarrow\alpha_2=\alpha_3)\\&\quad\wedge(\langle\alpha_1,\alpha_3\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}\wedge\langle\alpha_2,\alpha_3\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}\Rightarrow\alpha_1=\alpha_2))\\&\quad\wedge\exists\alpha_{\min},\alpha_{\max}\in M_\varkappa\,\forall\alpha\in M_\varkappa\,((\alpha=\alpha_{\max}\vee\exists\alpha'\in M_\varkappa\,(\langle\alpha,\alpha'\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}))\\&\quad\wedge(\alpha=\alpha_{\min}\vee\exists\alpha'\in M_\varkappa\,(\langle\alpha',\alpha\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa})))\wedge\forall\alpha\in M_\varkappa\,(\langle\alpha_{\max},\alpha\rangle\notin\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}\wedge\langle\alpha,\alpha_{\min}\rangle\notin\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}).\end{aligned}$$

The property of a predicate $\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}(c_1,c_2)$ ($\bar{M}(v_1,v_2)$) to be a consecutive order on a set $M_\varkappa$ (M) will be denoted by $\mathrm{Next}_{M_\varkappa}(\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa})$ ($\mathrm{Next}_M(\bar{M})$).

If $\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}(c_1,c_2)$ ($\bar{M}(v_1,v_2)$) is a fixed consecutive order on a set $M_\varkappa$ (M), then for $\alpha_1,\alpha_2\in\varkappa$ ($r_1,r_2\in\mathsf{ring}$) such that $\langle\alpha_1,\alpha_2\rangle\in\bar{M}_{\varkappa,\varkappa}$ ($\langle r_1,r_2\rangle\in\bar{M}$) we shall write $\alpha_2=\alpha_1\oplus_{\bar{M}}1$ ($r_2=r_1\oplus_{\bar{M}}1$).

Let $M\subset\mathsf{ring}$ be some subset of the ring ring. By $\sum\limits_{r\in M}r$ we shall denote the element $\bar{r}$ of the ring ring satisfying the formula

$$\begin{aligned}&\exists\bar{M}(v_1,v_2)\,\exists S(v_1,v_2)\,(\mathrm{Next}_M(\bar{M})\wedge\mathrm{Bij}(S)\wedge\langle r_{\min}(\bar{M}),r_{\min}(\bar{M})\rangle\in S\\&\quad\wedge\forall r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4\in M\,(r_2=r_1\oplus_{\bar{M}}1\wedge\langle r_1,r_3\rangle\in S\wedge\langle r_2,r_4\rangle\in S\Rightarrow r_4=r_3+r_2)\\&\quad\wedge\langle r_{\max}(\bar{M}),\bar{r}\rangle\in S).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the formula $\sum\limits_{r\in M}r$ introduces the usual addition in the ring ring.

A *matrix* of size $\varkappa_1\times\varkappa_2$ is a relation $M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1,c_2,v_1)$ satisfying the formula

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall\alpha\in\varkappa_1\,\forall\beta\in\varkappa_2\,\exists r\in\mathsf{ring}\,(\langle\alpha,\beta,r\rangle\in M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})\\&\quad\wedge\forall\alpha\in\varkappa_1\,\forall\beta\in\varkappa_2\,\forall r_1,r_2\in\mathsf{ring}\,(\langle\alpha,\beta,r_1\rangle\in M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}\wedge\langle\alpha,\beta,r_2\rangle\in M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}\Rightarrow r_1=r_2)\\&\quad\wedge\forall\beta\in\varkappa_2\,\forall M_{\varkappa_1}\subset\varkappa_1\,(\forall\alpha\in\varkappa_1\,(\alpha\in M_{\varkappa_1}\Leftrightarrow\exists r\in\mathsf{ring}\,(\langle\alpha,\beta,r\rangle\in M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}\wedge r\neq 0))\Rightarrow|M_{\varkappa_1}|\in\mathrm{Fin}).\end{aligned}$$

Relations $M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2}(c_1,c_2;v_1)$ which are matrices will be denoted by $\mathrm{Matrix}(M_{\varkappa_1,\varkappa_2})$.

Theorem 6. *If structures $\langle\mathrm{Cn},R\rangle$ and $\langle\mathrm{Cn},S\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2, then the categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary sentence φ in the first order language of category theory which is true in the category mod-R.

We shall transform it to a sentence of the second-order language of the structure $\langle\mathrm{Cn},R\rangle$.

At the beginning we shall give an informal description of this transformation.

Every object variable is transformed into a pair where the first element is a cardinal number $\varkappa$ (which corresponds to the rank of a free module over R) and the second element is a matrix of size $\varkappa \times \varkappa$ with elements from the ring R such that the matrix contains only a finite number of nonzero elements in every column. This matrix naturally corresponds to a submodule of the module $R^{(\varkappa)}$ (the columns are the generating elements of this submodule). We shall associate such a pair with a factormodule of the free module $R^{(\varkappa)}$ by this submodule.

Every morphism variable is transformed into a triplet consisting of two objects encoded as described above (we shall denote the corresponding cardinal numbers by $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa'$ and the corresponding submodules by A and A') and of a matrix of size $\varkappa \times \varkappa'$, defining a linear mapping from $R^{(\varkappa)}$ into $R^{(\varkappa')}$ such that the image of the submodule A is a submodule of the module A'.

Every identity morphism is transformed into a triplet where the first and the second components coincide and the third component is the identity matrix.

The composition of two morphisms (two triplets) is transformed into a triplet where the first object is the first object of the first triplet, the second object is the second object of the second triplet, and the third object is the composition of the matrices from the first and the second triplet.

Now we shall go on to the formal translation.

We shall perform the following replacements in the sentence φ.

1. A subformula $\forall X \in \mathrm{Obj}$ will be replaced by the subformula

$$\forall \varkappa_X \in \mathrm{Cn}\, \forall P^X_{\varkappa_X, \varkappa_X}(c_1, c_2, v)\, (\mathrm{Matrix}(P^X_{\varkappa_X, \varkappa_X}) \Rightarrow \dots).$$

2. A subformula $\exists X \in \mathrm{Obj}$ will be replaced by the subformula

$$\exists \varkappa_X \in \mathrm{Cn}\, \exists P^X_{\varkappa_X, \varkappa_X}(c_1, c_2, v)\, (\mathrm{Matrix}(P^X_{\varkappa_X, \varkappa_X}) \wedge \dots).$$

Now we need to write a condition for the matrix of a morphism. The condition will state that this matrix moves the first object to the second one, i.e., all columns of the matrix of the first object will be transformed by the action of this matrix into linear combinations of columns of the matrix of the second object. To write this sentence we need to introduce a formula expressing the sum of an infinite set of elements of a ring if it is known that only a finite number of them are nonzero.

For convenience, given a matrix $M_{\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2}(c_1, c_2, v_1)$ and fixed $\alpha \in \varkappa_1$ and $\beta \in \varkappa_2$, we shall denote by $M_{\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2}(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)$ the unique $r \in \mathsf{ring}$ for which $\langle \alpha, \beta, r \rangle \in M_{\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2}$.

Suppose that we have some mapping $F_\varkappa(c, v)$, whose image is a subset of the ring ring, and there exist only a finite number of $\alpha \in \varkappa$ such that $\langle \alpha, r \rangle \in F_\varkappa$ for a nonzero $r \in \mathsf{ring}$. Then by

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \varkappa} F_\varkappa(\langle \alpha \rangle)$$

we shall denote the element $r \in \mathsf{ring}$ satisfying the formula

$$\forall M_\varkappa(c, v)\, (\forall \alpha \in \varkappa\, \forall r' \in \mathsf{ring}\, (\langle \alpha, r' \rangle \in M_\varkappa \Leftrightarrow r' \neq 0 \wedge \langle \alpha, r' \rangle \in F_\varkappa) \Rightarrow r = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Dom}(M_\varkappa)} M_\varkappa(\langle \alpha \rangle)).$$

Now we are ready to give the translation 3.

3. A subformula $\forall f \in \mathrm{Mor}$ will be replaced by the subformula

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall \varkappa_f\, \forall P^f_{\varkappa_f, \varkappa_f} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Obj}}\, \forall \varkappa'_f\, \forall {P^f_{\varkappa'_f, \varkappa'_f}}' \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Obj}}\, \forall Q^f_{\varkappa_f, \varkappa'_f}(c_1, c_2, v)\, \Bigg(\mathrm{Matrix}(Q^f_{\varkappa_f, \varkappa'_f}) \\ &\quad \wedge \forall \beta \in \varkappa_f\, \exists S_{\varkappa'_f}(c, v)\, \Bigg(\mathrm{Func}(S_{\varkappa'_f}) \langle \mathrm{Dom} \rangle \wedge |\mathrm{Dom}(S_{\varkappa'_f})| \in \mathrm{Fin} \\ &\quad \wedge \forall \gamma \in \varkappa'_f\, \Bigg(\Bigg(\gamma \in \mathrm{Dom}(S_{\varkappa'_f}) \wedge \sum_{\alpha \in \varkappa_f} Q^f_{\varkappa_f, \varkappa'_f}(\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle) \cdot P^f_{\varkappa_f, \varkappa_f}(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = \sum_{\xi \in \varkappa'_f} S(\gamma) \cdot {P^f_{\varkappa'_f, \varkappa'_f}}'(\langle \xi, \gamma \rangle) \Bigg) \end{aligned}$$

$$\vee \biggl(\gamma \notin \mathrm{Dom}(S_{\varkappa'_f}) \wedge \sum_{\alpha \in \varkappa_f} Q_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(\langle \alpha,\gamma\rangle) \cdot P^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\langle \alpha,\beta\rangle) = 0\biggr)\biggr)\biggr) \Rightarrow \ldots\biggr).$$

4. Similarly to the previous case, a subformula $\exists f \in \mathrm{Mor}$ will be replaced by the subformula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exists \varkappa_f\, \exists P^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f} \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Obj}}\, \exists \varkappa'_f\, \exists {P^f_{\varkappa'_f,\varkappa'_f}}' \in \widetilde{\mathrm{Obj}}\, \forall Q^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(c_1,c_2,v) \biggl(\mathrm{Matrix}(Q^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f})\\
&\quad \wedge \forall \beta \in \varkappa_f\, \exists S_{\varkappa'_f}(c,v) \biggl(\mathrm{Func}(S_{\varkappa'_f})\langle \mathrm{Dom}\rangle \wedge |\mathrm{Dom}(S_{\varkappa'_f})| \in \mathrm{Fin}\\
&\quad \wedge \forall \gamma \in \varkappa'_f \biggl(\biggl(\gamma \in \mathrm{Dom}(S_{\varkappa'_f}) \wedge \sum_{\alpha \in \varkappa_f} Q^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(\langle \alpha,\gamma\rangle) \cdot P^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\langle \alpha,\beta\rangle) = \sum_{\xi \in \varkappa'_f} S(\gamma) \cdot {P^f_{\varkappa'_f,\varkappa'_f}}'(\langle \xi,\gamma\rangle)\biggr)\\
&\quad \vee \biggl(\gamma \notin \mathrm{Dom}(S_{\varkappa'_f}) \wedge \sum_{\alpha \in \varkappa_f} Q_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(\langle \alpha,\gamma\rangle) \cdot P^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\langle \alpha,\beta\rangle) = 0\biggr)\biggr)\biggr) \wedge \ldots\biggr).
\end{aligned}$$

5. A subformula $X = Y$ for $X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}$ will be replaced by the subformula

$$\varkappa_X = \varkappa_Y \wedge \forall \alpha,\beta \in \varkappa_X\, \forall r \in \mathsf{ring}\, (P^X_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\alpha,\beta,r) \Leftrightarrow P^Y_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\alpha,\beta,r)),$$

and the subformula $f = g$ for $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}$ will be replaced by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varkappa_f = \varkappa_g \wedge \varkappa'_f = \varkappa'_g \wedge \forall \alpha_1,\alpha_2 \in \varkappa_f\, \forall \beta_1,\beta_2 \in \varkappa'_f\, \forall r \in \mathsf{ring}\, ((P^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,r) \Leftrightarrow P^g_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,r))\\
&\qquad \wedge ({P^f_{\varkappa'_f,\varkappa'_f}}'(\beta_1,\beta_2,r) \Leftrightarrow {P^f_{\varkappa'_f,\varkappa'_f}}'(\beta_1,\beta_2,r)) \wedge (Q^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(\alpha_1,\beta_1,r) \Leftrightarrow Q^g_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(\alpha_1,\beta_1,r))).
\end{aligned}$$

6. A subformula $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,Y)$ for given $f \in \mathrm{Mor}$ and $X, Y \in \mathrm{Obj}$ will be replaced by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varkappa_f = \varkappa_X \wedge \varkappa'_f = \varkappa_Y \wedge \forall \alpha_1,\alpha_2 \in \varkappa_X\, \forall \beta_1,\beta_2 \in \varkappa_Y\, \forall r \in \mathsf{ring}\\
&\qquad (P^f_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,r) \Leftrightarrow P^X_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,r)) \wedge ({P^f_{\varkappa_Y,\varkappa_Y}}'(\beta_1,\beta_2,r) \Leftrightarrow P^Y_{\varkappa_Y,\varkappa_Y}(\beta_1,\beta_2,r)).
\end{aligned}$$

7. A subformula $f = 1_X$ for given $f \in \mathrm{Mor}$ and $X \in \mathrm{Obj}$ will be replaced by the subformula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varkappa_f = \varkappa_X \wedge \varkappa'_f = \varkappa_X \wedge \forall \alpha,\beta \in \varkappa_X\, \forall r \in \mathsf{ring}\, (P^X_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\alpha,\beta,r) \Leftrightarrow P^f_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\alpha,\beta,r) \Leftrightarrow {P^f_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}}'(\alpha,\beta,r))\\
&\qquad \wedge \forall \gamma \in \varkappa_X\, (Q^f_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\gamma,\gamma,1)) \wedge \forall \gamma,\eta \in \varkappa_X\, (\gamma \neq \eta \Rightarrow Q^f_{\varkappa_X,\varkappa_X}(\gamma,\eta,0)).
\end{aligned}$$

8. A subformula $f = g \circ h$ for given $f, g, h \in \mathrm{Mor}$ will be replaced by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varkappa_f = \varkappa_h \wedge \varkappa'_f = \varkappa'_g \wedge \varkappa'_h = \varkappa_g\\
&\quad \wedge \forall \alpha_1,\alpha_2 \in \varkappa_f\, \forall \beta_1,\beta_2 \in \varkappa'_f\, \forall \gamma_1,\gamma_2 \in \varkappa_g\, \forall r \in \mathsf{ring}\, ((P^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,r) \Leftrightarrow P^h_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa_f}(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,r))\\
&\quad \wedge ({P^f_{\varkappa'_f,\varkappa'_f}}'(\beta_1,\beta_2,r) \Leftrightarrow {P^g_{\varkappa'_f,\varkappa'_f}}'(\beta_1,\beta_2,r)) \wedge (P^g_{\varkappa_g,\varkappa_g}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,r) \Leftrightarrow {P^h_{\varkappa_g,\varkappa_g}}'(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,r)))\\
&\quad \wedge \forall \xi \in \varkappa_f\, \forall \eta \in \varkappa'_f \biggl(Q^f_{\varkappa_f,\varkappa'_f}(\langle \xi,\eta\rangle) = \sum_{\alpha \in \varkappa_g} Q^g_{\varkappa_g,\varkappa'_g}(\langle \alpha,\eta\rangle) \cdot Q^h_{\varkappa_h,\varkappa'_h}(\langle \xi,\alpha\rangle)\biggr).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus every sentence φ in the first order logic of the category theory can be translated to a sentence $\tilde{\varphi}$ of the second-order logic L_2 of the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle$, and the algorithm of this translation does not depend on the basic ring. The sentence φ holds in the category mod-R if and only if the sentence $\tilde{\varphi}$ holds in the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$.

Consider some sentence φ (or some formula φ) in the first order language of the category theory.

Let all bound (free and bound) variables of the sentence (formula) φ be contained in the set $x_1, \dots, x_q$ (every x_l is either a variable for elements of the class Obj or for elements of the class Mor). Consider some sequence of elements of the model mod-R $y_1, \dots, y_q$ such that if x_l is a variable for objects, then $y_l \in \mathrm{Obj}$ and if x_l is a variable for morphisms, then $y_l \in \mathrm{Mor}$.

We shall translate the sequence $y_1, \dots, y_q$ into a sequence $z_1, \dots, z_s$ of elements of the model $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle_{L_2}$ as follows.

If $y_l \in \mathrm{Obj}$, then y_l is some module over a ring R. As we know, in this case there exist $\varkappa_l \in \mathrm{Cn}$ and a submodule M_l of the module $R^{(\varkappa_l)}$ such that

$$y_l \cong R^{(\varkappa_l)}/M_l.$$

Then we transform the element y_l into a pair $\langle z_l^1, z_l^2\rangle$, where $z_l^1 = \varkappa_l$, z_l^2 is a matrix of size $\varkappa_l \times \varkappa_l$ over the ring R, and every column of z_l^2 is a vector from the generating set of vectors of the module M_l. Naturally, in this case every column of the matrix M_l contains only a finite number of nonzero elements.

If $y_l \in \mathrm{Mor}$, then y_l is a morphism from the module M_1 into the module M_2. Let

$$M_1 \cong R^{(\varkappa_1)}/N_1, \quad M_2 \cong R^{(\varkappa_2)}/N_2.$$

Then for $m \in M_1$

$$m = r_1 e_{\alpha_1} + \dots + r_k e_{\alpha_k} + N_1,$$

where $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$ and $e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_k}$ are elements of the basis of the module $R^{(\varkappa_1)}$. Let $y_l(m) = n \in M_2$, i.e., $n = s_1 e_{\beta_1} + \dots + s_n e_{\beta_n}$, where $s_1, \dots, s_n \in R$ and $e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_n}$ are elements of the basis of the module $R^{(\varkappa_2)}$.

We see that such a morphism is completely defined by a matrix of size $\varkappa_1 \times \varkappa_2$ such that $y_l(N_1) \subset N_2$. Therefore we shall translate the morphism y_l to the elements z_l^1, z_l^2, z_l^3, z_l^4, and z_l^5 where z_l^1 and z_l^2 are the translations of the object from which we are making this morphism, z_l^3 and z_l^4 are the translations of the object into which we are making our morphism, and z_l^5 is the matrix of size $\varkappa_1 \times \varkappa_2$ defined by the following formula: for every $\alpha \in \varkappa_1$ the α-th column of the matrix z_l^5 contains r_i in the row with number $\beta_i \in \varkappa_2$ if $y_l(e_\alpha) = \sum r_i e_{\beta_i}$ (the column contains 0 in all other rows).

Thus we obtain some new sequence $z_1, \dots, z_s$. As it was done in the previous theorem, it is easy to show by induction that the sentence $\tilde{\varphi}$ is true on this sequence in the model $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle_{L_2}$ if and only if the sentence φ is true in the model mod-R on the sequence $y_1, \dots, y_q$. Thus, similarly to the previous subsection, we deduce that if $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle \equiv_{L_2} \langle \mathrm{Cn}, S\rangle$, then mod-$R \equiv$ mod-S. ☐

2.9 An Analogue of the Morita Theorem and Its Corollaries

The following theorem directly follows from Theorems 5 and 6.

Theorem 7. *Let R and S be rings. Suppose that there exists a sentence ψ of the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$ which is true in the ring R and is false in any ring similar to R and not equivalent to it in the language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$. Then the categories* mod-R *and* mod-S *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring S' similar to the ring S and such that the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S'\rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2.*

The most evident corollaries from Theorem 7 are the following two statements.

Corollary 1. *For any skewfields F_1 and F_2 the categories* mod-F_1 *and* mod-F_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, F_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, F_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Corollary 2. *For any commutative rings R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Proof. In a category mod-R, where R is a commutative ring, the formula $\mathrm{Proobr}(X)$ defines all progenerators X, and the formula

$$\mathrm{Comm}(X) := \mathrm{Proobr}(X) \wedge \forall f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, (f \circ g = g \circ f)$$

defines all objects which are isomorphic to the ring R (see Theorem 2). ☐

Also the corollaries from Theorem 7 for *local rings* and *integral domains* are not difficult.

A *local ring* is a ring in which the set of all noninvertible elements is a left ideal (see [13, Lemma 1.2, p. 15]).

Proposition 6. *If R is a local ring, then every finitely generated projective R-module is free.*

Proof. We show that if a ring R is local, then the set M of all noninvertible elements is also a right ideal. Indeed, suppose that some product $m\lambda$, where $m \in M$ and $\lambda \in R$, is invertible. Then there exists $r \in R$ such that $m \cdot r = 1$. It is clear that r can not belong to the left ideal M. But r can not be invertible either, since in the opposite case the formula

$$m = m(vv^{-1}) = (mv)v^{-1} = v^{-1}$$

shows that m is also invertible.

This contradiction proves that M is a two-sided ideal. It is clear that the factor ring R/M is a skewfield.

Note that a square matrix over R is invertible if and only if its reduction modulo the ideal M is invertible. To prove this let us multiply this matrix from the left side by a matrix that represents an invertible matrix modulo M, then diagonalize this product with the help of elementary transformations of rows. Therefore the matrix has a left inverse matrix; similarly we can construct the right inverse matrix.

Suppose that a module P is finitely generated and projective over R. Then we can find a module Q such that $P \oplus Q \cong R^{(n)}$. Choose bases in P/MP and Q/MQ (as in spaces over the skewfield R/M). We shall lift up every element of these bases to P or to Q, respectively.

This obtained set of elements is a basis of the module $P \oplus Q$. It is clear that therefore the module P is free. □

Corollary 3. *For arbitrary local rings R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

Proof. In the category mod-R, where R is a local ring, the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Local}(X) := \mathrm{Proobr}(X) \wedge \forall f, g, h \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, ((\forall f' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, \neg(f \circ f' = f' \circ f = 1_X))\\ \wedge (\forall g' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, \neg(g \circ g' = g' \circ g = 1_X)) \wedge h = f \oplus g \Rightarrow (\forall h' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, \neg(h \circ h' = h' \circ h = 1_X)))\end{aligned}$$

holds only for modules which are isomorphic to the module R_R.

Indeed, from Proposition 6 it follows that the formula Proobr(X) holds only for $X \circ R^{(n)}$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be a basis of the ring $R^{(n)}$, where $n \geq 1$. Then consider $f, g, h \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)$ such that $f(e_1) = e_1$, $f(e_i) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, $g(e_1) = 0$, $g(e_i) = e_i$ for $i \neq 1$, and $h(e_i) = e_i$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Then for morphisms f, g, and h

$$\begin{aligned}(\forall f' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, \neg(f \circ f' = f' \circ f = 1_X)) \wedge (\forall g' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, \neg(g \circ g' = g' \circ g = 1_X))\\ \wedge (h = f \oplus g) \wedge \exists h' \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, X)\, (h \circ h' = h' \circ h = 1_X),\end{aligned}$$

where $h' = h$.

Therefore in the module X the formula Local(X) does not hold. □

A ring R is called an *integral domain* if it does not contain any zero divisors and each of its ideals is *principal* (is generated by an element).

Proposition 7 (see [10, Chap. XV, Sec. 2]). *Let P be a progenerator over an integral domain. Then the module P is free.*

Proof. Since P is a progenerator, it is a submodule of the module $R^{(n)}$. Let the module $R^{(n)}$ have a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$, and let P_r be the intersection of the module P with the module $\langle e_1, \ldots, e_r\rangle$. Then $P_1 = P \cap \langle e_1\rangle$ is a submodule in $\langle e_1\rangle$ and hence has the form $\langle r_1 e_1\rangle$ for some $r_1 \in R$. Thus the module P_1 is either nonzero or free of rank 1. Suppose by induction that the module P_r is free of rank $\leq r$. Let M be the set of all elements $m \in R$ such that there exists $x \in P$ which can be written in the form

$$x = b_1 e_1 + \cdots + b_r e_r + m e_{r+1},$$

where $b_i \in R$.

It is clear that M is an ideal in R and, therefore, is a principal ideal, generated by some $r_{r+1} \in R$. If $r_{r+1} = 0$, then $P_{r+1} = P_r$ and the induction step is proved. If $r_{r+1} \neq 0$, then let $w \in P_{r+1}$ be such that its e_{r+1}-th coefficient is equal to r_{r+1}. If $x \in P_{r+1}$, then its e_{r+1}-th coefficient can be divided by r_{r+1} and, therefore, there exists such $c \in R$ that $x - cw \in P_r$. Consequently,

$$P = P_r + \langle w \rangle.$$

On the other hand, $P_r \cap \langle w \rangle = 0$, and therefore this sum is direct. ☐

Corollary 4. *For arbitrary integral domains R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R_2 \rangle$ are equivalent in the logic L_2.*

Proof. In a category mod-R, where R is an integral domain, the formula

$$\mathrm{Principal}(X) := \mathrm{Proobr}(X) \land \forall f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,X)\, \forall g \in \mathrm{Mor}(X,X)\, (f \circ g \neq 0 \land g \circ f \neq 0)$$

holds only for modules which are isomorphic to the module R_R. This follows easily from Proposition 7. ☐

A module M over a ring R is called *Artinian* if the following equivalent conditions are fulfilled:

1. every nonempty set of submodules of the module M, ordered by inclusion, contains a minimal element;

2. every decreasing sequence of submodules of the module M is stationary.

A ring R is called *Artinian* if the module R_R is Artinian.

A module M is called *decomposable* if there exist such modules M_1 and M_2 that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$. In the opposite case a module M is called *indecomposable.*

In [2, p. 139] the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 8. *Let M be a finitely generated module over an Artinian ring R.*

a. The module M can be represented as a direct sum of a finite family $(M_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ of indecomposable nonzero submodules.

b. If the module M is a direct sum of another family $(M'_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ of indecomposable nonzero submodules, then $m = n$ and there exist a substitution π of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and an automorphism α of the set M such that

$$\alpha(M'_j) = M_{\pi(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Now introduce the following sentences of the second-order language of the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring} \rangle$.

1. For a subset M of the ring the formula

$$\mathrm{Mod}(M) := \forall r \in \mathsf{ring}\, \forall m \in M\, \exists n \in M\, (rm = n) \land \forall l, m \in M\, \exists n \in M\, (n = l + m)$$

means that the set M is a module over the ring ring.

2. For sets M and N the formula

$$\begin{aligned}(M \cong N) := {} & \mathrm{Mod}(N) \land \mathrm{Mod}(M) \land \exists F(v_1, v_2)\, (\mathrm{Dom}(F) = M \land \mathrm{Rng}(F) = N \land \mathrm{Bij}(F) \\ & \land \forall r_1, r_2 \in \mathsf{ring}\, \forall m_1, m_2 \in M\, \forall n_1, n_2 \in N\end{aligned}$$

$$(\langle m_1, n_1\rangle \in F \wedge \langle m_2, n_2\rangle \in F \Rightarrow \langle r_1m_1 + r_2m_2, r_1n_1 + r_2n_2\rangle \in F))$$

means that the sets M and N are ring-modules and that they are isomorphic.

3. For sets $L, M, N \subset \mathsf{ring}$ the formula

$$(N = M \oplus L) := \mathrm{Mod}(M) \wedge \mathrm{Mod}(L) \wedge \mathrm{Mod}(N) \\ \wedge \forall n \in N\, \exists m \in M\, \exists l \in L\, (n = m + l) \wedge \forall m \in M\, \forall l \in L\, (m = l \Rightarrow m = 0)$$

means that the module N is a direct sum of the modules M and L.

4. For a set $M \subset \mathsf{ring}$ the formula

$$\mathrm{Undir}(M) := \mathrm{Mod}(M) \wedge \forall L(c), N(c)\, \neg(M = L \oplus N)$$

means that the module M is indecomposable.

5. For a set $M \subset \mathsf{ring}$ the formula

$$\mathrm{Dir}_N(M) := \mathrm{Mod}(M) \wedge \exists M_1(c) \ldots \exists M_N(c)\, (\mathrm{Mod}(M_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{Mod}(M_N)) \\ \wedge \bigwedge_{i\neq j} \neg(M_i \cong M_j) \wedge M = M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_N \wedge (\mathrm{Undir}(M_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{Undir}(M_N))$$

means that the module M is a direct sum of indecomposable modules $M_1, \ldots, M_N$ which are not isomorphic to each other.

Suppose that we have some Artinian ring R. Then the module R_R is Artinian, and therefore it is a direct sum of n indecomposable modules. Let it be modules

$$M_1^1, \ldots, M_1^{i_1}, M_2^1, \ldots, M_2^{i_2}, \ldots, M_k^1, \ldots, M_k^{i_k},$$

and for $k \neq l$

$$M_k^i \not\cong M_l^j,$$

but for every k

$$M_k^i \cong M_k^j.$$

Consider the module

$$M := M_1^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k^1.$$

Since the module M is a direct summand of the module R_R, it is projective and finitely generated. Since the module R_R is a direct summand of the module $M^{(\max(i_1,\ldots,i_k))}$, we see that M is a generator. Therefore the module M is a progenerator and the ring $\mathrm{End}_R\, M$ is similar to the ring R.

Thus for some $N \in \omega$ the formula

$$\psi(P) := \mathrm{Proobr}(P) \wedge \mathrm{Undir}_N(P)$$

defines a unique, up to an isomorphism, progenerator

$$M := M_1^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k^1.$$

Consequently, we have proved the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *For any Artinian rings R_1 and R_2 the categories* mod-R_1 *and* mod-R_2 *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings S_1 and S_2 such that the ring S_1 is similar to the ring R_1, the ring S_2 is similar to the ring R_2, and the structures $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S_1\rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, S_2\rangle$ are equivalent in the second-order logic L_2.*

3 Elementary Equivalence of Endomorphism Rings of Modules of Infinite Ranks

3.1 Endomorphism Rings of Modules and Categories $C_{M(V)}$

Suppose that we have some associative ring R with 1, an infinite cardinal number $\varkappa$, and a free module $V = V_\varkappa^R$ of rank $\varkappa$ over R.

In this section, we assume that every ideal of the ring R is generated by at most $\varkappa$ elements. This is always so if $\varkappa \geq |R|$, or if R is an integral domain, or if the ring R is semisimple.

In the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ we want to interpret the category C_V, consisting of the modules V, all quotient modules of the module V, and all homomorphisms between them, i.e., to give an algorithm, transforming every formula φ of the first order language of the category theory to a formula $\tilde{\varphi}$ of the first order language of the ring theory in such a way that the formula φ holds in $C_{M(V)}$ if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}$ holds in $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$.

At the beginning we shall give an informal description of this translation.

1. To every object X of the category $C_{M(V)}$ we associate an element $\tilde{X}$ of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$ in the following way: if $X \in C_{M(V)}$, then $X = V/X'$ for some X' which is a submodule of the module V. Every submodule of the module V can be defined by the generating vectors, and the cardinality of the set of generating vectors is not greater than $\varkappa$. These vectors can be written as columns of a matrix of size $\varkappa \times \varkappa$ (if this cardinality is less than $\varkappa$, then we can extend this matrix by zero columns), i.e., as an element of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$. Conversely, if $\tilde{X} \in \mathrm{End}_R V$, then we can consider the module generated by the columns of the matrix $\tilde{X}$, and then the factormodule $X := V/\tilde{X}$.

2. To every morphism f of the category $C_{M(V)}$ we associate a triplet $\langle X_f, Y_f, \tilde{f}\rangle$ of elements of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$ such that if $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, Y)$, then $X_f = \tilde{X}$, $Y_f = \tilde{Y}$, and $\tilde{f}$ is a matrix, establishing a homomorphism $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{Mor}(V, V)$ such that

$$\tilde{f} \circ p_Y = p_X \circ f,$$

where p_X and p_Y are standard epimorphisms from the module V onto the modules X and Y, respectively.

This condition shows that the matrix $\tilde{f}$ has to translate vectors of the module X' into vectors of the module Y', i.e., the matrix $\tilde{f}\tilde{X}$ has to generate a submodule of the module generated by the matrix $\tilde{Y}$. This means that there exists $A \in \mathrm{End}_R V$ such that

$$\tilde{f}\tilde{X} = \tilde{Y}A.$$

Two endomorphisms of the module V define the same morphism from the module X into the module Y if their difference defines a zero morphism from the module X into the module Y, i.e., the image of this morphism belongs to the module Y'.

Therefore triplets $\langle X_f, Y_f, \tilde{f}_1\rangle$ and $\langle X_f, Y_f, \tilde{f}_2\rangle$ are considered as equal if

$$\exists A\, (f_1 - f_2 = Y_f A).$$

Now we shall give a formal description.

1. A subformula $\forall X \in \mathrm{Obj}$ is translated to the subformula $\forall \tilde{X}$ (similarly for a subformula $\exists X \in \mathrm{Obj}$).

2. A subformula $\forall f \in \mathrm{Mor}$ is translated to the subformula

$$\forall X_f\, \forall Y_f\, \forall \tilde{f}\, (\exists A\, (\tilde{f} \circ X_f = Y_f \circ A) \Rightarrow \ldots)$$

(similarly for a subformula $\exists f \in \mathrm{Mor}$).

3. A subformula $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(X, Y)$ is translated to the subformula $X_f = \tilde{X} \wedge Y_f = \tilde{Y}$.

4. A subformula $h = f \circ g$ is translated to the subformula $\tilde{h} = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}$.

5. A subformula $f = 1_X$ is translated to the subformula $X_f = Y_f = \tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{f} = 1$.

The algorithm is constructed. Similarly to the previous sections, we can show that the sentence φ holds in the category $C_{M(V)}$ if and only if the sentence $\tilde{\varphi}$ holds in the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$.

Now note that we shall consider not simply the structure $C_{M(V)}$ with the language of the category theory, but the structure $C_{M(V)}$ with the selected module V, i.e., in formulas we can use the subformula $X = V$ for $X \in \mathrm{Obj}$. This subformula will be translated to the subformula $\tilde{X} = 0$.

Therefore, if rings $\mathrm{End}_R V$ and $\mathrm{End}_S W$ are elementarily equivalent, then the categories $C_{M(V)}$ and $C_{M(W)}$ are also elementarily equivalent.

We now prove the inverse implication.

To do this we need to interpret the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$ inside the category $C_{M(V)}$ with the selected object V.

Indeed, in the category $C_{M(V)}$ we shall fix some $V^2 \in \mathrm{Obj}$ such that $V^2 \cong V \oplus V$ (for example, $V \cong V \oplus V$) and morphisms $i_1, i_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(V, V^2)$ and $p_1, p_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(V^2, V)$ such that

$$p_1 \circ i_1 = p_2 \circ i_2 = 1_V \wedge p_1 \circ i_2 = p_2 \circ i_1 = 0 \wedge \forall i \in \mathrm{Mor}(V, V^2)\, (i \neq 0_{V,V^2} \Rightarrow p_1 \circ i \neq 0_V \vee p_2 \circ i \neq 0_V).$$

It is clear that in this case the morphisms i_1 and i_2 are embeddings of the module V into the module $V \oplus V$, their images do not intersect, and their sum is $V \oplus V$.

Now translate the subformulas $\forall f$ and $\exists f$ to the subformulas $\forall f \in \mathrm{Mor}(V, V)$ and $\exists f \in \mathrm{Mor}(V, V)$; and the subformulas $h = f \cdot g$ and $h = f + g$ to the subformulas $h = f \circ g$ and $h = f \oplus g$ (see Sec. 2.5).

Therefore we have that $C_{M(V_1)} \equiv C_{M(V_2)}$ implies $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1) \equiv \mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$.

Consequently, the question of elementary equivalence of endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$ is equivalent to the question of elementary equivalence of the categories $C_{M(V_1)}$ and $C_{M(V_2)}$ with selected objects V_1 and V_2, respectively.

3.2 Elementary Equivalence in Categories $C_{M(V)}$

Note that our new situation is very close to the situation of Sec. 2. We have the category $C_{M(V)}$, which is a subcategory in mod-R and is closed under taking quotient modules and direct products of cardinality at most $\varkappa$. This category resembles the category mod-R, but it is small and bounded by the given cardinal number $\varkappa$. Moreover, in this category the module V is selected.

We generalize all possible results from Sec. 2 to this case.

The formula $\mathrm{Simp}(M)$ also defines in the category $C_{M(V)}$ simple modules because this category is closed under taking factormodules. The formula $\mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}(X, M)$ also defines the module $X \simeq M^{(\omega)}$ because the cardinal number $\varkappa$ by the condition is at most ω. It is clear that the formula $\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}(X, M)$ holds for finite direct sums of the module M, and the formula $\mathrm{Sum}(X, M)$ holds for all direct sums of the modules M which belong to the category $C_{M(V)}$. Similarly we can generalize for the case of the category C_V all formulas from Sec. 2.2, and even the formula $\mathrm{Proobr}(P)$ which defines in this category all progenerators.

After selecting some progenerator P completely similarly to Sec. 2.3 we can construct an analogue of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R P$ because in Sec. 2.3 we used only closedness of the category mod-R under finite direct sums.

Since all results of Sec. 2.4 also can be easily generalized to our case, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Categories $C_{M(V_1^R)}$ and $C_{M(V_2^S)}$, where R is a finite ring, are elementarily equivalent if and only if $R \cong \mathrm{End}_S P$ for some progenerator P of the category $C_{M(V_2^S)}$.*

It is also clear that following Secs. 2.5 and 2.6 we can find a formula $\varphi(f)$ which holds for some independent set of mappings $f\colon V \to P$ of cardinality $\varkappa$ such that for every f there exists $g\colon P \to V$ such that $f \circ g = 1_P$ and $g \circ f$ is a projector from V into V.

Indeed, for these objects we get similar results. For this purpose we consider, together with the full language $L_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$, its part which can be described as follows.

As we said before (see Sec. 1), the *theory* of a given model $\mathcal{U}$ in a language $\mathcal{L}$ is the set of all sentences of the language $\mathcal{L}$ which are true in the model $\mathcal{U}$. It is clear that two models $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ in the same language $\mathcal{L}$ are equivalent in the language $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if their theories in this language coincide.

The theory of the structure $\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle$ in the language L_2 is denoted by $\mathrm{Th}_2(\langle \mathrm{Cn}, R\rangle)$.

We can also consider the structure $\langle \varkappa, R\rangle$, consisting of a set of cardinality $\varkappa$ and the ring R with ring operations $+$ and $\circ$.

By $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa}(\langle \varkappa, R\rangle)$ we shall denote the part of the theory $\mathrm{Th}_2(\langle \varkappa, R\rangle)$ bounded by the cardinal number $\varkappa$, i.e., the sentences $\varphi \in \mathrm{Th}_2(\langle \varkappa, R\rangle)$ such that the quantifiers $\forall$ and $\exists$ appear only with the predicate symbols

$$P(c_1, \dots, c_k; v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

where the set

$$\{\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, r_1, \dots, r_n\rangle \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \varkappa \wedge r_1, \dots, r_n \in R \wedge P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k; r_1, \dots, r_n)\}$$

is of cardinality at most $\varkappa$.

Then we can write the following analogue of Theorem 7 from Sec. 2.

Theorem 2. *Let V_1 and V_2 be free modules of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over rings R_1 and R_2, respectively. Suppose that there exists a sentence $\psi \in \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ such that $\psi \notin \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$ for every ring R' such that R' is similar to R_1 and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle) \neq \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$. Then if the categories C_{V_1} and C_{V_1} are elementarily equivalent, then there exists a ring S similar to the ring R_2 and such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S\rangle)$ coincide.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem resembles the proof of Theorem 5 from Sec. 2, but we shall give it in detail to show differences.

At the beginning we assume that we fix some progenerator P in the category $C_{M(V)}$, where $V = V_T^{\varkappa}$, $\varkappa$ is an infinite cardinal number, and T is a ring (it is clear that all progenerators of the category mod-T are contained in the category $C_{M(V)}$). Then we have formulas defining a simple module M corresponding to the module P, modules $M^{(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cn} \cap \varkappa + 1$, modules $M^{(n)}$ for all $\alpha \in \omega$, modules $M^{(\alpha)}$ for infinite $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cn} \cap \varkappa + 1$, almost free modules V^{α} of ranks $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cn} \cap \varkappa + 1$, $\alpha \in \omega$, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cn} \cap \varkappa + 1 \setminus \omega$, and also the selected free module V, which is almost free over the module P.

For the module $M^{(\varkappa)}$ (V) we shall define (see Sec. 2.5) its generating set of projectors $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$ (or $\mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*}(V, P)$).

Further (see Sec. 2.3), for every $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ we assume that their sum $f \oplus g \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ and their product $f \otimes g \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$ are known.

Consider an arbitrary sentence φ of the language $L_2(\langle \varkappa, \mathsf{ring}\rangle)$. This sentence can contain the following subformulas.

1. $\forall(\exists) r \in \mathsf{ring}$.
2. $\forall(\exists) \alpha \in \varkappa$.
3. $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$.
4. $r_1 = r_2 \cdot r_2$.
5. $r_1 = r_2$.
6. $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$.
7. $\forall(\exists) P(c_1, \dots, c_k; v_1, \dots, v_n)$.
8. $P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k; r_1, \dots, r_n)$.

Translate this sentence to the sentence $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ (depending of the fixed module P) of the first order language of the category theory by the following algorithm.

1. A subformula $\forall(\exists) r \in \mathsf{ring}$ is translated to the subformula $\forall(\exists) f_r \in \mathrm{Mor}(P, P)$, i.e., every element of the ring ring corresponds to an element of the ring $\mathrm{End}_T P$.
2. A subformula $\forall(\exists) \alpha \in \varkappa$ is translated to the subformula $\forall(\exists) F^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Gen}_{\bar{g}^*}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)$.

3. A subformula $r_1 = r_2 + r_3$ is translated to the subformula $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \oplus f_{r_3}$.
4. A subformula $r_1 = r_2 \cdot r_3$ is translated to the subformula $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2} \otimes f_{r_3}$.
5. A subformula $r_1 = r_2$ is translated to the subformula $f_{r_1} = f_{r_2}$.
6. A subformula $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ is translated to the subformula $f^{\alpha_1} = f_{\alpha_2}$.
7. A subformula $\forall(\exists) P(c_1, \ldots, c_k; v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ is translated to the subformula

$$\forall(\exists) f_P^{c_1} \in \mathrm{Sets}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa)}) \ldots \forall(\exists) f_P^{c_k} \in \mathrm{Sets}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M^{(\varkappa)})$$
$$\forall(\exists) f_P^{v_1} \in \mathrm{Ring}(V) \ldots \forall(\exists) f_P^{v_n} \in \mathrm{Ring}(V).$$

8. A subformula $P(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k; r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ is translated to the subformula

$$\exists f \in \mathrm{Gen}(M^{(\varkappa)}, M)\, (f^{\alpha_1} \circ f_P^{c_1} \circ \bar{f} = 1 \wedge \ldots \wedge f^{\alpha_k} \circ f_P^{c_k} \circ \bar{f} = 1$$
$$\wedge\, f' \circ f_P^{v_1} \circ \bar{f}' = f_{r_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge f' \circ f_P^{v_n} \circ \bar{f}' = f_{r_n}).$$

As it was done in Theorem 5 of Sec. 2, we can show that the sentence φ holds in the theory $\langle \varkappa, \mathrm{End}_T P\rangle$ if and only if the sentence $\tilde{\varphi}_P$ holds in the model $C_{M(V_T^{\varkappa})}$, whence, similarly to Theorem 5 from Sec. 2, we prove the theorem. ☐

Theorem 3. *If $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ are infinite cardinal numbers, V_1 and V_2 are free modules of ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over the rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, and the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, R_2\rangle)$ coincide, then the categories $C_{M(V_1)}$ and $C_{M(V_2)}$ are elementarily equivalent.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem differs from the proof of Theorem 6 from Sec. 2 only in the moment that the module V has to be the selected object of the category $C_{M(V)}$. But since by the theorem condition we consider only free modules (only at this point it is important that the modules a free, but not almost free), we have that the selected object of the category will be the zero matrix. ☐

A direct corollary from Theorems 2 and 3 is Theorem 4.

Theorem 4. *Let V_1 and V_2 be free modules of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over rings R_1 and R_2, respectively. Suppose that there exists a sentence $\psi \in \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ such that $\psi \notin \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$ for every ring R' such that R_1 is similar to R' and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle) \neq \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$. Then the categories $C_{M(V_1)}$ and $C_{M(V_1)}$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring S similar to the ring R_2 and such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S\rangle)$ coincide.*

3.3 The Main Theorem

The previous results imply the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let V_1 and V_2 be free modules of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over rings R_1 and R_2, respectively. Suppose that there exists a sentence $\psi \in \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ such that $\psi \notin \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$ for every ring R' such that R_1 is similar to R' and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle) \neq \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$. Then the categories $C_{M(V_1)}$ and $C_{M(V_1)}$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring S similar to the ring R_2 and such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 1. *Let V_1 and V_2 be two spaces of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over arbitrary skewfields* (*integral domains*) *F_1 and F_2. Then the rings $\mathrm{End}_{F_1} V_1$ and $\mathrm{End}_{F_2} V_2$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, F_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, F_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 2. *Suppose that* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *are infinite cardinal numbers,* R_1 *and* R_2 *are commutative* (*local*) *rings, and every maximal ideal of the ring* R_1 *is generated by at most* $\varkappa_1$ *elements of the ring. Then for free modules* V_1 *and* V_2 *of ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over the rings* R_1 *and* R_2*, respectively, the rings* $\mathrm{End}_{R_1} V_1$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_{R_2} V_2$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if the theories* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, R_2\rangle)$ *coincide.*

Corollary 3. *Suppose that* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *are infinite cardinal numbers,* R_1 *and* R_2 *are Artinian rings, and every maximal ideal of the ring* R_1 *is generated by at most* $\varkappa_1$ *elements of the ring. Then for free modules* V_1 *and* V_2 *of ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over the rings* R_1 *and* R_2*, respectively, the rings* $\mathrm{End}_{R_1} V_1$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_{R_2} V_2$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings* S_1 *and* S_2 *similar to the rings* R_1 *and* R_2*, respectively, such that the theories* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, S_1\rangle)$ *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S_2\rangle)$ *coincide.*

Corollary 4. *For free modules* V_1 *and* V_2 *of infinite ranks* $\varkappa_1$ *and* $\varkappa_2$ *over semisimple rings* R_1 *and* R_2*, respectively, the rings* $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1)$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$ *are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings* S_1 *and* S_2 *similar to the rings* R_1 *and* R_2*, respectively, such that the theories* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, S_1\rangle)$ *and* $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S_2\rangle)$ *coincide.*

4 The Projective Space of the Module V

4.1 The Language of the Projective Space and Basic Notions, Definable in This Language

Suppose that we have some free module V of infinite rank $\varkappa$ over a ring R. The *projective space* $P(V)$ of the module V is an algebraic structure consisting of all submodules of the module V with the relation $\subset$ (we write $M \subset N$ if the module M is a submodule of the module N).

In this section, we assume that every submodule of the module V can be generated by at most $\varkappa$ elements of the module V (this is true if $\varkappa \geq |R|$, or if the ring R is semisimple, or if the ring R is an integral domain).

Let $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in P(V)$. We shall write that $M_1 = V$ if $\forall M\,(M \subset M_1)$. We shall also write that $M_1 = \varnothing$ if $\forall M\,(M_1 \subset M)$. The formula $M_1 = M_2 \cap M_3$ will denote the formula

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \wedge M_1 \subset M_3 \wedge \forall M_4\,(M_4 \subset M_2 \wedge M_4 \subset M_3 \Rightarrow M_4 \subset M_1),$$

the formula $M_1 = M_2 + M_3$ will denote the formula

$$M_2 \subset M_1 \wedge M_3 \subset M_1 \wedge \forall M_4\,(M_2 \subset M_4 \wedge M_3 \subset M_4 \Rightarrow M_1 \subset M_4),$$

and the formula $M_1 = M_2 \oplus M_3$ will denote the formula

$$M_1 = M_2 + M_3 \wedge M_2 \cap M_3 = \varnothing.$$

It is clear that if $M_1 = M_2 \cap M_3$, then the module M_1 is the intersection of the modules M_2 and M_3, if $M_1 = M_2 + M_3$, then it is the sum of the modules M_2 and M_3, and if $M_1 = M_2 \oplus M_3$, then it is the direct sum of the modules M_2 and M_3.

Consider now for given modules P_1 and P_2 the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &P_1 \cap P_2 = \varnothing \\ &\quad \wedge \exists P\,(P \subset P_1 \oplus P_2 \wedge P \neq \varnothing \wedge P \cap P_1 = \varnothing \wedge P \cap P_2 = \varnothing \wedge P \oplus P_1 = P_1 \oplus P_2 \wedge P \oplus P_2 = P_1 \oplus P_2).\end{aligned}$$

Let the modules P_1 and P_2 not intersect and let there be a module P satisfying all conditions from the formula. Since $P \subset P_1 \oplus P_2$, it follows that every $x \in P$ has the form $x = y + z$, where $y \in P_1$, $z \in P_2$, and the elements z and y are uniquely defined by the vector x. Consider the correspondence $F \subset P_1 \times P_2$ which is defined by the formula

$$\forall y \in P_1\, \forall z \in P_2\, \langle y, z\rangle \in F \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in P\,(x = y + z).$$

We show that F is an isomorphism between the modules P_1 and P_2.

1. If $y_1, y_2 \in P_1$, $z \in P_2$, $\langle y_1, z\rangle \in F$, and $\langle y_2, z\rangle \in F$, then $\exists x_1, x_2 \in P\,(x_1 = y_1 + z \wedge x_2 = y_2 + z)$, i.e., $x{:=}x_1 - x_2 = y_1 - y_2 \in P$. Since in this case $y_1 - y_2 \in P$, it follows that $y_1 - y_2 \in P \cap P_1 \Rightarrow y_1 - y_2 = 0 \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2$.

2. Similarly, from $y \in P_1$, $z_1, z_2 \in P_2$, $\langle y, z_1\rangle \in F$, and $\langle y, z_2\rangle \in F$ it follows that $z_1 = z_2$.

3. Consider an arbitrary vector $y \in P_1$. Since $y \in P_1 \oplus P_2$, it follows that $y \in P \oplus P_2$, i.e., $\exists x \in P\,\exists z \in P_2$ $(y = x + z)$, i.e., $x = y - z$, whence $\langle y_1 - z\rangle \in F$, i.e., $\mathrm{Dom}(F) = P_1$.

4. Similarly, we can prove that $\mathrm{Rng}(F) = P_2$.

5. We have proved that F is a bijection between the modules P_1 and P_2. Now we only need to show that F is a homomorphism, i.e., that $\langle y_1, z_1\rangle, \langle y_2, z_2\rangle \in F$ implies

$$\langle \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2, \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2\rangle \in F.$$

Indeed, $\langle y_1, z_1\rangle, \langle y_2, z_2\rangle \in F$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 + z_1, y_2 + z_2 \in P &\Rightarrow \alpha_1(y_1 + z_1) + \alpha_2(y_2 + z_2) \in P \\ &\Rightarrow (\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2) + (\alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2) \in P \Rightarrow \langle \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2, \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2\rangle \in F. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore modules P_1 and P_2 that satisfy our formula do not intersect and are isomorphic. Conversely, if two modules P_1 and P_2 do not intersect and are isomorphic, then they satisfy our formula. Hence we shall denote it by $P_1 \cong_d P_2$.

Suppose that modules P_1 and P_2 "are not too big", i.e., there exist modules P_1' and P_2' such that $P_1 \cap P_1' = P_2 \cap P_2' = \varnothing$, the module P_1' contains a submodule which is isomorphic to P_1, and the module P_2' contains a submodule which is isomorphic to P_2. Then the formula

$$\exists P\,\exists P'\,(P \cong_d P_1 \wedge P' \cong_d P_2 \wedge P \cong_d P')$$

holds if and only if the modules P_1 and P_2 are isomorphic.

We know that a module P is projective if and only if it is isomorphic to a direct summand of a free module. Therefore the formula

$$\mathrm{Proj}(P) := \exists Q\,(V = P \oplus Q)$$

defines in the space $P(V)$ all projective modules.

Consider some projective module P. Its submodule M will be called a *maximal* submodule of the module P $(M = \max(P))$ if the formula

$$\forall P'\,(M \subset P' \wedge P' \subset P \Rightarrow P' = M \vee P' = P)$$

holds. For every finitely generated module P there exists a maximal submodule M.

Let some projective module P and its maximal submodule M be fixed.

The formula $X \subset_\circ Y$ will denote that the module X is a direct summand of the module Y.

Consider a pair of modules $\langle X, Y\rangle$ satisfying the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathrm{Sum}}_{P,M}(X, Y) := Y \subset X \wedge \exists Q\,\exists Q'\,(Q \oplus P = X \wedge Q \cong X \wedge Q' \oplus M = Y \wedge Q' \cong Y \\ \wedge \forall N \subset_\circ X\,(N \cong P \Rightarrow N \cap Y \cong M \wedge (N \cap Y) \subset_\circ Y)) \\ \wedge \forall Z\,(Z \subset X \wedge \forall N\,(N \subset_\circ Z \Rightarrow N \ncong P) \Rightarrow Z \subset Y). \end{aligned}$$

Let us see which modules X and Y satisfy the formula $\overline{\mathrm{Sum}}_{P,M}$.

From the formula $\exists Q\,(Q \oplus P = X \wedge Q \cong X)$ we see that the module P is a direct summand of the module X and the complement Q is isomorphic to X. Therefore, there exist some infinite cardinal number α and modules X_1 and X_2 such that $X_1 \oplus X_2 = X$, $X_1 \cong P^{(\alpha)}$, and the module P is not isomorphic to any direct summand of the module X_2. The part of the formula

$$\forall Z\,(Z \subset X \wedge (\forall N\,(N \subset_\circ Z \Rightarrow N \ncong P) \Rightarrow Z \subset Y)$$

shows that if Z is some submodule of the module X such that the module P is not isomorphic to its direct summand, then Z is also a submodule in Y. If we set $X_2 := Z$, then $X_2 \subset Y$. Take an arbitrary $y \in Y$. Since $y \in X$, it follows that $y = x_1 + x_2$, where $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$. Since $X_2 \subset Y$, it follows that $x_1 \in Y$, i.e., $Y = (X_1 \cap Y) \oplus X_2$.

Now other conditions imply $X_1 \cap Y \cong M^{(\alpha)}$. Therefore, if X and Y satisfy the formula $\overline{\mathrm{Sum}}_{P,M}(X,Y)$, then there exist a module Q and an infinite cardinal number α such that $X \cong Q \oplus P^{(\alpha)}$ and $Y \cong Q \oplus M^{(\alpha)}$. The inverse implication is clear if the module X is “not too big”.

Now consider the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}_{P,M}(X,Y) := {} & \forall Z\, \forall T\, (\overline{\mathrm{Sum}}_{P,M}(Z,T) \\ & \Rightarrow \exists X_1\, \exists X_2\, \exists Y'\, X_1 \oplus X_2 = Z \wedge X_1 \cap T = Y' \wedge X_1 \cong X \wedge Y' \cong Y) \wedge \overline{\mathrm{Sum}}_{P,M}(X,Y).\end{aligned}$$

The subformula $\overline{\mathrm{Sum}}_{P,M}(X,Y)$ implies that $X \cong Q \oplus P^{(\alpha)}$ and $Y \cong Q \oplus M^{(\alpha)}$ for some cardinal number α. The first part of the formula implies that X is a direct summand in every submodule of the form $Q' \oplus P^{(\beta)}$ (β is an infinite cardinal number) and, therefore, in the module $P^{(\omega)}$. Hence $\alpha = \omega$, the module Q is projective and countably generated.

Now consider the formula

$$\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_{P,M}(X,Y) := \neg\, \mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}_{P,M}(X,Y) \wedge \exists X', Y'\, (\mathrm{Sum}^{\omega}(X',Y') \wedge \exists X''\, (X' = X \oplus X' \wedge Y = X \cap Y')).$$

Every module X satisfying the formula $\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_{P,M}(X,Y)$ is a direct summand in the module $Q \oplus P^{(\omega)}$, i.e., has the form $Q' \oplus P^{(n)}$ (possibly, $n = 0$, but $n \in \omega$), and Q' is a direct summand of the module Q. Let modules X_1, X_2, Y_1, and Y_2 be such that $\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_{P,M}(X_1,Y_1)$ and $\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_{P,M}(X_2,Y_2)$. If

$$\exists X_1', Y_1'\, (\mathrm{Sum}^{\mathrm{Fin}}_{P,M}(X_1',Y_1') \wedge X_1' \cong X_1 \wedge Y_1' \cong Y_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall P'\, \forall M'\, (P' \cong P \wedge M' \cong M \wedge M' = \max(P') \wedge \exists P''\, (P' \oplus P'' = X_1' \wedge P' \cap Y_1' = M') \Rightarrow P' \subset_\circ X_1' \cap X_2') \\ &\quad \wedge \forall P'\, \forall M'\, (P' \cong P \wedge M' \cong M \wedge M' = \max(P') \wedge \exists P''\, (P' \oplus P'' = X_2' \wedge P' \cap Y_2' = M') \Rightarrow P' \subset_\circ X_1' \cap X_2'),\end{aligned}$$

then we shall call the pairs (X_1,Y_1) and (X_2,Y_2) *equivalent* (notation: $(X_1,Y_1) \sim (X_2,Y_2)$). It is clear that if $(X_1,Y_1) \sim (X_2,Y_2)$, $X_1 \cong Q_1 \oplus P^{(n_1)}$, and $X_2 \cong Q_2 \oplus P^{(n_2)}$, then $n_1 = n_2$. We shall denote the equivalence classes of such pairs by $\mathrm{Cl}^{n}_{P,M}$.

For two classes $\mathrm{Cl}^{m}_{P,M}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{n}_{P,M}$ we shall write $\mathrm{Cl}^{m}_{P,M} < \mathrm{Cl}^{n}_{P,M}$ if

$$\forall (X_1,Y_1) \in \mathrm{Cl}^{m}_{P,M}\ \exists (X_2,Y_2) \in \mathrm{Cl}^{n}_{P,M}\ \exists X_3\, (X_1 \cong X_3 \wedge X_1 \subset_\circ X_2).$$

It is clear that the condition $\mathrm{Cl}^{m}_{P,M} < \mathrm{Cl}^{n}_{P,M}$ is equivalent to the condition $m < n$.

Similarly to modules of the form $Q \oplus P^{(n)}$, with the help of the same formula, we can introduce the equivalence classes $\mathrm{Cl}^{(\alpha)}_{P,M}$ also for infinite cardinal numbers α and we can introduce the relation $<$ between them.

A module P will be called a *generator* if

$$\exists\, \mathrm{Cl}^{\alpha}_{P,M}\ \forall V_1\, \forall V_2\, \forall X\, \forall Y\, (V_1 \oplus V_2 = V \wedge (X,Y) \in \mathrm{Cl}^{\alpha}_{P,M} \Rightarrow V_1 \subset_\circ X \vee V_2 \subset_\circ X).$$

This formula will be denoted by $\mathrm{Gener}(P)$.

The formula

$$\mathrm{Pret}(P) := \mathrm{Proj}(P) \wedge \mathrm{Gener}(P) \wedge \exists M \subset P\, (M = \max(P))$$

holds for all projective generators that have maximal submodules, and it necessarily holds for all progenerators.

The formula

$$\mathrm{FDSum}_{P,M}(X) := \exists\, \mathrm{Cl}^{(n)}_{P,M}\ \exists Y (X,Y) \in \mathrm{Cl}^{(n)}_{P,M} \wedge \forall X', Y'\, (X',Y') \in \mathrm{Cl}^{(n)}_{P,M} \Rightarrow (X,Y) \subset_\circ (X',Y')$$

defines for a given n a module $Q \oplus P^{(n)}$ with a submodule $Q \oplus M^{(n)}$ such that for every pair $(Q' \oplus P^{(n)}, Q' \oplus M^{(n)})$ the module $Q \oplus P^{(n)}$ is a direct summand in $Q' \oplus P^{(n)}$ and $Q' \oplus M^{(n)} \subset Q \oplus P^{(n)}$. Consider the pair $(P^{(n)}, M^{(n)})$ as the modules $Q' \oplus P^{(n)}$ and $Q' \oplus M^{(n)}$. Then $P^{(n)} \cong P^{(n)} \oplus Q$ and $M^{(n)} \cap P^{(n)} \oplus Q = M^{(n)} \oplus Q$.

This formula defines all modules of the form $P^{(n)}$, where $n \in \omega$, and some other *finitely generated* modules.

Every projective finitely generated module is a direct summand of the module $R^{(n)}$ for some $n \in \omega$. Therefore, if P is a finitely generated projective module, then for every generator S

$$P \oplus Q \cong S^{(m)}$$

for some $m \in \omega$ and some module Q. But if a module P is not finitely generated, but is a projective generator, then it can not be embedded into $R^{(n)}$ for any $n \in \omega$.

Therefore, the formula

$$\mathrm{Proobr}(P) := \mathrm{Pret}(P) \wedge \forall S\, (\mathrm{Pret}(S) \Rightarrow \exists M\, \exists X\, (\mathrm{FDSum}_{S,M}(X) \wedge P \subset_\circ X))$$

holds for progenerators, and only for them.

Note that, selecting some fixed progenerator P with the help of the formula Proobr(), we have also the set of all almost free modules of ranks $\leq \varkappa$ over the ring R.

4.2 The Ring $\mathrm{End}_R P$

In this section, we assume that we have some fixed progenerator P.

Let P_1, P_2, and P_3 be three mutually disjoint modules, and let each of them be isomorphic to the module P.

A module $U_{1,2}$ is defined by the formula

$$U_{1,2} \subset P_1 \oplus P_2 \wedge P_1 \subset U_{1,2} \oplus V_2 \wedge V_2 \subset U_{1,2} \oplus V_1.$$

As we know in this case the module $U_{1,2}$ consists of sums $e + f(e)$, where $e \in P_1$ and $f\colon P_1 \to P_2$ is an isomorphism between the modules P_1 and P_2. Evidently one can suppose that the isomorphism f coincides with the isomorphism which identifies the modules P_1 and P_2, i.e., the module $U_{1,2}$ consists of vectors $f_1(e) + f_2(e)$, where $f_1\colon P \to P_1$ and $f_2\colon P \to P_2$ are isomorphisms identifying the modules P, P_1, and P_2.

Similarly, let us introduce a module $U_{2,3}$, consisting of vectors of the form $f_2(e) + f_3(e)$.

A module $U_{1,2,3}$ will be introduced by the formula

$$U_{1,2,3} := (P_1 \oplus U_{2,3}) \cap (P_3 \oplus U_{1,2}).$$

If $v \in U_{1,2,3}$, then $v \in P_1 \oplus U_{2,3}$, i.e.,

$$v = f_1(e) + f_2(e') + f_3(e'),$$

and $v \in P_3 \oplus U_{1,2}$ implies

$$v = f_1(g) + f_2(g) + f_3(g').$$

Therefore,

$$f_1(e) + f_2(e') + f_3(e') = f_1(g) + f_2(g) + f_3(g'),$$

and so

$$v = f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(e).$$

A module $U_{1,3}$ is introduced by the formula $(P_1 \oplus P_3) \cap (U_{1,2,3} \oplus P_2)$.

Thus we have the modules generated by the elements $f_1(e) = e_1$, $f_2(e) = e_2$, $f_3(e) = e_3$, $f_1(e) + f_2(e) = e_1 + e_2$, $f_2(e) + f_3(e) = e_1 + e_3$, $f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(e) = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ for $e \in P$.

Introduce now a set V_q^3 of modules with the help of the formula

$$V_q^3 \subset U_{1,2} \oplus P_3 \wedge U_{1,2} \subset V_q^3 \oplus P_3.$$

Since $V_q^3 \subset U_{1,2} \oplus P_3$, it follows that $v \in V_q$ implies

$$v = f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(e').$$

From $U_{1,2} \subset V_q \oplus P_3$ it follows that for every $e \in P$ there exists $v = f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(e')$.

For every $e \in P$ there exists a unique $e' \in P$ such that $f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(e') \in V_q^3$.

It is clear that the correspondence which maps an element e into the element e' is a homomorphism of the module P into itself. We shall denote it by q. For every module V_q^3 by $W_q^{1,3}$ we denote the module defined by the formula

$$W_q^{1,3} \subset (P_1 \oplus P_3) \cap (V_q^3 \oplus P_2) \wedge P_1 \subset W_q^{1,3} \oplus P_3.$$

If $w \in W_q^{1,3}$, then $w \in P_1 \oplus P_3$ implies $w = f_1(e) + f_3(e')$, and $w \in V_q^3 \oplus P_2$ implies

$$w = f_1(e'') + f_2(e'') + f_3(qe'') + f_2(e''').$$

Therefore $w = f_1(e) + f_3(qe)$.

Similarly we can introduce a module $W_q^{2,3}$, consisting of vectors

$$w = f_2(e) + f_3(qe).$$

For given V_q^3 and V_r^3 consider the module V defined by the formula

$$V := (U_{1,2} \oplus P_3) \cap (W_q^{1,3} \oplus W_r^{2,3}).$$

If $v \in V$, then, on the one hand,

$$v = f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(e'),$$

and on the other hand,

$$v = f_1(e'') + f_3(qe'') + f_2(e''') + f_3(re''').$$

We see that $E'' = e''' = e$, i.e.,

$$v = f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3(qe) + f_3(re) = f_1(e) + f_2(e) + f_3((q+r)e),$$

whence

$$V = V_{q+r}^3.$$

Hence, on the set $\{V_q \mid q \in \operatorname{End}_R P\}$ of modules we have the operation of addition $\langle V_q, V_r \rangle \mapsto V_{q+r}$. It is clear that in this case we also have the operation of taking an opposite element

$$V_q \mapsto V_{-q}.$$

By $X_q^{2,3}$ we denote the module

$$(W_q^{2,3} \oplus P_2) \cap U_{2,3}.$$

It consists of vectors of the form

$$f_2(qe) + f_3(qe), \quad e \in P.$$

Now consider the module W defined by the formula

$$W \subset P_2 \oplus P_3 \wedge P_3 \subset P_2 \oplus W \wedge X_q^{2,3} = (((W \oplus P_3) \cap P_2) \oplus ((W_q^{2,3} \oplus P_2) \cap P_3)) \cap U_{2,3}.$$

It is easy to see that such a module consists of vectors of the form

$$f_3(e) + f_2(qe).$$

We shall denote it by $W_q^{3,2}$.

The module

$$(W_q^{3,2} \oplus P_1) \cap (U_{1,3} \oplus P_2)$$

will be denoted by V_q^2. It consists of vectors of the form

$$f_1(e) + f_2(qe) + f_3(e).$$

The module $V_q^2 \oplus P_3 \cap P_1 \oplus P_2$ is denoted by $W_q^{1,2}$ and consists of vectors of the form $f_1(e) + f_2(qe)$.

If we have a module $W_q^{1,2}$, then the formula

$$(W_{q'}^{1,2} \oplus W_q^{1,3}) \cap U_{2,3} = X_q^{2,3}$$

gives $q' = q$, i.e., having a module $W_q^{1,2}$, we automatically have the module $W_q^{1,3}$, and, therefore, the module V_q^3.

Now, writing the formula

$$W_s^{1,2} = (W_q^{3,2} \oplus W_{-r}^{1,3}) \cap (P_1 \oplus P_2),$$

we shall have for $w \in W_s^{1,2}$

$$w = f_1(e) + f_3(-re) + f_3(e') + f_2(qe) = f_1(e'') + f_2(e'').$$

Thus we have

$$f_3(-re) + f_3(e') = 0,$$

i.e., $e' = re$, and so

$$w = f_1(e) + f_2(qre),$$

i.e., $s = qr$.

Therefore, given two modules V_r^3 and V_q^3 we can construct the module V_{qr}^3, i.e., on the set $\{V_q^3 \mid q \in \operatorname{End}_R P\}$ we have introduced the operation of addition and multiplication in such a way that it becomes isomorphic to the ring $\operatorname{End}_R P$.

4.3 Construction of the Ring $\operatorname{End}_R V$

For a given progenerator P select in the module V two disjoint submodules V_1 and V_2 and one equivalence class $\mathrm{Cl}_{P,M}^{\alpha}$ which is maximal among all other $\mathrm{Cl}_{P,M}^{\beta}$. It is clear that in this case $\alpha = \varkappa$. Let, further, $V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus P = V$.

Let $V_1 = Q_1 \oplus \sum\limits_{i \in \varkappa} P_i$ and $V_2 = Q_2 \oplus \sum\limits_{i \in \varkappa} P_i'$, where for every $i \in \varkappa$

$$P_i \cong P_i' \cong P.$$

Fix isomorphisms

$$f_i \colon P \to P_i,$$
$$f_i' \colon P \to P_i'.$$

Let a formula $\operatorname{End}(X)$ state about a module X the following.

1. $\forall T\, (T \subset_\circ V_1 \wedge T \cong P \Rightarrow \exists T'\, (T' \subset_\circ V_2 \wedge T' \cong P \wedge \exists V_q^3(P, T, T') \subset_\circ X))$, i.e., for every direct summand P_i of the module V_1 there exists a direct summand P' (a linear combination of some P_i') of the module V_2 such that for some $q \in \operatorname{End}_R P$ the module

$$\{e + f_i(e) + f'(qe) \mid e \in P\}$$

is a direct summand of the module P.

2. $X \cap V_2 = 0$, and it implies that for every direct summand P_i of the module V_1 there exists only one direct summand P' of the module V_2 such that the module

$$\{e + f_i(e) + f'(qe) \mid e \in P\}$$

is a direct summand of the module X for some $q \in \operatorname{End}_R P$.

3. $X \cap P = 0$. Such a module presents an endomorphism of the module $P^{(\varkappa)}$ over the ring $\operatorname{End}_R P$ in the following form.

For every vector $v \in P^{(\varkappa)}$ there exists P' (a direct summand of the module $P^{(\varkappa)}$) which is isomorphic to P and such that $v \in P'$. By condition 1, in the module V_2 there exists a direct summand P'', and also there exists an endomorphism $q \in \operatorname{End}_R P$ such that $V_q^3(P, P', P'') \subset X$. Then the module V_q^3 contains a unique element

$$(f')^{-1}(v) + v + f''(q(f')^{-1}(v)).$$

We assume that $X(v) := f''(q(f')^{-1}(v))$. We show that the obtained mapping is well defined and linear.

Indeed, the simplicity of decomposition follows from condition 2. Check the linearity.

If $v_1, v_2 \in P_i$ for some $i \in \varkappa$, then for every $q_1, q_2 \in R$ the condition $X(q_1v_1 + q_2v_2) = q_1X(v_1) + q_2X(v_2$ follows from the linearity of the corresponding endomorphism q:

$$\begin{aligned}
&V_q^3(P, P_i, P') \subset X \Rightarrow \begin{cases} f_i^{-1}(v_1) + v_1 + f'(qf_i^{-1}(v_1)) \in X, \\ f_i^{-1}(v_2) + v_2 + f'(qf_i^{-1}(v_2)) \in X \end{cases} \\
&\quad \Rightarrow \begin{cases} q_1f_i^{-1}(v_1) + q_1v_1 + q_1f'(qf_i^{-1}(v_1)) \in X, \\ q_2f_i^{-1}(v_2) + q_2v_2 + q_2f'(qf_i^{-1}(v_2)) \in X \end{cases} \\
&\quad \Rightarrow q_1f_i^{-1}(v_1) + q_2f_i^{-1}(v_2) + q_1v_1 + q_2v_2 + q_1f'(qf_i^{-1}(v_1)) + q_2f'(qf_i^{-1}(v_2)) \in X \\
&\quad \Rightarrow f_i^{-1}(q_1v_1 + q_2v_2) + (q_1v_1 + q_2v_2) + f'(q_1q(f_i^{-1}(v_1)) + q_1q(f_i^{-1}(v_2))) \in X,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$X(q_1v_1 + q_2v_2) = q_1X(v_1) + q_2X(v_2).$$

Two modules X_1 and X_2 satisfying the formula $\operatorname{End}(X)$ will be called *equivalent* if

$$\forall T \subset_\circ V_1 \, \forall S \subset_\circ V_2 \, (V_q^3(P, T, S) \subset X_1 \Leftrightarrow V_q^3(P, T, S) \subset X_2).$$

We see that in every equivalence class there exists a module of the form

$$\sum_{i \in \varkappa} V_{q_i}^3(P, P_i, T_i),$$

where T_i is a unique module for P_i such that

$$V_{q_i}^3(P, P_i, T_i) \subset X.$$

Consider some module X_0 satisfying the formula $\operatorname{End}(X)$ and such that $X_0 \subset P \oplus V_1$. It is clear that the endomorphism corresponding to the module X_0 is the zero endomorphism of the module V_1. We shall now consider only modules X satisfying the formula

$$\operatorname{End}^{X_0}(X) := \operatorname{End}(X) \wedge X \subset X_0 \oplus V_2.$$

Now define the sum of two modules X_1 and X_2 satisfying the formula $\operatorname{End}^{X_0}(X)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(X = X_1 + X_2) := \forall T \subset_\circ V_1 \, \forall V_q^3(P, T, S_1) \subset_\circ X_1 \, \forall V_r^3(P, T, S_r) \subset_\circ X_2 \\
(X_0 \oplus V_2) \cap (W_q^{1,3}(V_q^3(P, T, S_q)) \oplus W_r^{2,3}(V_r(P, T, S_r))) \subset_\circ X.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see (compare to Sec. 4.2) that the module X satisfying the formula $X = X_1 + X_2$ is the sum of the endomorphisms X_1 and X_2.

Now introduce some module X_e satisfying the formula $\operatorname{End}_{X_0}(X)$ and such that

$$X_e \cap X_0 = 0 \wedge \forall S \subset_\circ V_2\, (S \cong P$$
$$\Rightarrow \exists T \subset_\circ V_1\, (\exists V_q(P,T,S) \subset X_e \wedge V_q^3(P,T,S) \text{ is an isomorphism between } T \text{ and } S)).$$

It is clear that such a module X_e establishes an isomorphism between the modules V_1 and V_2. Therefore, X_e will be the unit of $\mathrm{End}_R V$.

Now consider three modules X_1, X_2, and X satisfying the formula $\mathrm{End}^{X_0}(X)$. We need to define the formula $X = X_1 \circ X_2$. We describe this formula by words to understand its essence.

Let $V_q^3(P,T,S_q) \subset_\circ X_1$ for some $T \subset_\circ V_1$ and $S_q \subset_\circ V_2$. As we have already said, for every sum $v + f_T(v) + f_{S_q}(qv)$ we suppose that X_1 maps the vector $f_T(v) \in T \subset V_1$ to the vector $f_{S_q}(qv) \in S_q \subset V_2$.

For a given $S_q \subset_\circ V_2$ there exists a unique T_q such that $V_e(P,T_q,S_q) \subset_\circ X_e$. For an arbitrary vector $v \in P$, if $v + f_{T_q}(v) + f_{S_q}(v) \in V_e(P,T_q,S_q)$, then the vectors $f_{T_q}(v)$ and $f_{S_q}(v)$ coincide if we identify V_1 and V_2, i.e., X_1 maps $f_T(v)$ to $f_{T_q}(qv)$.

Then, for a given $T_q \subset_\circ V_1$ there exists a unique $S_{rq} \subset_\circ V_2$ such that

$$V_r(P,T_q,S_{rq}) \subset_\circ X_2.$$

If

$$v + f_{T_q}(v) + f_{S_{rq}}(rv) \in V_r(P,T_q,S_{rq}),$$

then the mapping X_2 maps the vector $f_{T_q}(v) \in T_q \subset V_1$ to the vector $f_{S_{rq}}(rv)$, i.e., the composition X_2X_1 maps the vector $f_T(v)$ to the vector $f_{S_{rq}}(zqv)$, i.e., the mapping X is the composition of the mappings X_1 and X_2 if and only if for every $T \subset_\circ V_1$

$$V_3(P,T,S_{rq}) \subset_\circ X,$$

and with it $V_s(P,T,S_{rq})$ consists of vectors of the form

$$v + f_T(v) + f_{S_{rq}}(rqv).$$

We can easily make certain that the formula

$$(V_q(P,T,S_q) \oplus V_e(P,T_q,S_q)) \cap X_0 = (V_r(P,T_q,S_{rq}) \oplus V_s(P,T,S_q)) \cap X_0$$

holds, and therefore we have a formula which is equivalent to the formula

$$X = X_2 \circ X_1.$$

Thus, in the lattice of submodules of the module V we have interpreted a ring which is isomorphic to the ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{End}_R P} V$. Consequently, as before, we have that if two lattices of submodules $P(R_1, V_1)$ and $P(R_2, V_2)$ are elementarily equivalent, then for some progenerators P_1 and P_2 the rings $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{End}_{R_1} P_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{End}_{R_2} P_2}(V_2)$ are also elementarily equivalent, and, therefore, the rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1} V_1$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2} V_1$ are elementarily equivalent. Now we see that we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For free modules V_1 and V_2 of infinite ranks over arbitrary rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, elementary equivalence of the lattices of submodules $P(V_1)$ and $P(V_2)$ implies elementary equivalence of the endomorphism rings $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$.*

4.4 The Inverse Theorem

Now we need to prove the inverse theorem.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that V_1 and V_2 are free modules of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, and every submodule of the module V_1 (V_2) has at most $\varkappa_1$ ($\varkappa_2$) generating elements (for example, this is true if $\varkappa_1 \geq |R_1|$ and $\varkappa_2 \geq |R_2|$, or if R_1 and R_2 are semisimple rings or integral domains). Then $\mathrm{End}_{R_1}(V_1) \equiv \mathrm{End}_{R_2}(V_2)$ implies $P(V_1) \equiv P(V_2)$.*

Proof. Suppose that we have an associative ring R with a unit, an infinite cardinal number $\varkappa$, and a free module $V = V_\varkappa^R$ of rank $\varkappa$ over R. Further, let every ideal of the ring R be generated by at most $\varkappa$ elements of the ring.

We want to interpret in the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$ the space $P(V)$, consisting of all submodules of the module V, with the relation $\subset$. As before, by the word "interpret" we understand existence of some algorithm mapping every formula φ of the first order language of the theory of projective spaces to a formula $\tilde{\varphi}$ of the first order language of the ring theory in such a way that the formula φ holds in $P(V)$ if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}$ holds in $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$.

At the beginning we shall give an informal description of the translation.

1. We know that every object of the space $P(V)$ is a submodule of the module V, but it is generated by at most $\varkappa$ vectors of the module V. Each of these vectors is a linear combination of some finite number of elements of a basis of the module V, i.e., every such vector can be written as a column of a matrix which has only a finite set of nonzero elements. If we write in this matrix all generating vectors, we shall get a matrix of size $\varkappa \times \varkappa$, i.e., an element of $\mathrm{End}_R V$. In the case where a submodule is generated less than $\varkappa$ vectors, we extend the matrix by zero columns. Two such matrices X_1 and X_2 describe the same submodule of the module V if

$$\exists A\, \exists B\, (X_1 = X_2 A \wedge X_2 = X_1 B).$$

In this case, the elements X_1 and X_2 will be considered equivalent.

Therefore, every submodule of the module V maps to the corresponding equivalence class of elements of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R V$.

2. It is clear that the module Y_1 generated by a matrix X_1 is a submodule of the module Y_2 generated by a matrix X_2 if and only if

$$\exists A\, (X_1 = X_2 A).$$

This formula will be denoted by $X_1 \subset X_2$.

From all these statements we obtain the statement of the theorem. ☐

5 Elementary Equivalence of Automorphism Groups of Modules of Infinite Ranks

5.1 An Isomorphism of Groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$

In this section, we are based on the paper [9] of I. Z. Golubchik and A. V. Mikhalev.

Consider some ring R and a free module $V(= V_\varkappa^R)$ of infinite rank $\varkappa$ over this ring.

Let $I_\varkappa$ be a set of cardinality $\varkappa$.

As above, by $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ we shall denote the endomorphism ring of the module V, and by $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ we shall denote the automorphism group of the module V.

Let, further, $E_R(V)$ be the group generated by the automorphisms $E_{\gamma\beta}$ of the form

$$v_\gamma \mapsto n_\gamma + r v_\beta, \quad \gamma, \beta \in I_\varkappa, \quad \gamma \neq \beta, \quad r \in R,$$

and

$$v_\alpha \mapsto v_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in I_\varkappa, \quad \alpha \neq \gamma,$$

where $\{v_\alpha\}$ is a basis of the module V; $D_R(V)$ is the diagonal group (the automorphisms of the form $v_\gamma \mapsto r_\gamma v_\gamma$ $\forall \gamma \in I_\varkappa$); $DE_R(V)$ is the group generated by $E_R(V)$ and $D_R(V)$.

A subset $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I_\varkappa}$ of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ is called a *system of matrix units* if

1. $e_{ij} \circ e_{st} = \delta_{js} e_{it}$ (δ_{js} is the Kronecker delta);
2. for every $a \in \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and every $k \in I$ there exist $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ such that $(e_{i_1 i_1} + \dots + e_{i_n i_n})a = a(e_{i_1 i_1} + \dots + e_{i_n i_n} = a$.

Let I be an ideal of the ring R; $E_R(V,I)$ be the subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ generated by the automorphisms $1+e_{ij}\circ\lambda$, where $\lambda\in I$, $i\neq j\in I_\varkappa$, $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V,I)$ be the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $\varphi_I\colon \mathrm{Aut}_R(V)\to\mathrm{Aut}_{R/I}(V)$, $C_R(V,I)$ be the inverse image of the center in the homomorphism φ_I. Let, further, $[A,B]\equiv A^{-1}\circ B^{-1}\circ A\circ B$.

Lemma 1. *Let R be an associative ring with $1/2$, N and M be normal subgroups of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ such that $N\cap M=\{1\}$ and $NM=\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$. Then there exist ideals I and J of the ring R such that*

$$R=I\oplus J,\quad E_R(V,I)\subseteq N\subseteq C_R(V,I),\quad E_R(V,J)\subseteq M\subseteq C_R(V,J).$$

Proof. By the condition,

$$(1-2e_{ii})=a_i\circ b_i,\quad a_i\in N,\quad b_i\in M,\tag{1}$$

for all $i\in I_\varkappa$. Since $N\cap M=\{1\}$ and $[1-2e_{11},1-2e_{ii}]=1$, it follows that $[a_1,1-2e_{ii}]=1$. Since $1/2\in R$, the element a_1 is diagonal. This means that $e_{ii}\circ a_1\circ e_{jj}=0$ for all $i\neq j\in I_\varkappa$. The same holds also for b_1. Let for all $i\in I_\varkappa$

$$e_{ii}\circ a_1\circ e_{ii}=\lambda_i,\quad e_{ii}\circ b_1\circ e_{ii}=\mu_i.\tag{2}$$

From (2) it follows that

$$a_1\circ(1-e_{12})\circ a_1^{-1}\circ(1+e_{12})=1+(1-\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1})\circ e_{12}\in N.$$

Since $[1+\lambda e_{12},1+re_{2k}]=1+\lambda re_{1k}$ for all $\lambda,r\in R$ and $k\in I_\varkappa$, it follows that if the group N is normal, then $E_R(V,I)\subseteq N$, where $I=R(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)R$. Similarly, $E_R(V,J)\subseteq M$, where $J=R(\mu_1-\mu_2)R$. From (1) and (2) it follows that

$$\lambda_1\mu_1=-1,\quad \lambda_2\mu_2=1,\quad \mu_1=-\lambda_1^{-1},\quad \mu_2=\lambda_2^{-1}.$$

By the definition of ideals I and J,

$$1-\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}\in I,\quad 1-\mu_1\mu_2^{-1}=1+\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2\in J,$$

and

$$\lambda_1(1+\lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2)\lambda_2^{-1}=1+\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}\in J.$$

Consequently, $1=1/2(1-\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}+1+\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1})\in I+J$ and $R=I+J$. Further, $E_R(V,I\cap J)\subseteq N\cap M=\{1\}$, and, therefore, $I\cap J=\{0\}$. Thus, $I\oplus J=R$.

If $a\in N$, then $a=a_1\circ a_2$, where $a_1\in\mathrm{Aut}_R(V,I)$ and $a_2\in\mathrm{Aut}_R(V,J)$. Further, we have $[a,E_R(V,J)]\subseteq N\cap M=\{1\}$. Thus a_2 is a central idempotent of $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V,J)$ and $N\subseteq C_R(V,I)$. Similarly, $M\subseteq C_R(V,J)$. $\square$

The following lemma is basic in the proof.

Lemma 2. *Let R and S be associative rings with $1/2$, $I_1=I_\varkappa$ and $I_2=I_{\varkappa'}$ be infinite sets of cardinalities $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa'$, respectively, $V=V_{I_1}^R$ and $V'=V_{I_2}^S$ be free modules over the rings R and S and the sets I_1 and I_2, respectively, $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I_\varkappa}$ be a system of matrix units of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$, and $\varphi\colon\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)\to\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ be a group isomorphism. Then there exist a central idempotent $q\in\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ and systems of matrix units $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I_2}$ and $\{h_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I_2}$ of the rings $q\circ\mathrm{End}_s(V')$ and $(1-q)\circ\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, respectively, such that*

$$\varphi(1-2e_{ii})=(q-2f_{ii})-(1-q-2h_{ii}),\quad i\in I_1.$$

Proof. Consider $b_i\equiv\varphi(1-2e_{ii})$. We know that $b_i^2=1$. Therefore, for $f_i\equiv 1/2(1-b_i)\in\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ we have $f_i^2=f_i$. Define such f_i for all $i\in I_1$. We shall get

$$\varphi(1-2e_{ii})=1-2f_i.\tag{3}$$

Since $1-2e_{11}$ and $1-2e_{22}$ commute, b_1 and b_2 also commute, and, thus, f_1 and f_2 commute. Thus, $(1-2f_1f_2)^2=1$, i.e., $1-2f_1f_2\in\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$. Set

$$1-2e=\varphi^{-1}(1-2f_1f_2).\tag{4}$$

Then $e \in \mathrm{End}_R(V)$, $e^2 = e$, and from (3) it follows that if $[a, 1-2e_{ii}] = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, then

$$[a, 1-2e] = 1; \tag{5}$$

if $b(1-2e_{11})b^{-1} = 1-2e_{22}$ and $b(1-2e_{22})b^{-1} = 1-2e_{11}$, then

$$[b, 1-2e] = 1. \tag{6}$$

Applying (5) and (6), we get

$$(1-2e) = \varepsilon_1(e_{11} + e_{22} + \varepsilon_2(1 - e_{11} - e_{22})), \tag{7}$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in R$, $\varepsilon_1^2 = \varepsilon_2^2 = 1$, and the elements ε_1, ε_2 are permutable with all invertible elements of the ring R. Then

$$\varepsilon_1 = 1 - 2e_1, \quad \varepsilon_2 = 1 - 2e_2, \tag{8}$$

and e_1, e_2 are central idempotents of the ring R.

Set

$$N \equiv \varphi(\mathrm{Aut}_R(V, e_2R)), \quad M \equiv \varphi(\mathrm{Aut}_R(V, (1-e_2)R)). \tag{9}$$

By Lemma 1,

$$E_S(V', I) \subseteq N \subseteq C_S(V', I), \quad E_S(V', J) \subseteq M \subseteq C_S(V', J), \tag{10}$$

then $\mathrm{End}(I^{(\varkappa')}) = (1-q)\,\mathrm{End}(V')$, $\mathrm{End}(J^{(\varkappa')} = q\,\mathrm{End}(V')$, and q is some central idempotent of the ring $\mathrm{End}(V')$. From (7) and (8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & e_{11} + e_{22} + (1-2e_2)(1 - e_{11} - e_{22}) \in \mathrm{Aut}_R(V, e_2R), \\ & -e_{11} - e_{22} + (1-2e_2)(1 - e_{11} - e_{22}) \\ & \quad = -(e_{11} + e_{22} + (1 - 2(1-e_2))(1 - e_{11} - e_{22})) \in (-1)\,\mathrm{Aut}_R(V, (1-e_2)R) \end{aligned}$$

and, therefore,

$$1 - 2e \in C_R(V, e_2R), \quad (1-2e_{11})(1-2e_{22})(1-2e) \in C_R(V, (1-e_2)R). \tag{11}$$

From (3), (4), (9), (10), and (11) it follows that $1 - 2f_1f_2 = a + b$, where $a \in \mathrm{End}(I^{(I_2)})$ and $b \in \mathrm{End}(J^{(I_2)}$. Consequently, b is a central element of the ring $\mathrm{End}(J^{(I_2)})$ and $a_1 \equiv a(1-2f_1)(1-2f_2)$ is a central element of the ring $\mathrm{End}(I^{(I_2)})$. Further, $(1-2f_1f_2)^2 = 1$, and, therefore, $b^2 = q$, $a_1^2 = a^2 = 1 - q$, $a_1 = 1 - q - 2q_2$, and $b = q - 2q_1$, where q, q_1, and q_2 are central idempotents of the rings $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, $q\,\mathrm{End}(V')$, and $(1-q)\,\mathrm{End}(V')$, respectively. Thus,

$$(1 - 2f_1f_2) = (q - 2q_1) + (1 - q - 2q_2)(1-2f_1)(1-2f_2). \tag{12}$$

We shall show that $q_1 = 0$ and $q_2 = 1 - q$. Indeed, multiplying the equality (12) by q_1, we get $q_1(1 - 2f_1f_2) = -q_1$, i.e., $q_1f_1f_2 = q_1$.

Multiplying the last equality by f_1, we see that $q_1f_1f_2 = q_1f_1$ and $q_1f_1 = q_1$. Similarly, $q_1f_2 = q_1$.

Hence, $q_1(1-2f_1)(1-2f_2) = q_1$ and, according to (3),

$$q_1\varphi(1 - 2e_{11} - 2e_{22}) = q_1. \tag{13}$$

Since

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have that a normal divisor of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ containing the matrix $1 - 2e_{11} - 2e_{22}$ contains also the subgroup $E_R(V)$.

Therefore, from (13) it follows that

$$\varphi(E_R(V)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_S(V', (1-q_1)S). \tag{14}$$

By condition (12), q_1 is a central idempotent of the ring $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$. By Lemma 1,

$$E_R(V,I_1)\subseteq\varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}_S(V',q_1S))\subseteq C_R(V,I_1).$$

On the other hand, (14) implies that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}_S(V',q_1S))\cap E_R(V)=\{1\}.$$

Consequently, $I_1=\{0\}$, and the group $\varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}_S(V',q_1S))$ belongs to the center of the group $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$, i.e.,

$$q_1=0. \tag{15}$$

Multiplying the equality (12) by $q_3\equiv 1-q-q_2$, we get

$$(1-2f_1f_2)q_3=(1-2f_1)(1-2f_2)q_3$$

and

$$2f_1f_2q_3=2f_1q_3+2f_2q_3-4f_1f_2q_3. \tag{16}$$

Multiplying the equality (16) by $1/2(1-f_1)$, we shall see that $(1-f_1)f_2q_3=0$ and $f_2q_3=f_1f_2q_3$. Similarly, $(1-f_2)f_2q_3=0$ and $f_1q_3=f_1f_2q_3=f_2q_3$. Hence

$$2f_1f_2q_3=2f_1q_3+2f_2q_3-4f_1f_2q_3=4f_1q_3-4f_1q_3=0.$$

Thus,

$$f_1q_3=f_2q_3=f_1f_2q_3=0,\quad q_3(1-2f_1)(1-2f_2)=q_3,$$

and

$$q_3\varphi(1-2e_{11}-2e_{22})=q_3. \tag{17}$$

Similarly as from the equality (13) we obtained $q_1=0$, from the equality (17) we shall now find

$$0=q_3=1-q-q_2. \tag{18}$$

From (12), (15), and (18) it follows that

$$1-2f_1f_2=q-(1-q)(1-2f_1)(1-2f_2),\quad f_1f_2q=0,\quad (1-f_1)(1-f_2)(1-q)=0. \tag{19}$$

Since the group $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ acts transitively on the set

$$\{1-2e_{ii},1-2e_{jj}\}_{i\neq j;\ i,j\in I_1},$$

from the conditions (3) and (19) we obtain

$$f_if_jq=0,\quad (1-f_i)(1-f_j)(1-q)=0 \tag{20}$$

for all $i,j\in I_1$, where q is a central idempotent of the ring $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ from condition (10).

According to condition (20), $\{f_iq=1/2(1-\varphi(1-2e_{ii}))q\}$ is an orthogonal system of conjugate idempotents of the ring $q\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, and, therefore, there exist elements $f_{ij}\in q\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ such that $f_{ii}=qf_i$ and $f_{ij}f_{ks}=\delta_{jk}f_{is}$.

Now we show that if $a\in\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ and $m\in I$, then there exist $i_1,\dots,i_n\in I_2$ such that

$$f_{i_1i_1}+\cdots+f_{i_ni_n}(ae_{mm})=(ae_{mm})f_{i_1i_1}+\cdots+f_{i_ni_n}=(ae_{mm})q.$$

Fix some $a\in\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ and $m\in I$. It is clear that in this case there exists a set $i_1,\dots,i_n\in I_1$ such that a commutes with the element $\varphi(-1_{i_1,\dots,i_n})\equiv\varphi\Big(\prod\limits_{1\le k\le n}(1-2e_{i_ki_k})\Big)$ and $\varphi(-1_{i_1,\dots,i_n})\circ ae_{mm}=ae_{mm}\varphi(-1_{i_1,\dots,i_n})=-ae_{mm}$. Then $q\circ(-1_{i_1,\dots,i_n})=\prod\limits_{1\le k\le i}(q-2f_{i_ki_k})=q-2f_{i_1i_1}-\cdots-2f_{i_ni_n}$, i.e., $(q-2f_{i_1i_1}-\cdots-2f_{i_ni_n})ae_{mm}=$

$ae_{mm}(q - 2f_{i_1i_1} - \cdots - 2f_{i_ni_n}) = -ae_{mm}q$. Therefore, $2ae_{mm}q = (2f_{i_1i_1} + \cdots + 2f_{i_ni_n})ae_{mm} = ae_{mm}(2f_{i_1i_1} + \cdots + 2f_{i_ni_n})$, i.e., $ae_{mm}(f_{i_1i_1} + \cdots + f_{i_ni_n}) = (f_{i_1i_1} + \cdots + f_{i_ni_n})ae_{mm} = ae_{mm}q$, as required.

Thus we have shown that $\{f_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I_2}$ is a system of matrix units of the ring $q\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$. In a similar way, there exists a system of matrix units $\{h_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I_2}$ of the ring $(1-q)\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ such that $h_{ii} = (1-f_i)(1-q)$. Consequently,

$$\varphi(1-2e_{ii}) = 1 - 2f_{ii} = (1-2f_{ii})q - (1-2(1-f_{ii}))(1-q) = (q-2f_{ii}) - (1-q-2h_{ii}). \quad \square$$

Theorem 1. *Let R and S be associative rings with $1/2$, $V = V^R_{\varkappa}$ and $V' = V^S_{\varkappa'}$ be free modules over R and S of infinite ranks $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa'$ respectively, and $\varphi\colon \mathrm{Aut}_R(V) \to \mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ be a group isomorphism. Then there exist central idempotents e and f of the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, respectively, a ring isomorphism $\theta_1\colon e\,\mathrm{End}_R(V) \to f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, a ring antiisomorphism $\theta_2\colon (1-e)\,\mathrm{End}_R(V) \to (1-f)\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, and a group homomorphism $\chi\colon DE_R(V) \to C(\mathrm{Aut}_S(V'))$ such that $\varphi(A) = \chi(A)(\theta_1(A) + \theta_2(A^{-1}))$ for all $A \in E_R(V)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2,
$$\varphi(1-2e_{ii}) = (q-2f_{ii}) - (1-q-2h_{ii}), \tag{21}$$
where q is a central idempotent of the ring $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, e_{ij}, f_{ij}, and h_{ij} are matrix units of the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$, $q\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, and $(1-q)\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, respectively.

Set
$$f \equiv f_{11} + f_{22} + h_{11} + h_{22}.$$

1. Let $\{e'_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I_1}$ be some system of matrix units of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\forall i \neq 1,2\,(e'_{ii} = e_{ii})$. Then
$$\varphi(1-2e'_{ii}) = q - (-q) + x, \quad \text{where} \quad x \in f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')f. \tag{22}$$

By the condition, $[1-2e'_{kk}, 1-2e'_{ii}] = 1$ for $k = 1,2$, $i \neq 1,2$. By (21) and (22),
$$\varphi(1-2e'_{kk}) = 1 - 2e_k + c_k, \tag{23}$$
where $k = 1,2$, $e_k \in f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')f$, $c_k \in (1-f)\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')(1-f)$. Note that
$$(1-2e'_{11})(1-2e'_{22}) = (1-2e_{11})(1-2e_{22}).$$

According to the equalities (21), (22), and (23),
$$(f-2e_1)(f-2e_2) = -f$$
and
$$e_1 + e_2 = f, \quad e_1e_2 = 0. \tag{24}$$
By Lemma 2, there exists a central idempotent q' of the ring $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ such that
$$(q'-2f'_{ii}) - (1-q'-2h'_{ii}) = \varphi(1-2e'_{ii}).$$

Consequently, for $k = 1,2$ we have
$$q'(1-\varphi(1-2e'_{kk}))(1-\varphi(1-2e'_{33})) = 0, \tag{25}$$
$$(1-q')(1+\varphi(1-2e'_{kk}))(1+\varphi(1-2e'_{33})) = 0. \tag{26}$$

Multiplying (25) from the left side by $1-f$ and from the right side by q and using the conditions (21) and (23), we have that $q'c_k \cdot 2f_{33} = 0$,
$$q'c_kf_{33} = 0. \tag{27}$$
Multiplying (26) from the left side by f and from the right side by fg and using (21), (23), we have
$$(1-q')2(f-e_k)2fq = 0.$$

According to the equalities (24), $f = e_1 + e_2$. Thus, $(1-q')e_k q = 0$ and $(1-q')fq = 0$. Since $f = f_{11} + f_{22} + h_{11} + h_{22}$, it follows that $\mathrm{End}_S(V')f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V') = \mathrm{End}_S(V')$ and, by the equalities $(1-q')fq = 0$,

$$0 = (1-q')q\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V') = (1-q')q\,\mathrm{End}_S(V').$$

Therefore,

$$(1-q')q = 0. \tag{28}$$

From (27) and (28) it follows that

$$c_k f_{33} = c_k q f_{33} = q(q' c_k f_{33}) + (1-q')q c_k f_{33} = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Similarly, $c_k f_{ii} - 0$ for all $i \in I_2$. By (23), $c_k \in (1-f)\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')(1-f)$ and $c_k q = c_k(1-f)q$, i.e.,

$$c_k q = 0. \tag{29}$$

Multiplying the equality (25) from the left side by f and from the right side by $(1-q)f$, we have

$$q' \cdot 2e_{kk} \cdot 2f(1-q) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$q'(1-q) = 0. \tag{30}$$

From (29) and (30) it follows that $q = q'$, and from (21), (23), and (26) it follows that $(2 - 2e_k + c_k)2h_{33} = 0$.

Since $e_k h_{33} = e_k f(1-f)h_{33} = 0$, it follows that $2h_{33} + c_k h_{33} = 0$. Similarly, $2h_{ii} + c_k h_{ii} = 0$ for all $i \in I_2$. Thus $c_k(1-q) = c_k(1-f)(1-q)$ and for any $i, j \in I_2$ for $i \neq 1, 2$ we have $c_k q \cdot h_{ij} = c_k h_{ij} = -2h_{ij}$, and for any $j \in I_2$, $i = 1, 2$ we have $c_k q \cdot h_{ij} = 0$. Thus, it is shown that

$$c_k(1-q) = -2(1-q) + 2(1-q)f. \tag{31}$$

From (23), (29), and (31) it follows that $1 + c_k - q + (1-q) \in f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')f$ and $\varphi(1 - 2e'_{kk}) - q + (1-q) \in f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')f$ for $k = 1, 2$.

2. We show that in (21) matrix units can be chosen in such a way that

$$\varphi(1 - e_{ii} - e_{jj} + e_{ij} + e_{ji}) = (q - f_{ii} - f_{jj} + f_{ij} + f_{ji}) - (1 - q - h_{ii} - h_{jj} + h_{ij} + h_{ji}) \tag{32}$$

for all $i, j \in I_1$, $i \neq j$.

Indeed, set

$$e'_{11} = 1/2(e_{11} + e_{22} - e_{12} - e_{21}), \quad e'_{22} = 1/2(e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{12} + e_{21}), \quad e'_{ii} = e_{ii}\ \forall i \neq 1, 2.$$

The system $\{e'_{ii}\}$ can be added to the system of matrix units $\{e'_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I_1}$ of the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$. According to the argument in item 1,

$$\varphi(1 - e_{11} - e_{22} + e_{12} + e_{21}) = \varphi(1 - 2e'_{11}) = q - (1-q) + x,$$

where $x \in f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')f$ and f is taken from (22). Consequently,

$$\varphi(1 - e_{11} - e_{22} + e_{12} + e_{21}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & \vdots \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & 1 & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & 0 & \vdots \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & 0 & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & 1 & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

where $a_{ij} \in f_{11} \operatorname{End}_S(V')f_{11}$ and $b_{ij} \in h_{11} \operatorname{End}_S(V')h_{11}$. Since

$$(1 - e_{11} - e_{22} + e_{12} + e_{21})(1 - 2e_{11}) = (1 - 2e_{22})(1 - e_{11} - e_{22} + e_{12} + e_{21}),$$

we have that (21) and (33) imply

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$a_{11} = a_{22} = 0, \quad b_{11} = b_{22} = 0. \tag{34}$$

Then, $(1 - e_{11} - e_{22} + e_{12} + e_{21})^2 = 1$. By (33) and (34),

$$a_{21} = a_{12}^{-1}, \quad b_{21} = b_{12}^{-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(1 - e_{ii} + r_{i+1,i+1} + e_{i,i+1} + e_{i+1,i}) \\ &\qquad = (q - f_{ii} - f_{i+1,i+1} + a_i f_{ii+1} + a^{-1} f_{i+1,i}) = (1 - q - h_{i+1,i+1} - h_{ii} + b_i h_{i,i+1} + b^{-1} h_{i+1,i}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in I_1$.

Set, by transfinite induction, $c_1 \equiv 1$, $c_{i+1} \equiv c_i \cdot a_i^{-1}$, and $c_i \equiv 1$ for a limit ordinal number i. Similarly, set $d_1 \equiv 1$, $d_{i+1} \equiv d_i \cdot b_i^{-1}$, and $d_i \equiv 1$ for a limit ordinal number i. Let, further, $C \equiv \operatorname{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots) + \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n, \dots)$, $h'_{ij} \equiv C h_{ij} C^-1$. Then $h'_{ii} = h_{ii}$, $f'_{ii} = f_{ii}$, $f'_{i,i+1} = a_i f_{i,i+1}$, $f_{i+1,i} = a_i^{-1} f_{i+1,i}$, $h'_{i,i+1} = b_1 h_{i,i+1}$, and $h'_{i+1,i} = b_i^{-1} h_{i+1,i}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(1 - e_{ii} - e_{i+1,i+1} + e_{i,i+1} + e_{i+1,i}) \\ &\qquad = (q - f'_{ii} - f'_{i+1,i+1} + f'_{i,i+1} + f'_{i+1,i}) - (1 - q - h'_{ii} - h'_{i+1,i} + h'_{i,i+1} + h'_{i+1,i}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the assertion 2 is proved.

3. Set $g_{ij} = f_{ij} + h_{ij}$, where f_{ij}, h_{ij} are matrix units for which conditions (21) and (32) hold. Then $\{g_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \varkappa}$ is a system of matrix units of the ring $\operatorname{End}_S(V')$. An arbitrary element $C \in \operatorname{End}_S(V')$ will be written in the form

$$C \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1n} & \vdots \\ \dots & \ddots & \dots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \dots & c_{nn} & \vdots \\ \multicolumn{3}{l}{\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots} & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \quad c_{ij} \in g_{ij} \operatorname{End}_S(V') g_{ij}.$$

4. We show that for every element $r \in R$,

$$\varphi(1 + re_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_r & b_r & 0 & \vdots \\ c_r & d_r & 0 & \vdots \\ \multicolumn{2}{l}{\dots\dots} & 1 & \vdots \\ \multicolumn{3}{l}{\dots\dots\dots\dots} & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

where $a_r, b_r, c_r, d-r \in g_{11}\operatorname{End}_S(V')g_{11}$, and that

$$\varphi(1-e_{ii}-e_{jj}+e_{ij}-e_{ji}) = 1-g_{ii}-g_{jj}+g_{ij}-g_{ji} \tag{36}$$

for $i \neq j$.

Indeed,

$$1-e_{ii}-e_{jj}+e_{ij}-e_{ji} = (1-e_{ii}-e_{jj}+e_{ij}+e_{ji})(1-2e_{ii}).$$

By (21) and (32),

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi(1-e_{ii}-e_{jj}+e_{ij}-e_{ji})\\ &\qquad = (e-f_{ii}-f_{jj}+f_{ij}-f_{ji})+(1-e-h_{ii}-h_{jj}+h_{ij}-h_{ji}) = 1-g_{ii}-g_{jj}+g_{ij}-g_{ji}.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$e''_{ij} = (1+1/2re_{12})e_{ij}(1+1/2re_{12})^{-1}.$$

Then, according to the assertion 1,

$$\varphi(1-2e''_{11}) = q-(1-q)+x$$

and

$$x_1 \in f\operatorname{End}_S(V')f, \quad \text{where} \quad f = h_{11}+h_{22}+f_{11}+f_{22}.$$

Then,

$$1-2e''_{11} = 1-2e_{11}+re_{12} = (1+re_{12})(1-2e_{11})$$

and, by (21),

$$\varphi(1+re_{12}) = \varphi((1-2e''_{11})(1-2e_{11})) = 1+x_2,$$

where $x_2 \in f\operatorname{End}_S(V')f$.

But from $f\operatorname{End}_S(V')f = (g_{11}+g_{22})\operatorname{End}_S(V')(g_{11}+g_{22})$ it follows that

$$\varphi(1+re_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_r & b_r & 0 & \vdots \\ c_r & d_r & 0 & \vdots \\ \ldots\ldots & & 1 & \vdots \\ \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

5. Using the equalities (35) and (36), and the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & r \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we shall get

$$\varphi(1+re_{13}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_r & 0 & b_r & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ c_r & 0 & d_r & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \vdots \\ \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

where a_r, b_r, c_r, d_r are taken from (35).

From (35) and (37) we have that for all $r, s \in R$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_r & b_r & 0 \\ c_r & d_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_s & 0 & b_s \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c_s & 0 & d_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_s & 0 & b_s \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c_s & 0 & d_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_r & b_r & 0 \\ c_r & d_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$b_r = a_s b_r, \quad c_r a_s = c_r, \quad c_r b_s = 0. \tag{38}$$

Similarly, using the equalities

$$\varphi(1 + re_{23}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & a_r & b_r & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & c_r & d_r & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \vdots \\ \hdotsfor{4} & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

and $[1 + se_{23}, 1 + re_{13}]$, we have that for all $r, s \in R$

$$b_r = b_r d_s, \quad d_s c_r = c_r, \quad b_s c_r = 0. \tag{40}$$

From the equalities

$$(1 + re_{ij})^{-1} = (1 - re_{ij}) = (1 - 2e_{ii})(1 + r_{ij})(1 - 2e_{ii})$$

and (21), (35) it follows that for all $r \in R$

$$\varphi(1 + re_{12})^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_r & -b_r & 0 & \vdots \\ -c_r & d_r & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \vdots \\ \hdotsfor{3} & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

and, according to (41) and (40),

$$a_r^2 = d_r^2 = 1. \tag{42}$$

From the equalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and (35), (36), we have that

$$\varphi(1 - e_{21}) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & -c_1 & \vdots \\ -b_1 & a_1 & \vdots \\ \hdotsfor{2} & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{43}$$

Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (35), (43), (36), and (40) it follows that

$$a_1 d_1 - b_1^2 = -c_1, \tag{44}$$

$$-c_1^2 + d_1a_1 = b_1. \tag{45}$$

Multiply the equality (45) from the right side by b_1 and, using (38), we shall get $b_1^2 = d_1b_1$. Multiplying (45) from the left side by b_1, we shall get $b_1^2 = b_1a_1$. Therefore, we have shown that $b_1a_1 = d_1b_1 = b_1^2$, $d_1b_1d_1b_1 = d_1b_1^2 = d_1^2b_1 = b_1$, $d_1b_1d_1b_1 = d_1b_1^2a_1 = d_1^2b_1a_1 = b_1a_1b_1^2$, and $b_1 = b_1^2$.

From (44) it follows that

$$a_1c_1 = c_1d_1 = c_1 = -c_1^2. \tag{46}$$

From (45) and (46) we have

$$d_1a_1 = b_1 + c_1^2 = b_1c_1.$$

From (38) and (40) it follows that

$$b_1c_1 = c_1b_1 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(d_1a_1)^2 = b_1^2 + c_1^2 = b_1 - c_1 = d_1a_1.$$

According to (42), the element d_1a_2 is invertible. Consequently,

$$1 = d_1a_1 = b_1 - c_1. \tag{47}$$

By (38), (40), and (47), $b_sc_r = c_rb_s = 0$ and

$$b_r \in b_1f_{11}\operatorname{End}_S(V')f_{11}b_1, \quad c_r \in (1-b_1)f_{11}\operatorname{End}_S(V')f_{11}(1-b_1) \tag{48}$$

for all $r, s \in R$. Then, according to (38),

$$(a_s - 1)b_1 = c_1(a_s - 1) = 0.$$

By (47),

$$a_s - 1 = -b_1(a_s - 1)c_1.$$

By (38), (40), and (42),

$$b_1c_1 = c_1b_1 = 0, \quad 1 = a_s^2 = (1 - b_1a_sc_1)^2 = 1 - 2b_1a_sc_1,$$

and $a_s = 1$. Similarly, $d_s = 1$. Thus,

$$a_r = d_r = 1 \tag{49}$$

for all $r \in R$. Set $e_1 = b_1 \cdot 1$, then e_1 is an idempotent of the ring $\operatorname{End}_S(V')$. By (35), (48), and (49),

$$e_1\varphi(1 + re_{12}) = \varphi(1 + re_{12})e_1 = e_1 + b_rg_{12},$$
$$[1 - 2e_1, \varphi(1 + re_{12})] = 1.$$

Similarly,

$$[1 - 2e_1, \varphi(1 - e_{ii} - e_{jj} + e_{ij} - e_{ji})] = 1.$$

Consequently, the matrix $\varphi^{-1}(1 - 2e_1)$ belongs to the centralizer of the group $E_R(V)$ and is a central matrix. Therefore, the matrix $1 - 2e_1$ belongs to the center of the ring $\operatorname{End}_S(V')$, e_1 is a central idempotent of the ring $\operatorname{End}_S(V')$,

$$e_1\operatorname{End}_S(V') \oplus (1 - e_1)\operatorname{End}_S(V') = \operatorname{End}_S(V'). \tag{50}$$

Set $\theta_3(r) \equiv b_r$ and $\theta_4(r) \equiv -c_r$. From the equalities

$$[1 + re_{12}, 1 - se_{23}] = 1 + (rs)e_{13},$$
$$[1 + c_rg_{21}, 1 - c_sg_{32}] = 1 - (c_sc_r)g_{31}$$

and (35), (48), (49), (37), (39), and (41) it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_{rs} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c_{rs} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b_r b_s \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -c_s c_r & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\theta_3\colon R \to b_1(f_{11} \operatorname{End}_S(V')f_{11})$ is a homomorphism of rings and $\theta_4\colon R \to (1-b_1)(f_{11} \operatorname{End}_S(V')f_{11})$ is an antihomomorphism of rings. Further, by (35), (36), and (49),

$$\varphi(1 + re_{ij}) = 1 + \theta_3(r)g_{ij} - \theta_4(r)g_{ji}. \tag{51}$$

Set, for every $a_{ij}e_{ij} \in \operatorname{End}_R(V)$,

$$\theta_1(a) = \theta_3(a_{ij})g_{ij},$$
$$\theta_2(a) = \theta_4(a_{ij})g_{ji},$$

and for other elements of the ring $\operatorname{End}_R(V)$ we continue these homomorphisms in the natural way. Then $\theta_1\colon \operatorname{End}_R(V) \to e_1 \operatorname{End}_S(V')$ is a homomorphism of rings, $\theta_2\colon \operatorname{End}_R(V) \to (1-e_1)\operatorname{End}_S(V')$ is an antihomomorphism of rings, and, by (47) and (51),

$$\varphi(A) = \theta_1(A) + \theta_2(A^{-1}) \tag{52}$$

for all $A \in E_R(V)$. Let I, J be ideals of the ring S such that $\operatorname{End}_I(V') = e_1 \operatorname{End}_S(V')$ and $\operatorname{End}_J(V') = (1-e_1)\operatorname{End}_S(V')$. By (50), $I \oplus J = S$. Set $N_1 \equiv \varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}_S(V', I))$ and $M_1 \equiv \varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{Aut}_S(V', J))$. By Lemma 1,

$$E_R(V, eR) \subseteq N_1, \quad E_R(V, (1-e)R) \subseteq M_1,$$

where e is some central idempotent of the ring $\operatorname{End}_R(V)$. Let $B \in E_R(V, eR)$. Then $\varphi(B) - 1 \in \operatorname{End}_I(V') = e_1 \operatorname{End}_S(V')$.

By (52),

$$\varphi(B) - 1 = \theta_1(B-1) + \theta_2(B^{-1}-1)$$

and

$$\theta_1(B-1) \in e_2 \operatorname{End}_S(V'), \quad \theta_2(B^{-1}-1) \in (1-e_2)\operatorname{End}_S(V').$$

Consequently, $\theta_2(B^{-1}-1) = 0$ and $\operatorname{End}_{eR}(V) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\theta_2$. Similarly, $\operatorname{End}_{(1-e)R}(V) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\theta_1$. Since φ is a group isomorphism, we have by (52)

$$\operatorname{Ker}\theta_1 \cap \operatorname{Ker}\theta_2 = \{0\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{End}_{eR}(V) = \operatorname{Ker}\theta_2, \quad \operatorname{End}_{(1-e)R}(V) = \operatorname{Ker}\theta_1,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ker}\theta_1 \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\theta = \operatorname{End}_R(V).$$

A similar argument for the mapping φ^{-1} leads us to

$$\operatorname{Im}\theta_1 \oplus \operatorname{Im}\theta_2 = \operatorname{End}_S(V').$$

Set

$$\varphi_1(B) = \varphi^{-1}(\theta_1(B) + \theta_2(B^{-1}))$$

for all $B \in \operatorname{Aut}_R(V)$. Then φ_1 is an automorphism of the group $\operatorname{Aut}_R(V)$, and, by (52),

$$\varphi_1(A) = A \quad \text{for all} \quad A \in E_R(V).$$

The theorem is proved. □

Suppose that rings R and S with $1/2$ do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to 0 or 1. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *The groups* $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ *are isomorphic if and only if* $\mathrm{End}_R(V) \cong \mathrm{End}_S(V')$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, on the group $DE_R(V)$ every isomorphism φ of the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ coincides with an isomorphism $\chi(\cdot)(\theta_1(\cdot)+\theta_2(\cdot^{-1}))$, where $\chi(\cdot)$ is a group homomorphism $DE_R(V) \to C(\mathrm{Aut}_S(V'))$, $\theta_1 \colon e\,\mathrm{End}_R(V) \to f\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ is a ring isomorphism, $\theta_2 \colon (1-e)\,\mathrm{End}_R(V) \to (1-f)\,\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ is a ring antiisomorphism, e, f are central idempotents of the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V_1)$ and $M_S(V_2)$, respectively. Since the rings R and S do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to 0 or 1, we have that the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ also do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to 0 and 1, i.e., either $e = f = 1$, or $e = f = 0$.

1. If $e = f = 1$, then $\varphi(\cdot)$ on $DE_R(V)$ coincides with an isomorphism of the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ of the form $\chi(\cdot)\theta_1(\cdot)$, i.e., the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ are isomorphic.

2. If $e = f = 0$, then φ on $DE_R(V)$ coincides with an antiisomorphism $\chi(\cdot)\theta_2(\cdot^{-1})$, i.e., the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')^{\mathrm{op}}$ are isomorphic.

Suppose that we have this case. Consider in $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ a system of commuting conjugate orthogonal idempotents with the condition

$$\sum_{i\in I} e_{ii} \sim 1.$$

This expression means that for every element a and every $i \in I$ there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \varkappa$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{i_j i_j}\right) a e_{ii} = a e_{ii} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{i_j i_j}\right) = a e_{ii}.$$

Now, as above, introduce a *system of matrix units* e_{ij} $(i, j \in \varkappa)$ by the condition

$$e_{ij} e_{kl} = \delta_{jk} e_{il}.$$

It is clear that such system $\{e_{ij}\}$ in $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ corresponds to a system $\{f_{ij}\}$ in $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$, defined by the condition

$$f_{ij} f_{kl} = \delta_{il} f_{kj}.$$

In $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ there exists an element

$$x \sim \sum_{i\in I} e_{1i},$$

but in $\mathrm{End}_S(V_2)$ a corresponding element

$$y \sim \sum_{i\in I} f_{1i}$$

can not exist.

We show this.

Let W_i be the carrier of an idempotent f_{ii}. Then

$$f_{1i}(W_1) = f_{ii} f_{1i}(W_1) \Rightarrow f_{1i}(W_1) \subset W_i.$$

Further,

$$W_1 = f_{11}(W_1) = f_{i1} f_{1i}(W_1),$$

i.e., f_{ij} maps W_j to W_i. Existence of the element $f \sim \sum\limits_{i\in I} f_{1i}$ would mean that f maps some vector w from W_1 to the sum of an infinite number of vectors $w_j \in W_j$, but this is impossible.

Therefore, the condition

$$\mathrm{End}_R(V) \cong \mathrm{End}_S(V')^{\mathrm{op}}$$

is impossible.

The inverse implication is evident. $\square$

5.2 Elementary Equivalence of Automorphism Groups and Endomorphism Rings of Modules of Infinite Ranks

Lemma 3. *For every ultrafilter D*

$$\prod_D \mathrm{End}_R(V) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\prod\limits_D}(V).$$

Proof. By the definition of ultraproduct, every element $\prod\limits_D \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ is a mapping (more precisely, its equivalence class) $f\colon I \to \mathrm{End}_R(V)$, i.e., a set of pairs $\langle i, A\rangle$, where $i \in I$, $A \in \mathrm{End}_R(V)$, $\forall i \in I\, \exists! A \in \mathrm{End}_R(V)\, (\langle i, A\rangle \in f)$. Every element of $A \in \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ is a mapping $a\colon \varkappa \times \varkappa \to R$ such that for every $\alpha \in \varkappa$ there exists only a finite number of $\beta_j \in \varkappa$ such that $a(\langle \alpha, \beta_j\rangle) \neq 0$, i.e., every element of $A \in \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ is a set of ordered triplets $\langle \alpha, \beta, r\rangle$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \varkappa$, $r \in R$, $\forall \alpha\, \forall \beta\, \exists! r \in R\, (\langle \alpha, \beta, r\rangle \in A)$. Therefore, every element of the ultraproduct $\prod\limits_D \mathrm{End}_R(V)$ is a set f of ordered quadruplets $\langle i, \alpha, \beta, r\rangle$ with $i \in I$, $\alpha, \beta \in \varkappa$, $r \in R$ and with the condition $\forall i, \alpha, \beta\, \exists! r\, (\langle i, \alpha, \beta, r\rangle \in f)$. In other words, it is a mapping $f\colon I \times \varkappa \times \varkappa \to R$ with the only condition that for every $i \in I$ and $\alpha \in \varkappa$ there exist only a finite number of $\beta_j \in \varkappa$ such that $f(i, \alpha, \beta_j) \neq 0$.

Two such mappings $f, g\colon I \times \varkappa \times \varkappa \to R$ are equal if and only if

$$\{i \in I \mid \forall \alpha, \beta \in \varkappa\, (f(i, \alpha, \beta) = g(i, \alpha, \beta))\} \in D.$$

For three mappings $f, g, h\colon I \times \varkappa \times \varkappa \to R$ we have $h = f + g$ if and only if

$$\{i \in I \mid \forall \alpha, \beta \in \varkappa\, (h(i, \alpha, \beta) = f(i, \alpha, \beta) + g(i, \alpha, \beta))\} \in D.$$

Similarly, for three mappings $f, g, h\colon I \times \varkappa \times \varkappa \to R$ we have $h = fg$ if and only if

$$\left\{ i \in I \;\middle|\; \forall \alpha, \beta \in \varkappa \left(h(i, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\gamma \in \varkappa} f(i, \alpha, \gamma) \cdot g(i, \gamma, \beta) \right) \right\} \in D.$$

It is clear that we can write the sign of sum in this expression because only a finite number of elements of this sum are nonzero.

Now consider the ring $\mathrm{End}_{\prod\limits_D}(V)$. Completely the same arguments lead us to the fact that the elements of this ring are mappings $f\colon \varkappa \times \varkappa \times I \to R$ with the same condition of finiteness and the same identity, sum, and product. Therefore, the obtained isomorphism is natural (it is the natural mapping $I \times (\varkappa \times \varkappa) \to (\varkappa \times \varkappa) \times I$). ☐

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4 of the paper [1].

Theorem 3. *Suppose that rings R and S contain $1/2$ and do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to 1 and 0. Suppose that V and V' are free modules of infinite ranks over the rings R and S, respectively. Then the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ are elementarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ be elementarily equivalent.

Consider an arbitrary sentence φ of the first order language of the group theory. With the help of the sentence φ we construct a sentence φ' of the first order language of the ring theory in the following way: every symbol-string of the form $\forall x\, (\dots)$ belonging to the sentence φ will be replaced by the symbol-string $\forall x\, (\exists x'\, (xx' = x'x = 1) \Rightarrow (\dots)$, and every symbol-string of the form $\exists x\, (\dots)$ will be replaced by the symbol-string $\exists x\, (\exists x'\, (xx' = x'x = 1) \wedge (\dots))$. It is clear that if the sentence φ holds in the group $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$, then the sentence φ' holds in the ring $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$, and, therefore, since the rings $\mathrm{End}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$ are elementarily equivalent, we have that it holds in the ring $\mathrm{End}_S(V')$. Consequently, the sentence φ holds in the group $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$. Now we see that the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ are elementarily equivalent.

Let the operation $*$ applied to some ring A (A^*) be taking the group of invertible elements of this ring. It is clear that for every ultrafilter D $\prod\limits_D \mathrm{Aut}_R(V) = \prod\limits_D (\mathrm{End}_R(V))^* \cong \left(\prod\limits_D \mathrm{End}_R(V)\right)^*$, i.e., that the operations $*$ and $\prod\limits_D$ are permutable.

Let now the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ be elementarily equivalent. Then, by Theorem 2 in Sec. 1.4, there exist ultrapowers $G = \prod\limits_D \mathrm{Aut}_R(V)$ and $G' = \prod\limits_D \mathrm{Aut}_S(V')$ of these groups such that $G \cong G'$. Therefore, $\Bigl(\prod\limits_D \mathrm{End}_R(V)\Bigr)^* \cong \Bigl(\prod\limits_D \mathrm{End}_S(V')\Bigr)^*$, and, by Lemma 3, $\mathrm{Aut}_{\prod\limits_D R}(V) \cong \mathrm{Aut}_{\prod\limits_D S}(V')$. By Theorem 2 from the previous subsection, in this case $\mathrm{End}_{\prod\limits_D R}(V) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\prod\limits_D S}(V')$. Consequently, by Proposition 4 in Sec. 1.4, $\mathrm{End}_R(V) \equiv \mathrm{End}_S(V')$. The theorem is proved. ☐

Therefore, in the case where we have associative rings with 1/2 which do not contain any central idempotents not equal to 0 and 1 we can replace the question on elementary equivalence of automorphism groups by the question on elementary equivalence of endomorphism rings.

5.3 The Main Theorem

In this section, we assume that a cardinal number $\varkappa_1$ is such that there exists a maximal ideal of the ring R_1 generated by at most $\varkappa_1$ elements.

From Theorem 5 in Sec. 3 and Theorem 3 we easily obtain Theorem 4.

Theorem 4. *Suppose that rings R_1 and R_2 contain 1/2 and do not contain any central idempotents which are not equal to 1 or 0. Let V_1 and V_2 be free modules of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over the rings R_1 and R_2, respectively, and let $\psi \in \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ be such that $\psi \notin \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$ for any ring R' such that R' is similar to R_1 and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle) \neq \mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\varkappa_1, R'\rangle)$. Then the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_2}(V_2)$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exists a ring S similar to the ring R_2 and such that the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, R_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 1. *For free modules V_1 and V_2 of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over skewfields* (*integral domains, commutative or local rings without central idempotents not equal to* 1 *or* 0) *F_1 and F_2 with 1/2, respectively, the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{F_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{F_2}(V_2)$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, F_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, F_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

Corollary 2. *For free modules V_1 and V_2 of infinite ranks $\varkappa_1$ and $\varkappa_2$ over Artinian rings R_1 and R_2 with 1/2 without central idempotents not equal to 1 or 0, respectively, the groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_1}(V_1)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}_{R_2}(V_2)$ are elementarily equivalent if and only if there exist rings S_1 and S_2 such that the ring R_1 is similar to the ring S_1, the ring R_2 is similar to the ring S_2, and the theories $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_1}(\langle \varkappa_1, S_1\rangle)$ and $\mathrm{Th}_2^{\varkappa_2}(\langle \varkappa_2, S_2\rangle)$ coincide.*

References

[1] C. I. Beidar and A. V. Mikhalev, “On Malcev’s theorem on elementary equivalence of linear groups,” *Contemp. Math.*, **131**, 29–35 (1992).

[2] N. Bourbaki, *Les Structures Fondamentales de l’Analyse. Livre II. Algébre*, Hermann & éditeurs, Paris (1966).

[3] E. I. Bunina, “Elementary equivalence of unitary linear groups over fields,” *Fund. Prikl. Mat.*, **4**, No. 4, 1265–1278 (1998).

[4] E. I. Bunina, “Elementary equivalence of unitary linear groups over rings and skewfields,” *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **53**, No. 2, 137–138 (1998).

[5] E. I. Bunina, “Elementary equivalence of Chevalley groups,” *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **156**, No. 1, 157–158 (2001).

[6] E. I. Bunina, *Elementary Equivalence of Linear and Algebraic Groups* [in Russian], PhD Thesis, Moscow State University (2001).

[7] C. C. Chang and H. J. Keisler, *Model Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam–London, American Elsevier, New York (1973).

[8] C. Faith, *Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories*, Vol. I, Springer (1973).

[9] I. Z. Golubchik and A. V. Mikhalev, "Isomorphisms of the general linear group over associative rings," *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. 1 Mat. Mekh.*, No. 3, 61–72 (1983).

[10] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Columbia University, New York (1965).

[11] A. I. Maltsev, "On elementary properties of linear groups," in: *Problems of Mathematics and Mechanics* [in Russian], Novosibirsk (1961), pp. 110–132.

[12] E. Mendelson, *Introduction to Mathematical Logic*, D. van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton–New Jersey–Toronto–New York–London (1976).

[13] J. Milnor, *Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory*, Princeton Univ. Press (1972).

[14] S. Shelah, "Interpreting set theory in the endomorphism semi-group of a free algebra or in the category," *Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont Math.*, **13**, 1–29 (1976).

[15] R. M. Solovay, "Real-valued measurable cardinals," in: D. Scott, ed., *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math. XIII Part I*, AMS, Providence (1971).

[16] V. Tolstykh, "Elementary equivalence of infinite-dimensional classical groups," *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, **105**, 103–156 (2000).